



Uploaded to the VFC Website

▶▶▶ February 2015 ◀◀◀

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

[Veterans-For-Change](#)

If Veterans don't help Veterans, who will?

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members & subscribers.



In my quest for herbicide claim from a HAWK tac site in Korea:

1: An Indiana State level VSO informed me that AO was not effective at HIGH ALTITUDES and not used, the highest HAWK site in KOREA in 1971 (NOT MINE, was much lower) was only 4,625'. We called the tac sites "The Hill", common in Korea. Bull from a STATE VSO!

2: DVA used my regional APO (Camp Red Cloud at 20mi from DMZ) and not my Tac2 Site 34 where I spent 50% of my tour, to singularly deny my claim. HHQ 2/71st was at CRC where I went often and where mail first went to before going to / from the batteries, I was at "D" 2/71st and about 1/2 that distance from DMZ. Both on my DD214.

3: I saw the Tac2 Site 34 sprayed by Korean Katusa's with MP escorts, which many other missile vets claim the same and in a couple cases was told vets did the application some times. Also ignored pictures showing the defoliation then and can still be seen on satellite today.

<http://www.koreaatourofduty.us/KoreaCamps/TACsites/TAC2Site34/Pics.html> couple of pages.

37° 44.970'n / 126° 55.838'e

Upon arrival in ROK in early 1971 I soon had to go to the DMZ north of Munson at a 2nd ID range and qualify on the M16 / M60. We went by or may have crossed Freedom Bridge.

The proof is in the many claims files at the DVA, they can't be researching them.

Anyone that served in Military Zone 1, North of Seoul, DMZ down past Camp Red Cloud (7th ID, 2nd ID and I-Corp's) or traveled there were more than likely exposed in the 60's and 70's. Past that Dioxin has a very long decay period too. It may have also been used during the Korean War and testing started as far back as 1945. DVA makes the Vet assume the burden of proof when by law they are to help.

Filing an NOD but will likely require a lawyer.

<http://signon.org/sign/equality-wrt-vet-dioxin>
