



Uploaded to the VFC Website

▶▶▶ 2021 ◀◀◀

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

[Veterans-For-Change](#)

If Veterans don't help Veterans, who will?

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members & subscribers.



7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the analytical methods that are available for detecting, measuring, and/or monitoring dichlorobenzenes, its metabolites, and other biomarkers of exposure and effect to dichlorobenzenes. The intent is not to provide an exhaustive list of analytical methods. Rather, the intention is to identify well-established methods that are used as the standard methods of analysis. Many of the analytical methods used for environmental samples are the methods approved by federal agencies and organizations such as EPA and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Other methods presented in this chapter are those that are approved by groups such as the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) and the American Public Health Association (APHA). Additionally, analytical methods are included that modify previously used methods to obtain lower detection limits and/or to improve accuracy and precision.

7.1 BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS

Methods are available for measuring levels of DCBs in blood, urine, tissue, and breath. Representative methods are summarized in Table 7-1. Methods include sample collection, preparation, cleanup, and determination. Sample preparation techniques are usually required to separate the compound of interest from the complex biological sample medium. Gas purge and solvent extraction are used most frequently to separate DCBs from blood, urine, and tissues. The breath matrix is relatively simple and does not require preparation steps; however, special techniques such as use of a spirometer are required to provide pure air for inhalation and a mechanism for collection of exhaled air. Gas chromatography (GC) is used most frequently to detect DCBs in biological materials. Detectors used to identify DCBs in biological materials include the electron capture detector (ECD) (Bristol et al. 1982; Jan 1983), the photoionization detector (PID) (Langhorst and Nestrick 1979), and mass spectrometry (MS) (Ashley et al. 1992; Michael et al. 1980). ECD and PID provide some selectivity, but confirmation using a different GC column or detector is often recommended. MS provides identification as well as quantitation of analytes.

Separation of DCBs from biological samples may be accomplished by extraction with hexane (Bristol et al. 1982; Jan 1983), or carbon tetrachloride (Langhorst and Nestrick 1979), or by purging with an inert gas and trapping on a sorbent material. Solvent extraction permits concentration, thereby increasing sensitivity, but the extraction solvents can interfere with the analysis, and evaporative losses can result in low recovery. Gas purge techniques may be static (headspace) or dynamic (purge-and-trap). The static

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Table 7-1. Analytical Methods for Determining Dichlorobenzenes in Biological Materials

Sample matrix (analyte)	Sample preparation	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Blood (1,3-DCB)	Headspace purge; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS	3 ng/mL	86.3	IARC Method 25; Pellizzari et al. 1985
Blood (model compounds)	Headspace purge; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS	Low-ppb	86–120 (model compounds)	Michael et al. 1980
Blood (1,2-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gel column clean-up	GC/PID	3.6 ppb	85	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Blood (1,3-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gel column clean-up	GC/PID	2.8 ppb	82	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Blood (1,4-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gel column clean-up	GC/PID	3.0 ppb	89	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Blood (1,2-DCB)	Solvent extraction	GC/ECD	1.4 ppb	76.6	Bristol et al. 1982
Blood (1,3-DCB)	Solvent extraction	GC/ECD	1.3 ppb	74.5	Bristol et al. 1982
Blood (1,4-DCB)	Solvent extraction	GC/ECD	2 ppb	81.6	Bristol et al. 1982
Blood (1,2-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.05 ppb	77–122	Ashley et al. 1992
Blood (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.04 ppb	130–162	Ashley et al. 1992
Blood (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.04 ppb	93–98	Ashley et al. 1992
Blood, urine (unspecified DCBs)	Purge-and-trap, thermal desorption cap	GC/MS	No data	No data	Barkley et al. 1980
Urine (1,2-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gen column clean-up	GC/PID	0.90 ppb	83	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Urine (1,3-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gen column clean-up	GC/PID	0.70 ppb	78	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Urine (1,4-DCB)	Solvent extraction; silica gen column clean-up	GC/PID	0.75 ppb	81	Langhorst and Nestrick 1979
Urine (model compounds)	Headspace purge; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS	Low-ppb	48–110 (model compounds)	Michael et al. 1980

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Table 7-1. Analytical Methods for Determining Dichlorobenzenes in Biological Materials

Sample matrix (analyte)	Sample preparation	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Adipose tissue (model compounds)	Maceration; headspace purge; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS	Low-ppb	13–80 (model compounds)	Michael et al. 1980
Human milk (chlorobenzene)	Headspace purge; thermal desorption	GC/MS	0.6	62.9	Erickson et al. 1980
Human milk (unspecified DCBs)	Solvent extraction; cleanup with sulfuric acid, Florisil	GC/ECD	No data	>80	Jan 1983
Adipose tissue (unspecified DCBs)	Solvent extraction; cleanup with sulfuric acid, Florisil	GC/ECD	No data	>80	Jan 1983
Tissue (1,3-DCB)	Maceration; headspace purge; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS	6 ng/g	56.5	IARC Method 25; Pellizzari et al. 1985
Breath (unspecified DCBs)	Collection using a spirometer; adsorption on Tenax traps; thermal desorption cap	GC/MS	No data	No data	Barkley et al. 1980
Breath (1,4-DCB)	Collection into canisters using spirometer; cryofocussing; thermal desorption	cap. GC/MS-SIM	low- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	49–80	Thomas et al. 1991

cap. = capillary; ECD = electron capture device; GC = gas chromatography; MS = mass spectrometry; PID = photo-ionization detector; SIM = selected ion monitoring

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

headspace technique is relatively simple, but may be less sensitive than the purge-and-trap method. The purge-and-trap method, while providing increased sensitivity, requires more complex instrumentation and may result in artifact formation (Seto 1994).

Although a variety of methods are available for determination of DCBs in blood, few are well characterized and validated. A method has been developed which utilizes headspace purge followed by thermal desorption of the trapped, purged analytes. DCBs are then determined by capillary GC/MS (Michael et al. 1980; Pellizzari et al. 1985). Recovery is very good (>85%) and detection limits are in the low-ppb range for model compounds (Michael et al. 1980; Pellizzari et al. 1985). A sensitive and reliable method for identification and quantitation of DCBs in samples of whole blood has been developed by Ashley and coworkers at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Ashley et al. 1992). The method involves purge-and-trap of a 10 mL blood sample with analysis by capillary GC/high resolution MS. Anti-foam procedures are utilized as well as special efforts to remove background levels of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from reagents and equipment. The method is sensitive enough (ppt levels) to determine background levels of VOCs in the population. Percent recoveries were 77–122% for 1,2-DCB, 130–162% for 1,3-DCB, and 93–98% for 1,4-DCB.

Methods are available for monitoring DCBs in urine and tissues, particularly adipose tissue and mother's milk. Solvent extraction, silica gel column clean-up, and GC/ECD or GC/PID analysis has been used for urine (Langhorst and Nestrick 1979), mother's milk (Jan 1983), and adipose tissue (Jan 1983). Recovery is good (>80% recovery) and detection limits are in the low-ppb range (Jan 1983; Langhorst and Nestrick 1979). Headspace purge followed by capillary GC/MS analysis has been utilized for urine (Michael et al. 1980), mother's milk (Erickson et al. 1980), and tissue (Pellizzari et al. 1985). Recovery, where reported, is adequate (>60%) (Erickson et al. 1980), and detection limits are in the low-ppb range (Erickson et al. 1980).

Breath samples are usually collected through a spirometer onto a sorbent cartridge (Barkley et al. 1980) or into passivated canisters (Thomas et al. 1991). Analytes are concentrated cryogenically from a portion of the canister contents or after thermal desorption from the sorbent, then analyzed by GC/MS. Recovery of 1,4-DCB using Tenax cartridges was 86–101% and the detection limit was about 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The method is sufficiently sensitive and reliable for monitoring exposure to DCBs. Recovery for collection of 1,4-DCB in canisters was 49–80% and the detection limits were in the low- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ range (Thomas et al. 1991). The spirometer system utilizing canisters is compact, and may be useful as a field screening method (Thomas et al. 1991).

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

7.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

Methods are available for determining DCBs in a variety of environmental matrices. A summary of representative methods is shown in Table 7-2. Validated methods, approved by agencies and organizations such as EPA, ASTM, APHA, and NIOSH, are available for air, water, and solid waste matrices. These methods for analysis of drinking water, waste water, and soil/sediment samples are included in Table 7-2. Many of the methods published by APHA and ASTM for water are equivalent to the EPA methods.

GC is the most widely used analytical technique for quantifying concentrations of DCBs in environmental matrices. Various detection devices used for GC include the flame ionization detector (FID), ECD, Hall electroconductivity detector (HECD), and PID. Confirmation using a second column is usually recommended. MS provides identification as well quantitation for GC analysis. Because of the complexity of the sample matrix and the usually low concentrations of VOCs in environmental media, sample concentration is generally required prior to GC analysis. Methods suitable for determining trace amounts of DCBs in aqueous and other environmental media include three basic approaches to the pretreatment of the sample: gas purge-and-trap technique, headspace-gas extraction, and extraction with solvent. Care must be taken during sample collection and processing to avoid evaporative losses. Contamination is another potential analytical problem and monitoring is required. 1,4-DCB is a relatively common chemical compound and can contaminate reagents and glassware.

Charcoal adsorbent is used for collection of DCBs in occupational air. The compounds are desorbed with carbon disulfide and analyzed by GC/FID. The method is sufficiently sensitive and reliable for determining occupational exposure to DCBs (NIOSH 1994).

Ambient air samples are collected on adsorbents such as Tenax (Wallace 1987), or multisorbent (Heavner et al. 1992; Oliver et al. 1996), or in passivated canisters (EPA 1988a). Tenax traps are thermally desorbed, concentrated cryogenically, and analyzed by capillary GC/MS (Wallace et al. 1987). Recovery is good (81–110%), precision for side-by-side samples is acceptable (9–45% RSD), and the detection limit is $\approx 1 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Wallace 1987). Multisorbent traps may be solvent desorbed and analyzed by capillary GC/MS. Recovery and precision are good and detection limits as low as 0.019 ppb have been reported (Oliver et al. 1996). Collection of air samples in passivated stainless steel canisters is also widely utilized

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Dichlorobenzenes in Environmental Samples

Sample matrix (analyte)	Sample preparation	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Occupational air (1,2-DCB)	Collection on charcoal tubes; desorption with CS ₂	GC/FID	0.01 mg/sample ^a	±13.7	Method 1003 NIOSH 1994
Occupational air (1,4-DCB)	Collection on charcoal tubes; desorption with CS ₂	GC/FID	0.01 mg/sample ^a	±12.5	Method 1003 NIOSH 1994
Ambient air (VOCs including DCBs)	Collection in canisters; cryofocussing; thermal desorption	cap. GC with FID, ECD or MS	No data	No data	Method TO-14 EPA 1988a
Air-emission sources (selected compounds)	MM5 sampling train (condensate, filter, adsorbent); condensate, impinger and rinses, solvent extraction, evaporation; XAD-2 adsorbent and filters, Soxhlet extraction, concentration	cap. GC/MS	No data	-13 to -16	Method 0010 EPA 1994f
Air-emission sources (volatile organics)	VOST sampling train (sorbent traps); thermal desorption	GC/MS	No data	No data	Method 0030 EPA 1994h
Drinking water (1,2- and 1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/HECD; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	<0.01 µg/L for most VOCs	95	Method 502.1 EPA 1991a
Drinking water (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/HECD; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	<0.01 µg/L for most VOCs	90	Method 502.1 EPA 1991a
Drinking water (1,2-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID-HECD; conf. by GC/MS	0.03–0.05 µg/L (PID); 0.02–0.04 µg/L (HECD)	97–102 (PID); 98–100 (HECD)	Method 502.2 EPA 1991b
Drinking water (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID-HECD; conf. by GC/MS	0.02 µg/L (PID); 0.02–0.07 µg/L (HECD)	97–104 (PID); 97–106 (HECD)	Method 502.2 EPA 1991b
Drinking water (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID-HECD; conf. by GC/MS	0.01–0.03 µg/L (PID); 0.01–0.04 µg/L (HECD)	97–103 (PID); 97–98 (HECD)	Method 502.2 EPA 1991b
Drinking water (1,2-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.02 µg/L	75–85	Method 503.1 EPA 1991c

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Dichlorobenzenes in Environmental Samples

Sample matrix (analyte)	Sample preparation	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Drinking water (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.006 µg/L	91	Method 503.1 EPA 1991c
Drinking water (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.006 µg/L	91–107	Method 503.1 EPA 1991c
Drinking water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.03–0.05 µg/L	93–97	Method 524.2 EPA 1992a
Drinking water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.05–0.12 µg/L	87–100	Method 524.2 EPA 1992a
Drinking water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.03–0.04 µg/L	93–103	Method 524.2 EPA 1992a
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/HECD; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.15 µg/L	ND–208	Method 601 EPA 2002c
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/HECD; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.32 µg/L	7–187	Method 601 EPA 2002c
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/HECD; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.24 µg/L	42–143	Method 601 EPA 1984c; EPA 2002c
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.4 µg/L	37–154	Method 602 EPA 2002d
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.3 µg/L	50–141	Method 602 EPA 2002d
Waste water	Purge and trap	GC/PID; conf. on second col. or GC/MS	0.3 µg/L	42–143	Method 602 EPA 1984f; EPA 2002d
Waste water	Solvent extraction; optional Florisil column clean-up	GC/ECD	1.14 µg/L	9–160	Method 612 EPA 2002b
Waste water	Solvent extraction; optional Florisil column clean-up	GC/ECD	1.19 µg/L	DL–150	Method 612 EPA 2002b
Waste water	Solvent extraction; optional Florisil column clean-up	GC/ECD	1.34 µg/L	13–137	Method 612 EPA 1984c; EPA 2002b
Waste water (1,2- and 1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/MS	No data	18–190	Method 624 EPA 1984d; EPA 2002a
Waste water (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	GC/MS	No data	59–156	Method 624 EPA 1984d; EPA 2002a
Waste water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.031 µg/L	106	Method 6200B APHA 1998

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Dichlorobenzenes in Environmental Samples

Sample matrix (analyte)	Sample preparation	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Waste water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.045 µg/L	108	Method 6200B APHA 1998
Waste water	Purge and trap	cap. GC/MS	0.033 µg/L	106	Method 6200B APHA 1998
Waste water/ Drinking water (1,2-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap GC/HECD, PID	0.023 µg/L (HECD); 0.031 µg/L (PID)	93 (HECD); 67 (PID)	Method 6200 APHA 1998
Waste water/ Drinking water (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap GC/HECD, PID	0.017 µg/L (HECD); 0.028 µg/L (PID)	95 (HECD); 70 (PID)	Method 6200 APHA 1998
Waste water/ Drinking water (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap	cap GC/HECD, PID	0.059 µg/L (HECD); 0.061 µg/L (PID)	91 (HECD); 70 (PID)	Method 6200 APHA 1998
Drinking water (VOCs)	Purge and trap	GC	low µg/L	99	Method D 3871 ASTM 1994
Solid waste (VOCs)	Closed system purge and trap and extraction	GC/ECD, FID, MS	Not reported	Not reported	Method 5035 EPA 1996c
Solid waste (1,2-DCB)	Purge and trap, direct injection, headspace, or vacuum distillation	GC/HECD, PID	0.02 µg/L (HECD); 0.05 (PID)	100 (HECD); 102 (PID)	Method 8021B EPA 1996d
Solid waste (1,3-DCB)	Purge and trap, direct injection, headspace, or vacuum distillation	GC/HECD, PID	0.02 µg/L (HECD); 0.02 (PID)	106 (HECD); 104 (PID)	Method 8021B EPA 1996d
Solid waste (1,4-DCB)	Purge and trap, direct injection, headspace, or vacuum distillation	GC/HECD, PID	0.01 µg/L (HECD); 0.07 (PID)	98 (HECD); 103 (PID)	Method 8021B EPA 1996d
Solid waste (1,2-DCB)	Solvent extraction	Single or dual cap. GC/ECD	270 ng/L	102	Method 8121 EPA 1994l
Solid waste (1,3-DCB)	Solvent extraction	Single or dual cap. GC/ECD	250 ng/L	103	Method 8121 EPA 1994l
Solid waste (1,4-DCB)	Solvent extraction	Single or dual cap. GC/ECD	890 ng/L	104	Method 8121 EPA 1994l

^aEstimated limit of detection

cap. = capillary; conf. = confirmation; col. = column; DL = detection limit; ECD = electron capture detector; FID = flame ionization detector; GC = gas chromatography; HECD = Hall electrolytic conductivity detector; MS = mass spectrometry; ND = not detected; PID = photoionization detector; VOC = volatile organic compound

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

(EPA 1988a), but performance data are unavailable. Passive sampling devices are also widely used, due in part to their ease of use and small size (Lewis et al. 1985).

For water, soil, or sediment samples, DCBs are purged from the sample with an inert gas such as helium or nitrogen, and then passed through the sorbent (EPA 1984a, 1984b, 1991a, 1991b, 1991c, 1992a, 1994a, 1994f). The analytes are thermally desorbed and analyzed by GC/HECD, GC/PID, GC/ECD, or GC/MS techniques. Detection limits for waste waters and solid wastes are in the low-ppb range, which is probably well below levels of health concern. Detection limits for drinking water samples are generally in the ppt range (0.006–0.05 µg/L) (EPA 1991a, 1991b, 1991c, 1992a).

Several physical parameters may interfere with analytical accuracy. High sampling flow rates and high temperature and humidity may cause decreased adsorption of DCB vapor on the solid sorbent (APHA 1995a). Interference by other VOCs with similar retention times may be resolved by using different GC column materials and temperatures or by using MS techniques.

The use of capillary columns rather than packed column GC has improved resolution and sensitivity and shortened the analysis time (Washall and Wampler 1988). However, more stringent sample clean-up procedures are required for capillary column GC (Oliver and Nicol 1982b). The development of methods using whole column cryotrapping (Pankow and Rosen 1988; Pankow et al. 1988) and cryogenic refocusing (Washall and Wampler 1988) provide even greater sensitivity and resolution for GC analysis.

7.3 ADEQUACY OF THE DATABASE

Section 104(i)(5) of CERCLA, as amended, directs the Administrator of ATSDR (in consultation with the Administrator of EPA and agencies and programs of the Public Health Service) to assess whether adequate information on the health effects of dichlorobenzenes is available. Where adequate information is not available, ATSDR, in conjunction with NTP, is required to assure the initiation of a program of research designed to determine the health effects (and techniques for developing methods to determine such health effects) of dichlorobenzenes.

The following categories of possible data needs have been identified by a joint team of scientists from ATSDR, NTP, and EPA. They are defined as substance-specific informational needs that if met would reduce the uncertainties of human health assessment. This definition should not be interpreted to mean

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

that all data needs discussed in this section must be filled. In the future, the identified data needs will be evaluated and prioritized, and a substance-specific research agenda will be proposed.

7.3.1 Identification of Data Needs

Methods for Determining Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect.

Exposure. Exposure to DCBs may be evaluated by measuring the levels of these compounds in blood, breath, milk, and adipose tissue, and by measuring the level of 2,5-dichlorophenol, a metabolite of 1,4-DCB, or the levels of 2,3-dichlorophenol, 3,4-dichlorophenol, 3,4-dichlorocatechol, and 4,5-dichlorocatechol, metabolites of 1,2-DCB, in urine (Bristol et al. 1982; Erickson et al. 1980; Jan 1983; Kumagai and Matsunaga 1995, 1997; Langhorst and Nestrick 1979; Mage et al. 2004; Pellizzari et al. 1985). Sensitive analytical methods are available for measurements in blood. Development of methods with improved specificity and sensitivity for other tissues and breath would be valuable in identifying individuals with low-level exposure. Development of standardized procedures would permit comparison of data and facilitate the study of correlations between exposure and measured levels biological samples. Interlaboratory studies are also needed to provide better performance data for methods currently in use.

Effect. There are no known health effects such as elevated liver enzymes that are uniquely associated with exposure to DCBs. Therefore, the identification of specific health effects and the development of analytical methods to determine biomarkers of effect for DCBs would be useful.

Methods for Determining Parent Compounds and Degradation Products in Environmental

Media. Air is the environmental medium of most concern for human exposure to DCBs. Exposure from drinking water may also be of concern in some areas, such as near hazardous waste sites. Existing analytical methods can measure DCBs in these and other environmental media at background levels (EPA 1988a, 1984a, 1984b, 1991a, 1991b, 1991c, 1992a, 1994a, 1994f; NIOSH 1994). The accuracy and precision of the methods for water and wastes are well documented and MS provides adequate specificity. Performance data for measurements in ambient and indoor air would be helpful. Development of techniques to improve the accuracy and ease of sample preparation and transfer for these methods would also be helpful.

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

7.3.2 Ongoing Studies

No ongoing studies involving analytical techniques for DCBs were found in a search of the Federal Research in Progress database (FEDRIP 2005).