



Uploaded to VFC Website

~ October 2012 ~

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

[Veterans-For-Change](#)

*Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation
Tax ID #27-3820181*

If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This section presents the property categories defined by AFI 32-7066, the conclusions for the 446 MS, and data gaps and assumptions. A summary table provides site-specific findings.

6.1. PROPERTY CATEGORIZATION

Potential site contaminants include, but are not limited to, hazardous materials, petroleum products, storage tanks and related systems, treatment systems and components, IRP sites and areas of concern, medical/biohazardous waste, ordnance (including lead), pesticides, radioactive materials and mixed waste, PCBs, and solid waste.

Section 2.1.2 of AFI 32-7066 categorized the findings of the analyses on the presence of hazardous substances or petroleum products or their derivatives for each property or area based on seven categories. Each EBS produced for a missile system facility within the 446 MS was categorized. The following seven categories follow new guidance provided in a February 9, 1999, memorandum on Interim Use of Environmental Baseline Survey Property Categorization Codes, from the U.S. Air Force Headquarters Environmental Division (HQ USAF/ILEV) (USAF, 1999b):

- *Category 1* – Areas where no release or disposal of hazardous or petroleum substances has occurred (including no migration of these substances from adjacent areas).
- *Category 2* – Areas where only release or disposal of petroleum substances has occurred.
- *Category 3* – Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but at concentrations that do not require removal or remedial response.
- *Category 4* – Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and all removal or remedial actions have been taken.
- *Category 5* – Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and all removal or remedial actions are underway, but not yet taken.
- *Category 6* – Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but remedial actions have not been implemented.
- *Category 7* – Areas that are not evaluated or require additional evaluation.

Property in Categories 1 through 4 is suitable for transfer by deed under CERCLA 120(h) requirements. Property in Categories 5 and 6 is unsuitable for transfer by deed under CERCLA 120(h) unless it can be shown that all necessary remedial actions have been taken and the property is awaiting reclassification into Category 4. Property in Category 7 is unsuitable for transfer by deed unless all necessary investigations are completed and the property is awaiting reclassification into one of the first four categories. Category 7 may be

made suitable for transfer if further investigation reveals no contamination and the property can be reclassified into one of the first four categories.

6.2. CATEGORY FINDINGS

A Phase I EBS has been conducted for each LF and MAF. Based on the initial results of the site inspection and studies and relevant guidance material, categories have been assigned to individual LFs and MAFs within the 446 MS. The condition of each LF and MAF is defined within the site-specific EBSs and those findings are summarized in Table 6.2-1.

As Table 6.2-1 shows, 44 of the sites have been designated as Category 3, 11 sites as Category 4, and none as Category 5.

6.3. DATA GAPS AND ASSUMPTIONS

No data gaps have been identified for this EBS.

This report is based upon certain verbal information and representations provided by DoD and other government employees, documents provided by the DoD, and reports prepared by private consultants contracted by the DoD. Except as discussed, no attempt was made to independently verify the accuracy or completeness of that information; however, no inconsistencies or omissions of a nature that might call into question the validity of any of the information were found. To the extent that the conclusions in this report are based in whole or in part on such information, those conclusions are contingent on its accuracy and validity.

Table 6-2.1 Summary of Site Categorizations, 446 MS							
<i>Site</i>	<i>Cat. 3</i>	<i>Cat. 4</i>	<i>Cat. 5</i>	<i>Site</i>	<i>Cat. 3</i>	<i>Cat. 4</i>	<i>Cat. 5</i>
A Flight							
MAF A-0		√		LF A-06	√		
LF A-01	√			LF A-07	√		
LF A-02	√			LF A-08	√		
LF A-03	√			LF A-09	√		
LF A-04	√			LF A-10	√		
LF A-05	√			<i>Subtotal for Flight:</i>	10	1	0
B Flight							
MAF B-0		√		LF B-16	√		
LF B-11	√			LF B-17	√		
LF B-12	√			LF B-18	√		
LF B-13	√			LF B-19	√		
LF B-14	√			LF B-20	√		
LF B-15	√			<i>Subtotal for Flight:</i>	10	1	0
C Flight							
MAF C-0		√		LF C-26		√	
LF C-21	√			LF C-27		√	
LF C-22	√			LF C-28	√		
LF C-23	√			LF C-29	√		
LF C-24		√		LF C-30	√		
LF C-25	√			<i>Subtotal for Flight:</i>	7	4	0
D Flight							
MAF D-0		√		LF D-36	√		
LF D-31	√			LF D-37	√		
LF D-32	√			LF D-38	√		
LF D-33	√			LF D-39	√		
LF D-34	√			LF D-40	√		
LF D-35	√			<i>Subtotal for Flight:</i>	10	1	0
E Flight							
MAF E-0		√		LF E-46	√		
LF E-41		√		LF E-47	√		
LF E-42	√			LF E-48	√		
LF E-43	√			LF E-49		√	
LF E-44		√		LF E-50	√		
LF E-45	√			<i>Subtotal for Flight:</i>	7	4	0
446 MS							
Total for 446 MS					44	11	0

This page intentionally left blank.