



Uploaded to VFC Website

▶▶ July 2013 ◀◀

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

[Veterans-For-Change](http://www.veteransforchange.org)

*Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation
Tax ID #27-3820181*

If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.





**ADDENDUM TO THE
TOXICOLOGICAL PROFILE FOR
ACETONE**

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine
Atlanta, GA 30333

August 2011

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	iii
Background Statement	iv
2. HEALTH EFFECTS	1
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.2 DISCUSSION OF HEALTH EFFECTS BY ROUTE OF EXPOSURE.....	1
2.2.1 Inhalation Exposure	1
2.2.1.2 Systemic Effects	1
2.2.1.4 Neurological Effects	2
2.2.2 Oral Exposure	3
2.2.2.2 Systemic Effects	3
2.2.2.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects.....	4
2.2.3 Dermal Exposure	4
2.2.3.2 Systemic Effects	4
2.3 TOXICOKINETICS.....	5
2.3.2 Distribution	5
2.3.2.1 Inhalation Exposure.....	5
2.3.2.2 Oral Exposure	5
2.3.3 Metabolism	5
2.3.4 PhysiologicallyBasedPharmacokinetic(PBPK)/PharmacodynamicModels.....	6
2.3.5 Mechanism of Action	7
2.4 RELEVANCE TO PUBLIC HEALTH	7
2.6 INTERACTIONS WITH OTHER SUBSTANCES.....	8
2.7 POPULATIONS THAT ARE UNUSUALLY SUSCEPTIBLE	9
3. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION	8
4. PRODUCTION, IMPORT/EXPORT, USE, AND DISPOSAL.....	9
5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE.....	9
5.3.2 Transformation and Degradation	9
5.3.2.3 Soil.....	9
6. ANALYTICAL METHODS	10
7. REGULATIONS AND ADVISORIES	10
8. REFERENCES	12

LIST OF TABLES

7-1. Regulations and Guidelines Applicable to Acetone	10
---	----

ADDENDUM FOR ACETONE

Supplement to the 1994 Toxicological Profile for Acetone

Background Statement

This addendum to the [Toxicological Profile for Acetone](#) supplements the profile that was released in 1994.

Toxicological profiles are developed in response to the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986 which amended the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA or Superfund). CERCLA mandates that the Administrator of ATSDR prepare toxicological profiles on substances on the CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances and that the profiles be revised “no less often than once every three years.” CERCLA further states that the Administrator will “establish and maintain inventory of literature, research, and studies on the health effects of toxic substances” [Title 42, Chapter 103, Subchapter I, § 9604 (i)(1)(B)].

The purpose of this addendum is to provide to the public and federal, state, and local agencies a non-peer reviewed supplement of the scientific data that were published in the open peer-reviewed literature since the release of the profile in 1994

Chapter numbers in this addendum coincide with the Toxicological Profile for Acetone. This document should be used in conjunction with the profile. It does not replace it.

2. HEALTH EFFECTS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

2.2 DISCUSSION OF HEALTH EFFECTS BY ROUTE OF EXPOSURE

2.2.1 Inhalation Exposure

2.2.1.2 Systemic Effects

Respiratory Effects. Some degree of sensory adaptation to inhaled acetone—i.e., the body adapts to regular exposure to acetone, is apparent. The basis for this observation is the displayed reduced sensitivity to both odor and irritancy in workers who had also been exposed to acetone in their work. People without prior occupational exposure to acetone who served as controls in an experiment did not have such sensory adaptation (Dalton et al. 1997; Wysocki et al. 1997). In the experiment, the workers and the controls had been exposed to 800 ppm acetone for 20 minutes. The results of the experiment suggest that the general population may be more sensitive to the acute irritant effects of inhaled acetone than workers with repeated exposure. A 49-year-old male who had been accidentally sprayed with acetone during roadwork application developed edema within the bronchial tree (Piatkowski et al. 2007). Increased prevalence of upper respiratory tract irritation was reported among acetone-exposed workers (n=71) compared with matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory (Mitran et al. 1997). Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm). The mean length of exposure was 14 years.

Gastrointestinal Effects. Acetone-exposed workers (n=71) had increased prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, loss of appetite, hyperacidity, bad taste, abdominal pains) compared to matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory (Mitran et al. 1997). Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm); the mean length of exposure was 14 years.

Musculoskeletal Effects. Increased prevalence of rheumatic symptoms (pain in bones, joints, muscles) was reported among acetone-exposed workers (n=71) compared to matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory (Mitran et al. 1997). Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the

exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm); the mean length of exposure was 14 years. A man who was accidentally sprayed with acetone during roadwork application later developed rhabdomyolysis and acute renal failure (Piatkowski et al. 2007). The investigator attributed the development of these effects to acute inhalation exposure to acetone.

Renal Effects. Minimal glomerulopathy and moderate tubulointerstitial nephritis were diagnosed in a 55-year-old woman following occupational exposure to a cleansing solution consisting principally of acetone (Chen et al. 2002). The woman had been using the solution periodically for approximately two years and had no prior history of renal disease. Acute renal failure was diagnosed in a 49-year-old male who had been accidentally sprayed with acetone during roadwork application. Because the man had significant injury to the respiratory tract, inhalation was the suspected major route of exposure Piatkowski et al. 2007).

Dermal Effects. Increased prevalence of dermal irritation was reported among acetone-exposed workers (n=71) compared to matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory (Mitran et al. 1997). Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm); the mean length of exposure was 14 years.

Ocular Effects. Increased prevalence of ocular irritation was reported among acetone-exposed workers (n=71) compared to matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory (Mitran et al. 1997). Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm); the mean length of exposure was 14 years.

2.2.1.4 Neurological Effects

Mitran et al. (1997) reported increased signs of neurotoxicity (mood disorders, irritability, memory difficulty, sleep disturbances, and headache) among acetone-exposed workers (n=71) compared to matched controls (n=86) at a coin-printing factory. Eight-hour acetone exposure levels in the workplace air of the exposed workers ranged from 988 to 2,114 mg/m³ (416 to 890 ppm); the mean length of exposure was 14 years. Kiesswetter et al. (1994) reported a correlation of acetone urine concentrations with symptoms of annoyance, tension, tiredness, and discomfort in a group of eight acetone-exposed workers compared to eight unexposed controls. A correlation of these symptoms was not found with exposure concentrations (1138 ppm in the first half of work shift, 717 ppm in second half of work shift). Satoh et al. (1996) reported symptoms of heavy, vague, or faint feelings in the head, along with impaired

neurobehavioral responses, in a group of 110 male workers at an acetate fiber manufacturing plant where acetone was used in the production of cellulose-containing dope. Controls consisted of 67 unexposed workers at the same facility. Acetone levels at the end of the work shift measured 5–1212 ppm in the breathing zone (mean of 361.4 ppm).

Exposure of male rats to acetone vapor concentrations as high as 4,000 ppm for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 13 weeks did not cause lasting effects on schedule-controlled operant performance. Operant sessions were run prior to daily exposures to avoid confounding with transient acute effects (Christoph et al. 2003). Female mice were exposed by inhalation to acetone (4 mL placed on cotton in a glass in the inhalation chamber) for 5 hours/day, 5 days/week for 4 weeks and assessed for effects on the nasal olfactory neuroepithelium (Buron et al. 2009). The acetone concentration during each exposure rose during the first 1.5 hours to a constant level of about 8,000 ppm for the remaining 3.5 hours. Olfactory sensitivity, assessed by how the mice avoided acetone in a maze, was increased (less time spent in the acetone compartment of maze) during weeks 2 and 4 of exposure and during weeks 6 and 8 (post-exposure). Histological examination of olfactory neuroepithelium of similarly exposed mice revealed a significant decrease in the number of cells at week 2, an increase at week 4 that remained at week 6, and a recovery by week 8. Thickness of the olfactory epithelium remained stable at week 0 and week 2, decreased at week 4, increased at week 6, and recovered by week 8. Immunological evaluations for olfactory marker protein (OMP) and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) showed no change in OMP, indicating no damage to olfactory neuroreceptors. However, the number of PCNA-positive cells was decreased in the basal layer during week 2 and sustained during weeks 4 and 6, indicating an increase in mitotic activity.

2.2.2 Oral Exposure

2.2.2.2 Systemic Effects

Hematological Effects. Exposure of CD-1 male mice to acetone in the drinking water at average doses as high as 1,144 mg/kg/day for 28 days resulted in no evidence of exposure-related effects on red or white blood cell counts, hemoglobin, or hematocrit (Woolhiser et al. 2006).

Hepatic Effects. Rats were assessed for liver oxidative balance and lipid content after treatments with acetone in water (5% m/v) for 28 days (de Almeida et al. 2010). Compared with controls, acetone-

treated rats had increased hepatic GSH, hepatic vitamin E, glycemia, cholesterolemia, and hepatic fat, which is similar to the features of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).

Renal Effects. Mild functional renal insufficiency was diagnosed in a 56-year-old woman suspected of having ingested a large quantity of acetone (Kostusiak et al. 2003).

2.2.2.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects

Exposure of CD-1 male mice to acetone in the drinking water at average doses as high as 1,144 mg/kg/day for 28 days resulted in no evidence of immunotoxicity, as assessed by the antibody plaque-forming cell assay performed to measure the T cell-dependent anti-sheep red blood cell immunoglobulin M response (Woolhiser et al. 2006). Furthermore, there were no treatment-related effects on spleen or thymus weights or spleen cellularity.

2.2.3 Dermal Exposure

2.2.3.2 Systemic Effects

Dermal/Ocular Effects. Superficial burns to the skin were observed in a 49-year-old male who had been accidentally sprayed with acetone during roadwork application (Piatkowski et al. 2007).

Mild irritation was observed in the eyes of rabbits that received 10 μ L acetone applied directly to the cornea of the right eye (Maurer et al. 2001). The mean normalized depth of injury was less than 10% in the corneal and was limited to the epithelium and superficial stroma. The majority of the regions showed no stromal injury. The injury was first seen after 3 hours, and it persisted for up to 3 days, with complete recovery at the 35-day determination.

2.3 TOXICOKINETICS

2.3.2 Distribution

2.3.2.1 Inhalation Exposure

Rats were exposed by inhalation to 1,000 ppm of acetone for 8 hours/day. Plasma concentrations of acetone were 122, 107 and 125 µg/ml at 30 minutes after exposure for days 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Plasma elimination followed first-order kinetics in rats that were terminated after exposure to 1,000 ppm for 3 hours/day for 3 days. The half-life for elimination was 4.5 hours, and the area under the curve (AUC) was 950 µg.hr/ml. Inhalation exposure of the rats to 1,000 ppm of acetone for 3 hours/day for 10 days resulted in concentrations of 35.3 µg/g of acetone in plasma, 13.2 µg/g in liver, 11.4 µg/g in the lung, and 21.8 µg/g in the kidney (Scholl and Iba 1997).

2.3.2.2 Oral Exposure

In rats receiving acetone in drinking water (7.5% v/v) for 11 consecutive days, plasma concentrations of acetone on day 1 were in the range of 315–800 µg/mL. The plasma concentration appeared to plateau at about 1,200 µg/mL by day 4 (Scholl and Iba 1997).

2.3.3 Metabolism

Recent investigations that included CYP2E1-null mice have confirmed the importance of CYP2E1 in acetone catabolism *in vivo* (Bondoc et al. 1999; Bruckner et al. 2002; Chen et al. 1994). In the study of Bondoc et al. (1999), acetone levels were measured in non-fasted and 48-hour-fasted wild type and CYP2E1-null mice. Fasting is known to result in the elevation of acetone levels in the blood. Blood acetone levels in non-fasted wild type and CYP2E1-null mice were not significantly different from one another. However, fasted CYP2E1-null mice exhibited 24-fold increased blood acetone levels compared to their non-fasted controls. The wild type fasted mice, on the other hand, exhibited only a 2- to 4-fold increase in blood acetone levels compared to their non-fasted controls. Bruckner et al. (2002) administered acetone to non-fasted rats by gavage at single doses ranging from 50 to 2,000 mg/kg and

measured CYP2E1 activity 24 hours later. The investigators observed dose-dependent increases in blood acetone concentrations and CYP2E1 activity. Chen et al. (1994) assessed the role of CYP2E1 in acetone catabolism by measuring acetone levels at different time points in rats that had been treated with diallyl sulfide (DAS, a CYP2E1 inhibitor) at a variety of dose levels. The study noted DAS dose-dependent increases in the time to peak blood acetone level and in the time to return to pre-dose levels, suggesting an important role of CYP2E1 in acetone catabolism.

2.3.4 Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK)/Pharmacodynamic Models

PBPK models have been developed to simulate the behavior of acetone in rats and humans exposed by various routes (Clewell et al. 2001; Gentry et al. 2002; Kumagai and Matsunaga 1995; Mörk and Johanson 2006).

Clewell and coworkers (Clewell et al. 2001; Gentry et al. 2002) developed a PBPK model intended to simulate the behavior of isopropanol and its major metabolite, acetone, in rats and humans for intravenous, intraperitoneal, oral, inhalation, and dermal exposure. The model was specifically intended to be used for human health risk assessment for isopropanol. The model is capable of simulating exposures to acetone as well (Gentry et al. 2003). Gentry et al. (2002) expanded the model to simulate exposure to isopropanol during pregnancy. Validation of acetone metabolism was performed by use of intravenous, oral, and inhalation exposure data from rats and by use of inhalation and oral exposure data from humans.

The PBPK model of Kumagai and Matsunaga (1995) was designed to account for uptake of acetone in the mucous layer of the respiratory tract. By adjusting the value for the volume of the mucous layer and the rate of respiration, the authors found that the simulated acetone concentrations in arterial blood, end exhaled air, urine, and fatty tissues were well matched to experimental data.

Mörk and Johanson (2006) designed a PBPK model for acetone to account for differences in the behavior of acetone in blood and exhaled air at different levels of physical exercise. The model involves deeper parts of the mucous membrane in absorption and desorption of acetone than the ones used in previous modeling exercises and includes separate compartments for working and resting muscles. Using the PBPK model, Mörk and Johanson (2010) derived chemical-specific adjustment factors (CSAFs) for acetone by Monte Carlo simulations. According to the simulations, CSAFs for occupational exposure were 1.6, 1.8, and 1.9 for 90th, 95th, and 97.5th percentiles, respectively. The corresponding CSAFs for

the general population were 2.1, 2.9, and 3.8. CSAFs for children from 3 months of age to 10 years of age ranged from 4.2–4.8, 4.7–5.0, and 5.0–5.9 for the 90th, 95th, and 97.5th percentiles.

2.3.5 Mechanism of Action

Results of Orellana et al. (2001) support a hypothesis that ketone bodies such as acetone may be common inducers of microsomal and peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation. In this study, parameters of oxidative stress, microsomal CYP activity, and peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation were assessed in the liver of rats that had received acetone (1% v/v) in the drinking water for 7 days. Compared to the livers of controls, livers of acetone-exposed rats showed increases in CYP content, microsomal biotransformation activity, peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation, and catalase activity and decreases in hepatic activity of superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase without altering glutathione and malondialdehyde content. These results suggest that ketone bodies such as acetone could be common inducers of microsomal and peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation. However, the results also suggest that acetone-induced increases in CYP and peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation are not related to significant changes in hepatic oxidative stress.

Stadler et al. (2008) provide evidence of inducible nitric oxide synthetase (iNOS) mediated free radical production and protein oxidation in acetone-induced ketosis by using iNOS and NADPH oxidase knockout mice receiving acetone in a single intragastric dose or in drinking water for 5 days or 3 weeks. In the acute intragastric experiment, free radical production was unchanged in NADPH oxidase knockout mice. However, free radical production was greatly decreased in iNOS knockout mice, indicating that iNOS may play a role in acetone-induced free radical production. Longer-term exposure to acetone via drinking water resulted in iNOS over-expression and protein radical formation in the liver. Other results included enhanced lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation after 21 days of acetone treatment in control and NADPH oxidase knockout mice, but not in iNOS knockout mice. These results together indicate that acetone administration can result in iNOS over-expression that leads to protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation via a free radical-dependent mechanism. The authors discuss the implication of high levels of ketosis with the development of complications in diabetes.

2.4 RELEVANCE TO PUBLIC HEALTH

Genotoxic Effects. Acetone did not increase the number of micronuclei in binucleated human lymphocytes *in vitro* (Zarani et al. 1999).

2.6 INTERACTIONS WITH OTHER SUBSTANCES

Iba et al. (1993) prepared microsomes from lungs and livers of rats exposed to 20 ppm pyridine by inhalation for 5–6 hours/day for 10 days, to acetone (7.5%, v/v) in drinking water for 10 days or by inhalation to 50% aqueous acetone for 5–6 hours/day for 10 days, or to acetone in combination with pyridine administered separately as above. Controls received water for inhalation and oral exposures. In the liver microsomes, there was induction of ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylase (EROD) activity for oral acetone by 2.5-fold, for pyridine by inhalation by 2.8-fold, and for the combination of acetone and pyridine by 7.6-fold, indicating greater-than-additive interaction. The levels of CYP1A1 were induced by acetone, pyridine, and the combination by 8.3-, 6.6-, and 32.7-fold, respectively. These results indicated even greater synergistic interaction. Similar greater-than-additive interaction results were also found for methoxyresorufin *O*-demethylase (MEROD) and CYP1A2 in the liver microsomes. Microsomal EROD was induced by all treatments in the lung, and a synergistic interaction was even greater in the lung, with an increase that was 4-fold for acetone, 21-fold for pyridine, and 115.5-fold for the combination. CYP1A1 was also induced synergistically by acetone and pyridine in the lung microsomes.

In the 1994 Toxicological Profile for Acetone, studies by Ladefoged and co-workers demonstrated that acetone potentiated the nerve conduction velocity and neurobehavioral effects of 2,5-hexanedione in rats, but noted that the mechanism of action of this potentiation was not fully understood. More recently, Ladefoged et al. (1994) performed similar experiments. This time, they included histological examination of the sciatic and tibial nerves in rats immediately after the 6-week exposures in rats allowed a 10-week recovery period. As in previous experiments, acetone potentiated 2,5-hexanedione-induced open field ambulation and rearing balance in the rotarod tests, and grip strength. The ambulation was reversible during the recovery period by all treatments, but the effects on rearing and balance were reversible in the 2,5-hexanedione group only. That is, the potentiation by acetone persisted. Histological examination revealed that after exposure, giant axon swelling was induced by 2,5-hexanedione and the combination of 2,5-hexanedione and acetone, and a change in the distribution of fiber area size occurred in rats exposed to 2,5-hexanedione. The lesions observed in the co-exposure group were statistically similar to the effects of 2,5-hexanedione alone, but appeared aggravated by co-exposure, as seen by conventional pathological evaluation. After the 10-week recovery period, the nerve tissues appeared normal. The investigators concluded that neurotoxicity of the combined exposure was not reversible and that the mechanism of acetone potentiation is probably an effect on the toxicokinetics of 2,5-hexanedione.

2.7 POPULATIONS THAT ARE UNUSUALLY SUSCEPTIBLE

Groups of obese and lean mice maintained on high-fat diets were given acetone in drinking water (2%) for 2 weeks to induce CYP2E1 (Dey and Cedebaum 2007). Controls consisted of obese and lean mice maintained on the same diet as the experimental mice but not given acetone. Acetone induced more extensive fatty changes, and mild necrosis in the livers of the obese mice compared with the livers of both control lean and control obese mice. The acetone-treated obese mice also had higher caspase-3 activity, numerous apoptotic hepatocytes, increased protein carbonyls, malondialdehyde, 4-hydroxynonenal- and 3-nitrotyrosine-protein adducts, and elevated levels of inducible nitric oxide synthase. These results suggest that obesity contributes to liver toxicity and that the damage is enhanced by exposure to acetone through its induction of CYP2E1.

3. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION

No updated data.

4. PRODUCTION, IMPORT/EXPORT, USE, AND DISPOSAL

No updated data.

5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

5.3.2 Transformation and Degradation

5.3.2.3 Soil

A gram-negative bacterium (*Paracoccus solventivorans*) capable of degrading acetone was isolated from soil at a natural gas company (Siller et al. 1996).

6. ANALYTICAL METHODS

No updated data.

7. REGULATIONS AND ADVISORIES

Table 7-1. Regulations and Guidelines Applicable to Acetone

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
<u>INTERNATIONAL</u>			
Guidelines:			
IARC	Carcinogenicity classification	No	IARC 2009
WHO	Air quality guidelines	No	WHO 2000
	Drinking water quality guidelines	No	WHO 2006
<u>NATIONAL</u>			
Regulations and Guidelines:			
a. Air			
ACGIH	TLV (8-hour TWA) TLV-basis (critical effect)	500 ppm Upper respiratory tract, eye irritation, central nervous system impairment, hematologic effects	ACGIH 2008
NIOSH	REL (10-hour TWA) IDLH (10% LEL) Target organs	250 ppm (590 mg/m ³) 2500 ppm Eyes, skin, respiratory system, central nervous system	NIOSH 2005
OSHA	PEL (8-hour TWA) for general industry	1000 ppm (2400 mg/m ³)	OSHA 2009
b. Water			
EPA	Drinking water standards and health advisories	No	EPA 2006
	National primary drinking water standards	No	EPA 2009

Table 7-1. Regulations and Guidelines Applicable to Acetone

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
<u>NATIONAL</u> (cont.)			
c. Other			
ACGIH	Carcinogenicity classification	A4 ^a	ACGIH 2008
	Biological exposure indices (end of shift)		
	Acetone in urine ^b	50 mg/L	
EPA	Carcinogenicity classification	No	IRIS 2009
	RfC	No	
	RfD	0.9 mg/kg-day	
NTP	Carcinogenicity classification	No	NTP 2011

^aA4: not classifiable as a human carcinogen.

^bThe determinant is nonspecific, since it is also observed after exposure to other chemicals (ACGIH 2008).

ACGIH = American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; CFR = Code of Federal Regulations; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; IARC = International Agency for Research on Cancer; IDLH = immediately dangerous to life or health; IRIS = Integrated Risk Information System; NIOSH = National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; NTP = National Toxicology Program; OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health Administration; PEL = permissible exposure limit; REL = recommended exposure limit; RfC = inhalation reference concentration; RfD = oral reference dose; TLV = threshold limit values; TWA = time-weighted average; WHO = World Health Organization

8. REFERENCES

- ACGIH. 2008. 2008 TLVs and BEIs: Based on the documentation of the threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 10, 78, 100–102.
- Bondoc FY, Bao Z, Hu WY, et al. 1999. Acetone catabolism by cytochrome P450 2E1: Studies with CYP2E1-null mice. *Biochem Pharmacol* 58(3):461–463.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10424765>.
- Bruckner JV, Ramanathan R, Lee KM, et al. 2002. Mechanisms of circadian rhythmicity of carbon tetrachloride hepatotoxicity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 300(1):273–281.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11752126>.
- Buron G, Hacquemand R, Pourié, et al. 2009. Inhalation exposure to acetone induces selective damage on olfactory neuroepithelium in mice. *NeuroToxicology* 30:114–120.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6W81-4TYYNK4-1/2/97f46515ad6ac278b7c5ff4fce9d386b>
- Chen L, Lee M, Hong JY, et al. 1994. Relationship between cytochrome P450 2E1 and acetone catabolism in rats as studied with diallyl sulfide as an inhibitor. *Biochem Pharmacol* 48(12):2199–2205.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7811301>.
- Christoph GR, Malley LA, Stadler JC. 2003. Subchronic inhalation exposure to acetone vapor and scheduled controlled operant performance in male rats. *Inhal Toxicol* 15(8):781–798.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12825153>.
- Clewell HJ, 3rd, Gentry PR, Gearhart JM, et al. 2001. Development of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model of isopropanol and its metabolite acetone. *Toxicol Sci* 63(2):160–172.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11568359>.
- Dalton P, Wysocki CJ, Brody MJ, et al. 1997. Perceived odor, irritation, and health symptoms following short-term exposure to acetone. *Am J Ind Med* 31(5):558–569.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9099358>.
- De Almeida BB, Mathias MG, Portari GV, et al. 2010. Chronic acetonemia alters liver oxidative balance and lipid content in rats. A model of Nash? *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 118:61–63.
<https://www.thieme-connect.com/ejournals/html/eced/doi/10.1055/s-0029-1225649>.
- Dey A, Cedebaum AI. 2007. Induction of cytochrome promotes liver injury in ob/ob mice. *Hepatology* 45:1355–1365. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.21603>.
- EPA. 2009. National primary drinking water regulations. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water. EPA816F09004.
<http://www.epa.gov/safewater/consumer/pdf/mcl.pdf>. August 7, 2009.

EPA. 2006. 2006 Edition of the drinking water standards and health advisories. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Water. EPA822R04005.
<http://epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/drinking/dwstandards.pdf>. May 19, 2009.

Gentry PR, Covington TR, Andersen ME, et al. 2002. Application of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for isopropanol in the derivation of a reference dose and reference concentration. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 36:51–68. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12383718>.

Gentry PR, Covington TR, Clewell HJ III. 2003. Application of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for reference dose and reference concentration estimation for acetone. J. toxicol Environ Health Part A 66:2209–2225.
<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~db=all?content=10.1080/713853996>

IARC. 2009. Agents reviewed by the IARC Monographs. Volumes 1-100A. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
<http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/ListagentsCASnos.pdf>. May 19, 2009.

Iba MM, Bennett S, Storch A, et al. 1993. Synergistic induction of rat microsomal CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 by acetone in combination with pyridine. Cancer Letters 74:69–74.

IRIS. 2009. Acetone. Integrated Risk Information System. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www.epa.gov/ncea/iris/subst/0128.htm>. August 6, 2009.

Kiesswetter E, Blaszkewicz M, Vancala RR, et al. 1994. Acute exposure to acetone in a factory and rating of well-being. NeuroToxicology 15 (3): 597–602.

Kostusiak V, Bekkal R, Mateu P. 2003. Survival after drinking lethal dose of acetone. Intensive Care Med 29(2):339. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12594602>.

Kumagai S, Matsunaga I. 1995. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for acetone. Occup Environ Med 52(5):344–352. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7795758>.

Ladefoged O, Roswall K, Larsen, J-J. 1994. Acetone potentiation and influence on the reversibility of 2,5-hexanedione-induced neurotoxicity studied with behavioural and morphometric methods in rats. Pharmacology and Toxicology 74:294–299.

Maurer JK, Molai A, Parker RD, et al. 2001. Pathology of ocular irritation with acetone, cyclohexanol, paraflurooaniline, and formaldehyde in the rabbit low-volume eye test. Toxicol Pathol 29(2):187–199.
<http://tpx.sagepub.com/content/29/2/187.abstract>.

Mitran E, Callender T, Orha B, et al. 1997. Neurotoxicity associated with occupational exposure to acetone, methyl ethyl ketone, and cyclohexanone. Environ Res 73(1-2):181–188.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9311545>.

Mörk AK, Johanson G. 2006. A human physiological model describing acetone kinetics in blood and breath during various levels of physical exercise. Toxicol Lett 164(1):6–15.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16364574>.

Mörk AK, Johanson G. 2010. Chemical-specific adjustment factors for intraspecies variability of acetone toxicokinetics using a probabilistic approach. *Toxicological Sciences* 116(1):336–348. <http://toxsci.oxfordjournals.org/content/116/1/336.abstract>

NIOSH. 2005. Acetone. NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NIOSH Publication 2005-149. <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0004.html>. August 6, 2009.

NTP. 2011. Report on carcinogens, twelfth edition. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. National Toxicology Program. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/twelfth/roc12>. August 15, 2011.

Orellana BM, Guajardo V, Araya J, et al. 2001. Oxidative stress, microsomal and peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation in the liver of rats treated with acetone. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol* 128(4):503–509. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11301292>.

OSHA. 2009. Table Z-1 limits for air contaminants. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Code of Federal Regulations. 29 CFR 1910.1000 Subpart Z. http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=STANDARDS&p_id=9992. May 19, 2009.

Piatkowski A, Groger A, Bozkurt A, et al. 2007. Acetone associated inhalation injury and rhabdomyolysis. *Burns* 33(7):932–934. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17498882>.

Satoh T, Omae K, Nakashima H, et al. 1996. Relationship between acetone exposure concentration and health effects in acetate fiber plant workers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 68(3):147–153. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8919841>.

Scholl RR, Iba MM. 1997. Pharmacokinetics of the CYP1A induction by pyridine and acetone in the rat: interactions and effects of route of exposure. *Xenobiotica* 27(3):265–277.

Siller H, Rainey FA, Stackebrandt E, et al. 1996. Isolation and characterization of a new gram-negative, acetone-degrading, nitrate-reducing bacterium from soil, *Paracoccus solventivorans* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 46(4):1125–1130. <http://ijs.sgmjournals.org/cgi/content/abstract/46/4/1125>.

Stadler K, Bonini MG, Dallas S, et al. 2008. Direct evidence of iNOS-mediated in vivo free radical production and protein oxidation in acetone-induced ketosis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 295(2):E456–E462. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18559982>.

WHO. 2000. Summary of the guidelines. In: WHO air quality guidelines for Europe—2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. May 19, 2009.

WHO. 2006. Annex 4—Chemical summary tables. In: Guidelines for drinking-water quality, third edition, incorporating first and second addenda. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 488–492. http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/GDWAN4rev1and2.pdf. May 19, 2009.

Woolhiser MR, Houtman CE, Waechter JM. 2006. Acetone in drinking water does not modulate humoral immunity in mice as measured by the antibody, plaque-forming cell assay. *Int J Toxicol* 25(5):333–339. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16940005>.

Wysocki CJ, Dalton P, Brody MJ, et al. 1997. Acetone odor and irritation thresholds obtained from acetone-exposed factory workers and from control (occupationally unexposed) subjects. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 58(10):704–712. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9342830>.

Zarani F, Papazafiri P, Kappas A. 1999. Induction of micronuclei in human lymphocytes by organic solvents *in vitro*. *J Environ Pathol.* 18(1):21–28.