

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

Veterans-For-Change

Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation Tax ID #27-3820181

If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.



item D Hum ber	05380 Not Scanne
Author	
Corporate Author	
Report/Article Title	Testimony before Congressional Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigating: John T. O'Connell, Member, Illinois House of Representatives, dated September 13, 1982
Journal/Book Title	
Year	1982
Month/Day	September 13
Color	
Number of Images	2
Descripton Notes	

Friday, March 08, 2002 Page 5380 of 5427

DISTRICT OFFICE

521 S. LA GRANGE RD. LA GRANGE, ILLINOIS 60525 (312) 354-1414 24 HOURS

STATE CAPITOL

HOUSE POST OFFICE SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706



JOHN T. O'CONNELL STATE REPRESENTATIVE 6TH DISTRICT

Elizabeth A. DeLezzer Legislative Alde

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY (1961 - 1982) HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

September 13, 1982

TESTIMONY BEFORE CONGRESSIONAL SUB-COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATING

JOHN T. O'CONNELL, MEMBER, ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Sub-Committee:

My name is John T. O'Connell. I am a member of the Illinois House of Representatives. I serve as Co-Chairman of the Illinois Agent Orange Commission and I, along with Senator Berning, am here to report on the Commission's activities to date.

I would like to state at the outset that a good portion of the final passage debate in the House centered on the appropriate role that Illinois should have in what is in a liability sense, a federal question. Some of my colleagues in the House contended that the determination of causative factors in the exposure to Agent Orange should properly be left to the Federal government. My response to that contention is that in the parlance of a fact finding process, the "adversary is investigating itself." While this is not meant to denigrate the good intentions of the Federal Government in achieving objective determinations, we felt the additional evidence produced by our state commission and other state commissions will bolster the Federal record of evidence and contribute to the overall ver city of your conclusions.

We would also respectively submit that too much time has transpired before comprehensive, structured testing has taken place in the Veteran Administration's part. Our evidence, even at this early stage in our hearing schedule, indicates that problems do exist. The testimony we have heard thus far has presented a commonality of symptoms ranging from rash outbreak, nervous disorder, mood change to more serious problems such as cancer and genetic defect. We are not at the stage in the evidence gathering, nor do we have the expertise to make any medical determinations when all the evidence is acquired, to say there is any causal factors with Agent Orange; however, we can say to you that these questions of medical disorders have been raised with the VA for years and it has only been recently that serious testing has begun.

TO: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Sub-Committee on Oversight and Investigating FROM: State Representative John T. O'Connell, Co-Chairman, Agent Orange Commission September 13, 1982

We feel that more should have been done to alert Vietnam veterans of the possibilities of ill effects from exposure to this defoliant. I have heard all too often from vets that they never related their problems until they read in a book or saw a television documentary or heard a radio documentary. I have yet to hear that the inquiry into Agent Orange was initiated by the VA. While the VA does have an Agent Orange testing program, we question the effectiveness of such tests that do not include the analysis of tissue. We can state that the VA has not placed such testing on a priority scale at least in one of the Illinois locations we heard testimony. In questioning the person who administered the tests, we discovered he had no formal medical training. This does not contribute to either our belief or, more importantly, the area veterans belief that the VA is doing everything they can. Incidentally, out of the 600 persons tested in this area, not one was found to have symptoms of adverse effects from Agent Orange.

Our concern then is that the VA has had to be pulled into this controversy. Our testimony to this date points to the fact that the VA, at least in Illinois, has been unresponsive to this issue. We feel our citizens deserve better treatment. We hope that you will exercise your congressional muscle to obtain such treatment. We also hope that the answers to questions raised for the last decade will be answered well before 1988.

Respectfully submitted,

John T. O'Connell State Representative 6th District, Illinois