



---

## Uploaded to VFC Website ~ October 2012 ~

---

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

[Veterans-For-Change](#)

---

*Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation  
Tax ID #27-3820181*

***If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?***

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

[https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78)

---

**Note:** VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.

---

**Item ID Number** 02022

**Author**

**Corporate Author**

**Report/Article Title** Correspondence Regarding Dr. Fingerhut's Soft-Tissue Sarcoma Report, April - May 1984

**Journal/Book Title**

**Year**

**Month/Day**

**Color**

**Number of Images** 3

**Description Notes** Item consists of three letters. One from Jacque Grinberg to Alvin L. Young, 10 May 1984, and two from B. H. Manning to Jacque Grinberg, 26 April 1984 and 1 May 1984..



EMBASSY OF AUSTRALIA

IN REPLY QUOTE:

10 May 1984

Dear Al,

....

Attached are two letters from Bruce Manning concerning the confidential report on soft tissue sarcoma by Dr Fingerhut. In each letter, Bruce Manning raises a number of questions about that report. We would be grateful for any information you can provide with which to answer those questions.

As discussed in our conversation last week, I am also sending to you a full set of the transcripts of the Agent Orange Royal Commission. I understand from conversations with Canberra that even though a settlement has been announced in the Agent Orange trial in New York, nonetheless, the Australian Veterans have asked that the Royal Commission continue and complete its work and that there is a real possibility of separate litigation on Agent Orange in Australia.

Perhaps when some of the dust settles on the activities in New York, we might be able to meet together and discuss where the Agent Orange issue might go from here.

Best wishes,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "J. Grinberg".

(J. GRINBERG)  
Second Secretary

Lt Col Alvin L. Young PhD  
Senior Policy Analyst for Life  
Sciences  
Executive Office of the President  
Office of Science and Technology  
Policy (Room 5005)  
New Executive Office Building  
WASHINGTON D.C. 20506

*Bruce called  
24 May 84  
RE: Individuals that  
could address  
exposure  
Newton Post with  
Fingerhut Manning  
Visit in late  
June.*



Commonwealth Department of  
**Veterans' Affairs**

Telex AA62706

CENTRAL OFFICE

Contact  
Reference

Telephone

MLC Tower  
Keltie Street  
Woden Town Centre ACT  
Postal Address:  
PO Box 21, Woden ACT 2606

Telephone: (062) 89 1111

Mr J. Grinberg,  
Second Secretary,  
Embassy of Australia,  
1606 Massachusetts Avenue,  
WASHINGTON D.C. 20036

26 April, 1984

Dear Jacque,

I refer to your cable WH17837 of 21 March 1984 concerning a confidential report on soft tissue sarcoma by Dr Fingerhut.

I was hoping that a better copy of the report could be obtained for us from Dr Al Young. While Dr Young has said that the report will not be made public, I note that Dr Fingerhut says that this copy of her recent presentation is being submitted for publication and that it should be considered as confidential, presumably until formal publication. I would be grateful if you would check with the Veterans Administration and/or Dr Young whether or when we can make public use of the review and whether we can provide it to the Royal Commission, if this has not already been done. I would appreciate clarification of these points concerning Dr Fingerhut's presentation.

On another matter, Dr Young requested a full set of daily transcripts of the Vietnam Veterans' Royal Commission. Four volumes of transcripts are forwarded under separate cover, and I would be grateful if you would pass these on to Dr Young.

Yours sincerely,

(B.H. MANNING)  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY  
(SPECIAL PROJECTS BRANCH)



Commonwealth Department of  
**Veterans' Affairs**

Telex AA62706

CENTRAL OFFICE

Contact  
Reference 84/104

Telephone

MLC Tower  
Keltie Street  
Woden Town Centre ACT  
Postal Address:  
PO Box 21, Woden ACT 2606

Telephone: (062) 89 1111

Mr J. Grinberg,  
Second Secretary,  
Embassy of Australia,  
1606 Massachusetts Avenue,  
WASHINGTON D.C. 20036

/ May 1984

Dear Jacque,

Further to my letter of 26 April 1984, I refer to your cable WH17837 of 21 March 1984 concerning a confidential report on soft tissue sarcoma by Dr Fingerhut. Having read the report in more detail, there are two points which I should like clarified. I should be grateful if you could approach Dr Al Young to see if he would raise these points on our behalf with Dr Fingerhut.

The first point appears to be a mis-print. At the bottom of page 6 of the text it refers to case 5 having a brief assignment to a pentachlorophenol department, but from the (hardly legible) text at the top of the page it would seem that this ought to be case 7.

The second point concerns the exposure of case 6. The authors state that he had worked only 2-5 years at a 2,4,5-T plant, and that he had no record of assignment to the 2,4,5-T area. This case was brought to light by Drs Johnson, Kugler, and Brown in a letter to the Lancet, 4 July 1981, and in that letter they referred to a job prior to the work at Monsanto which involved "phenol exposure". While the reference is to phenol rather than trichlorophenol or pentachlorophenol, this may have just been the use of a generic term. Case 6's family appears to have been resident in the area for some time, and it seems likely that prior to joining Monsanto, Case 6 had worked in the same geographical area and possibly in the same sort of industry. Could Dr Young find out whether the reference to "phenol exposure" in the earlier job was followed up by the authors, and with what result?

Yours sincerely,

(B.H. MANNING)  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY  
(SPECIAL PROJECTS)