

Uploaded to the VFC Website



This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

Veterans-For-Change

If Veterans don't help Veterans, who will?

Note

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members & subscribers.





HEADQUARTERS, AEROSPACE MAINTENANCE AND REGENERATION CENTER (AFMC)
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

1 OCT 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR HQ AFMC/CV

ATTENTION: LT GEN FARRELL 4375 CHILDLAW RD, SUITE 6

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-5006

FROM: AMARC/CD

4820 S. Wickenburg Avenue

Davis-Monthan AFB AZ 85707-4332

SUBJECT: Disposition of Dioxin Contaminated C-123 Aircraft

1. Due to circumstances described in the attached talking paper, we are currently faced with a dilemma which will require your assistance to resolve. Dioxin contaminated C-123 aircraft at AMARC have been sold by the General Services Administration (GSA) to the general public. Dioxins have been identified as carcinogens; however, no threshold limits, decontamination procedures, or disposal methods have been established. The local safety and bio-environmental services offices state that the contamination represents a health hazard which must be corrected prior to releasing the aircraft. The local JA representative has suggested having the customer sign a "hold harmless" disclaimer as a condition of release, but we have concerns that such a statement would not relieve the Air Force of any liability.

- 2. Request your assistance in determining whether the aircraft can be released as sold, or if they must be decontaminated or destroyed. Additionally, determination has to be made as to which organization has financial responsibility to fund any necessary decontamination or disposal at AMARC.
- 3. If your staff has any questions concerning this issue, please contact Mr. Thomas R. Mullaney, DSN 228-8001, FAX/DSN 228-8139.

RALPHY. SCHONEMAN

Executive Director

Attachment:

C-123 Talking Paper

MICA. CR. CES

Talking Paper on Dioxin Contaminated C-123 Aircraft

- The aircraft have been in storage at AMARC since 1980 and were identified in 1984 as parts donors for the Department of State.
- GSA sold them at public auction in Apr 96 and the aircraft have subsequently been resold to other parties
- In Apr 96, GSA advised AMARC that the Air Force had transferred these aircraft to them and sold them. Jointly, GSA and AMARC Disposition Office (LG-3) worked on their release
- During the aircraft sales period, AMARC employees detected and reported the presence of strong chemical vapors inside the cargo compartment. All work was stopped by the Bio-environmental and the Safety Office until verification of health hazards could be cleared. Subject aircraft at one time had aerial spray systems; used to spray a variety of insecticides and defoliants
- In Aug 96, AMARC provided funding to have swipe test samples taken from all C-123. These were taken by D.O. Consulting Ltd and ALTA Corp on 17 aircraft. All samples tested positive for traces of dioxins
- The Davis-Monthan AFB Bio-environmental office requested support from Armstrong Laboratory Toxicology Department at Brooks AFB in Sep 96 to evaluate the test results and provide AMARC with recommendations on clean up procedures, health risk evaluation and exposure limitations. They have stated they are unable to provide the requested information because detailed laboratory analysis studies have not been accomplished on dioxins 2, 4-D and 2, 4, 5-T. The only information currently available is that the aircraft have detectable levels of contamination
- The aircraft are still physically located at AMARC. They have been undergoing safety inspection and are not releasable due to the reported safety health hazards

MEMORANDUM FOR ESOH C&C IPT MEMBERS

SUBJECT: Alleged Dioxin Contamination in C-123 Aircraft

FROM: HQ AFMC LO/JAV

- 1. I will be unable to attend tomorrow's regular IPT meeting, at which the above subject will undoubtedly be discussed. I have a few concerns that I hope will be addressed.
- 2. First, the SSS for two-letter coordination bears a copy of my coordination on its reverse side and yet is not the same document that I reviewed. I realize that various organizations will have corrections and changes, but when a substantive matter changes, a prior coordination should not be copied without first notifying the parties. In particular, I am concerned with para. 2(a) of the revised SSS and para. 2 of the 30 Oct 96 memo from LG-EV which now state a concern about whether we have notified the purported purchasers of these aircraft of possible contamination. Ldo not believe we should alert anyone outside of official channels of this potential problem until we fully determine its extent. Please pass this along to LG, who can pass this along to the GSA.
- 2. I want to reiterate JA's position that these aircraft should not be sold to the public if there is any dioxin contamination at an unsafe level, whatever that may be. Our potential liability is just too great, particularly when so few facts are known.

3. Please call me at 7-7088 if you require additional information.

URSULA P. MOUL, Major, USAF Assistant Staff Judge Advocate

- Usula P Moul

Directorate of Environmental Law

Concur. The MAHOH, Col, USAF Director of Environmental Law



HEADQUARTERS, AEROSPACE MAINTENANCE AND REGENERATION CENTER (AFMC)
DAVIS-MONTHAN ARE FORCE SASE, ARIZONA

0 5 DEC 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR HQ AFMC/LGI 4375 CHILDLAW RD, SUITE 6 WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-5006

FROM: AMARC/CD

4820 S. Wickenburg Avenue

Davis-Monthan AFB AZ 85707-4332

SUBJECT: Disposition of Dioxin Contaminated C-123 Aircraft (AMARC/CD ltr 11 Oct 96, your ltr 15 Nov 96, same subject)

- 1. The answers to the questions posed in your 15 Nov 96 letter regarding the sale of the subject contaminated aircraft, is provided at attachment 1. To help ensure that your inquiries were all addressed, we have numbered our responses to match the paragraph and subparagraph from your letter.
- 2. As you will see, the events which surround the sale of the contaminated C-123s occurred mostly outside the control AMARC personnel. The entire process of the transfer, sale, and disposal of excess military aircraft does need to be reevaluated and improved. However, the issues which we requested be addressed in our letter of 11 Oct 96, remain unanswered. Specifically: Can the aircraft be released as sold?; is decontamination and/or destruction necessary?; and, who has financial responsibility for any additional taskings?
- 3. If you have any questions, please contact my representative on this issue, Mr. Thomas R. Mullaney, DSN 228-8001.

Harry Branam, FME

RALPHI. SCHONEMAN

Executive Director

Attachments:

- 1. Responses
- AF Form 913
- 3. SF 120

Responses to Questions on C-123 Aircraft

- 2a. Question: How many C-123 aircraft are involved?
- Answer: 22. Our current inventory of C-123s is 21. Eighteen are the contaminated aircraft in question. Three are being held for FMS. One has been released to the purchaser.
- 2b. Q: By serial number, identify accountability and physical custody of aircraft prior to reporting to GSA and currently on hand?
- A: The 19 aircraft were Air Force accountable until USAF/PED authorized transfer to GSA (see AF form 913 dtd 26 Feb 96, attachment 2). 18 are currently stored at AMARC. Serial number 55-4535 has been released to the purchaser
- 2c. Q: Did the Air Force submit the Standard Form 120 to GSA. If so, please provide copy.
- A: No. A Standard Form 120 was issued by the State Department for transfer of 15 aircraft to GSA on 23 Dec 95.(see attachment 3).
- 2d. Q: (1)Were the aircraft sold while in the physical possession of AMARC? (2)If so, why? (3)Did anybody question why a non-commercially salable aircraft would be sold?
- A: (1) Yes, the aircraft were in the physical possession of AMARC when sold by GSA. (2) It is normal for aircraft to be in AMARCs possession while being sold. (3)No The sale occurred outside the normal process channels and the knowledgeable staff that could have questioned this action were not in the loop during the process.
- 2e. Q: (1)Were these aircraft demilitarized prior to leaving AMARC? If not, why? We know you have demilitarization instructions for the C-123 aircraft. (2)Did these instructions accompany the transfer to GSA or was it required as a condition of sale through the General Services Administration?
- A: (1)C-123 aircraft serial number 55-4535 that departed AMARC was demilitarized; the ones on hold at AMARC have not been. (2)No, the instructions were not transferred to GSA and we are unaware of these requirements as a condition of sale.
- 2f. Q: (1)When GSA advised AMARC that the Air Force had transferred the C-123 aircraft why didn't AMARC question the transfer since they are not commercially salable aircraft? (2)Do you normally know the final disposition of aircraft when they are transferred to GSA?

- A: (1)The transfer process did not follow normal channels, therefore, all the knowledgeable staff that could have questioned this action were not in the loop during the transaction. (2)No.
- 2g. Q: (1) Are the history records with the aircraft as they should be? (2) If so, did they indicate they were used in Southeast Asia? (3) Is it possible or do the records indicate they were used for spraying agent orange?
- A: (1) Yes, all aircraft records are currently here at AMARC. (2) Eleven of the eighteen C-123 aircraft indicate that they were in Southeast Asia. (3) The records do not indicate use of agent orange although the aircraft were fitted for spraying.
- 3. Q: (1) It is our understanding through the Area Utilization Officer you knew the aircraft were going to be sold. Is this true? (2) If so, what actions are you taking to ensure this does not occur in the future?
- A: (1) Yes, the Area Utilization Officer did notify AMARC personnel that the aircraft were going to be sold. However, once aircraft are in GSA possession, AMARC does not have any authority as to what GSA wants to do with them. (2) For future purposes, AMARC will validate DOD 4160.21M, Chapter 8, attachment 2, commercial salable aircraft list and will question the validity of the sale or transfer if the aircraft are not listed. However, it should be noted that this essential step should occur in the earliest part of the transfer process, not delegated to the very end of the process.

AFROSPACE VEHICLE PR	OJECT	ACTION
----------------------	-------	--------

					TE LUCIEC I VALIDA				
77	e serospec	y vehicle ess	ignment, tra	nsfer or termination inst fives, and system progra	ructions on the back of t un managers.			end losin	0
ROM	ROM O USAF/PED		SIGNATUR	NOTARO	HQ AFMC/LGM-AV	1	26 Feb 96		
INTERNAL COORDINATION Mrs. McCandless			Program Analyst Linactorate of Programs & Eval 26 Feb 96		ONA-6C-040		C-123K/UC-123K		CONFIG. 10.
			<u> </u>		INATION				
O	OFFICE SYMBOL		NAME		OFFICE SYMBO	X	***************************************	NAME	
HQ USAF	HQ USAF/PES		Lee Drons	field					
HQ AFM	IQ AFMC/LGM-AVDO Mr. I		Mr. Bucev						
			NEW/CL	JHRENT AGROSPACE V	EHICLE PROJECT ASSIG	NMENTS			
QUANTITY		***************************************	PROGRAM E		PURPOSE CODE		MTC (AMARC)		
4/1	1.3	9571	DIENT		METHOD OF DELIVERY				
RECIPIENT FINAL			IN PLACE						
			GSA			ACCIONISTN	TR		
		Al	MENDMENT	TO CURRENT AEROSP	ACE VEHICLE PROJECT	H2010111111		······································	
CANC	ELLED				CHANGES REQUIRED			PURPOSE C	iopi
YES	NO	PROGRAM ELE	MENT CODE	SOUNCE	RÉCIMENT (FINAL)	CUANTITY		- with deday or	

STATE PURPOSEINBYRUCTIONS AND LIST AIRCRAFT SERIAL NUMBERS

Change Project Action to: RCL-6C-040, and the final recipient block to read "reclamation" vice GSA. No save-list action will be taken on these aircraft. AMARC/LG-3 will effect the transfer of these aircraft to GSA under their AFSDPDA account.

C-123K	UC-123K	
54-0711* 55-4517* 55-4535 55-4567*	54-0583 · 54-0585 · 54-0586 • 54-0605 · 54-0607 · 54-0618 · 54-0628 · 54-0635 · 54-0701 · 55-4520 · 55-4532 ·	55-4544 • / \$5-4547 • 55-4571 • 55-4577 •

MP MM 56-4571 6 54-6593

REMARKS

METHOD OF DELIVERY

Telecon Lee Dronsfield, PES, and Lee Williams, AMARC/ITW, 22 Feb 96

Telecon Lee Dronsfield, PES, and Oscar Hutchinson, SA-ALC/LFTM (Proven Acft Div). 13 Feb 96

GEN. SGAV. AN SPUR IAI EFF		96 Ø9÷Ø36	PROPERTY	PROPERT	1908	530		Z. SAIE		#X.	10,4	04,000
TVIC		over andred 's for 16"	e enim	- P	2. 70%	774. 'A-77		dia chuci (septiari	'ያ' አዘቴ መ ታ 'ም ተ	» ;	I. CONTRAC	•
U.S. DEP 1038 S PA	L SERVIC KET STRU T OF STA ATRICK D HIS BURGANA (REY, DIR	EES ADMINIS EET. SAN FR JAMES AND THE TE AVIATION RIVE. FATR THE GRAPH OF THE	TRATION, I	EGION A. 94105 DIVISIO 32925	-2799 N	A. W.		7/ 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	A A	ovici st. 4 SUNUM IRECTO	AN COL	2// Ad-
TY, SING PLI	RCH46\$ BROQ	:	ETRUCTIONS TO M		Maria de la companya	Mp			AGENCY CO	-	6 (00002
11. ME GNO	AM	ARC	aty # member in an. afb. tu				-(8	***	AGENLT SW	4,100,100	12	23/95
IF. EXCESS	PROPERTY UST		ESCHIPTION	Ç30K, X	1	SENE	UNIT	NUMBER OF UNITS	ATE LINES	COMBITTE N	C287. /	FAU VALUE
NO.			list .			‡ :	; ₍₁₎	#	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	SER #1:	54-0635 — 54-0635 — 56-4361 — 54-0628 — 54-0701 — 55-4536 — 54-0711 — 55-4532 — 55-4532 — 54-0607 — 54-0586	Ollow	ted I	77 D	FAX	THI Prone	MANS	MITT!	1/2/	1 of pages 4 Low 7-744-	di di
) ·	D FORM 120		ENDE		frame Ser		4/	96		3 'ta		

HOTEL TYPE	Abank	S. PARTIAL YOU		j Mina ei TOSMA			e. GAMMETVE	
·	12 * A 7 % * D V 7 1 12. SOURICIED	i la tôtal way					d es poorenmant en er	chack of tarte p
יויזא ואא	al survices administration, regionket struut, san francisco <u>ca 941</u>	N 9 03-2799			Ν/À		<u> </u>	
KD4 A	on on Assemble Resemble Appendix PT OF STATE AVIATION SUPPORT DIVIS	,			e. m		MIX COX	ISAF
8 <u>5 P</u>	ATRICK DRIVE, PATRICK AFB, FL 3292:			{	ÙΒί	STILL SILLE	ZTORJASIA_J	
MOV	THE BUTTON CONTACT THE ASSESS OF PROPERTY OF PROPERTY.	ÁSD			18 8	:	a deligna	
7)4!?·!	-9284 PCHANE DEPRING THE CONTINUE WESTERS FOR THE CONT. ANNUA	6 and Targement Ma 2			18. W	HÀ CONTROL HO.		
				.		4		
ec and	AMARC		15PE	348	18. A	GRINGA GONLAOF	HO. TT. FURITUS DATE	MELEASE
ACC11	DAVIS MONTHAN, APB, TUCSON,			HUNTE	n [реалия	KIN COST	PAN
UŽ n. il	DESCRIPTION	COMO.	(##1 (4	CAP EVANO (a)		PER CHIEF	Adivr Adivr	41144 4
	FAIRCHILD C-173 AIRCRAFT .	A-4	BA		7	867,(XX)	867,000	
*	SFRIAL #5 <mark>4-0659</mark> FAA# N21291	A-7	·	•		:		•
-+2	FAIRCHILD C-123 AIRCRAPT	٨٠	EA		1	867,000	8 67.000	
, 	SERIAL 754-0695 FAA 7 NB190B			•				
ጎ ³	FAIRCHILD C-123 SPARE PAICIS (LIST ATTACHED)	A-4 ·	1.01		, 2	2,709,191	2,709,191	
						יין אַדטני.	4,443,191	
·	•	•						
	•							
	·							
1		. .						
					Ì			
	•				1.	1		
						111		
	•				1.			
		ı			1			
					1			
	T							
ÁÑD Î	dril 110 hrv. William (FF-VI) fred DRM FROI	index Form 130A for Oamb	meter (h	esid		180 904		

(This information	FACSIMILE ELECTRO N	IAIL TRANSMITTA	L perwork Reduction Act.)
SECTION I - TO BE COMPLETED BY	TRANSMISSION		
LASSIFICATION Unclas	INANSMISSION	***	PAGE 1 OF 7 PAGES
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	IMMEDIATE	ROUTINE	/
TO (Organization and Functional Addr	ess Symboli	FAX NO.	
HQ AFMC/LGI	•	DBN 986 11	COMMERCIAL
WPAFBOH 45	433-5006	187-54	
ATTENTION		VOICE NO.	
Mr. Larry We	ldow	DSN	COMMERCIAL
BUBJECT		<u>* </u>	
Nisposition of Di	oxin Contamina	ted. C-123	3 acft
FROM (Organization and Functional A	ddress Symbol)	FAX NO.	
		DSN	COMMERCIAL .
AMARC/FMW		228-8139	7 228-8001
Davis-Monthan	AFR AZ	VOICE NO.	
Times - 11 Minister		DSN	COMMERCIAL
	85707-4334	4	
1	* * * / * /		
MEMARKS			
·			
	·	•	
	•	J	•
DO NOT TRANSMIT CLASSIFI OFFICIAL DOD TELECOMMU TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYS	NICATIONS SYSTEMS ARE SI	UBJECT TO MONIT	ORING. USB OF DOD
RELEASER'S SIGNATURE	sames vyrusse v sam vystub	DATE	TIME
**************************************			·
	•		
SECTION H - TO SE COMPLETED BY	ELECTRO MAIL OPERATOR		
DATE TRANSMITTED	TIME TRANSMITTED	TRANSMITTER'S BIG	NATURE
ATE ADDRESSEE CONTACTED	TIME ADDRESSEE CONTACTED	CONTACTOR'S SIGN	ATURE
ATE ADDRESSEE CONTACTED	HAIR WITHERSCE COMING ICH	CONTINUION O MON	*** • — 1 · —
l [']]		
AF FORM 3535, SEP 81 <i>(EF)</i>		<u>.</u>	



HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



BIOENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING SERVICES DIVISION

OFFICE OF THE COMMAND SURGEON TO: SG

12/30/96 Page 1/2

DFFICE OF THE COMMAND SURGEON

. .

The attached package is a response to the AMARC/CD request for help in resolving a potential herbicide/dioxin contamination of C-123 aircraft. Twenty-one C-123 aircraft are currently at AMARC, two aircraft have been released to Walt Disney Corp. GSA has sold a total of 10 aircraft to two individual buyers (including the two aircraft already released to Disney).

The bottom line is that the Air Force cannot guarantee the aircraft are free of contamination nor can we verify that any decontamination procedure has been successful (limited by analytical detection limits).

This letter from AFMC/LG lays out the information gathered to date and outlines additional information which will be required to make a sound decision on how to handle these aircraft.

AFMC/LO JAV has sent a letter to GSA (sellers of the aircraft) requesting termination of the sale of the 10 aircraft. No response has been received from GSA as of yet.

BGen Stewart (AFMC/CE) and BGen Waldrop (AFMC/JA) expressed concerns that the original letter seems to imply that the AF does not care about the sale of contaminated aircraft through FMS. Paragraph 4.b. was changed to try to resolve those concerns.

A F.M.C. - Toward New Horizons



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



BIOENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING SERVICES DIVISION

12/30/96

Page 2/2

Risk to SG: Minimal. SG may be asked to provide more information on Dioxin and the associated health effects of exposure. May also be asked to perform a risk analysis for released aircraft and for aircraft permanently stored at AMARC.

Recommendation: Coordinate on package.

Major Lyn Smith Gemperle

SGCP/7-2618

A FMC - Toward New Horizons

	STAFF SUMMARY SHEET												
***************************************	то	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Sumame)	, GRADE AND DATE		.TO	ACTION	SIGNAT	JRE (Sumame), GRADE AND DATE				
	AFMC/	Coord	Steudet, BGe		6	AFMC/ CV	Info	•					
٤	MC/	Coord	COMP BGG	36 Dec 96	7								
3 AFMC/ Coord 31					8								
4	AFMC/ SE	Coord	Coord										
5	AFMC/ LG	Sign			10								
SU	SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE SYMBOL PHONE TYPIST'S INITIALS SUSPENSE DATE HO												
L	Lorman, Mr., GS-12 AFMC/LG-EV 7-3487 tjl												
	SUBJECT Disposition of Dioxin Contaminated C-123 Aircraft. Second interim response to AFMC/CV Questions on AMARC/CD Memorandum, 11 Oct 96.												

SUMMARY

- 1. This is an interim response. The proposed AFMC/LG memorandum to AMARC/CD lists our plan of action, progress to date, and a request for cost information (Tab 1).
- 2. The Environmental, Safety and Occupational Health Compliance and Conservation Integrated Product Team (ESOH C&C IPT) has been meeting to solve the disposition of possibly dioxin contaminated C-123 aircraft. The ESOH C&C IPT decided that the termination of the sales contract would be the first action due to the risk to human health and AF liability. AFMC LO/JAV memo, 18 Dec 96, requests the General Services Administration (GSA) terminate the sale and quotes the contract language that allows the Contracting Officer to terminate the contract (Tab 2).

SOH C&C IPT has developed two courses of follow-on action after GSA contract termination. The remove cost of the two alternatives will be an important factor in recommending an alternative to AMARC. The two alternatives are as follows:

- a. Study of the aircraft mission records will be used to determine if the aircraft are contaminated. If contamination is concluded, HQ AFMC/LGID/CEVC will work with AMARC and Davis-Monthan AFB to develop procedures for disposal of the contaminated aircraft. If the aircraft mission records indicate that the aircraft were not used in defoliation or chemical spraying missions, the conclusion would be no contamination and normal demilitarization (cut-up) and disposal procedures can be followed.
- b. AFMC/LG-EV is working with the Historic Research Center, the AF Museum Research Division, the AFRES, ACC, and AFMC History Offices, and the Air Commando Association in an attempt to obtain the aircraft mission records. If the specific mission records are not found or the cost of alternative 3a, above, is exorbitant, sealing all of the AMARC C-123 aircraft and preparing the aircraft for long-term strorage is recommended.

We investigated testing the aircraft for dioxin but it is not a recommended alternative at this point. It is prohibitively expensive and ineffective. A safe level of dioxin is below detectable testing methods so testing will not provide the evidence that the aircraft are safe.

4. RECOMMENDATION. LG sign the proposed memoramdum to AMARC/CD at Tab 1.

JOSEPH B. CORCORAN, JR., Col, USAF

Deputy Director

2 Tabs

1. HQ AFMC/LG Memo, 19 Dec 96

2. HQ AFMC LO/JAV Letter, 18 Dec 96

				STAFF SU	IVEIVIA			T	NATURE (Surname), GRADE AND DATE
7	то	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surname),	GRADE AND DATE		TO	ACTION	Site	NATURE JOURNAME, BRADE ARTO DATE
,	AFMC/ CE	Coord			6	AFMC/ CV	Info		
2	AFMC/ JA	Coord			7				
3 AFMC/ Coord —									
ı.	AFMC/ SE	AFMC/ Coord							
5	AFMC/	Sign			10			TYPIST'S	OURDERSON NATE
SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE LORMAN Mr. GS-12 SYMBOL HQ AFMC/LG-EV 7-3487									SUSPENSE DATE
L	orman, Mi	, GS-12	· D: Contorn	AFMC/LG	-ΕV ΣΔir.	1-3401 craft Se	cond interi	<u>til</u> m respo	nse to DATE
su A	BJECT DIS FMC/CV	position of Questions	Dioxin Contam on AMARC/CD	Memorandi	ım, l	11 Oct 96			

his is an interim response. The proposed AFMC/LG memorandum to AMARC/CD lists our plan of acuon, progress and request for cost information (Tab 1).

2. The Environmental, Safety, and Occupational Health Compliance and Conservation Integrated Product Team (ESOH C&C IPT) has been meeting to solve the disposition of possibly dioxin contaminated C-123 aircraft. The ESOH C&C IPT decided that the termination of the sales contract would be the first action due to the risk to human life and AF liability. AFMC LO/JAV memo, 17 Dec 96, requests GSA terminate the sale and states the contract language that allows the Contracting Officer to terminate the contract (Tab 2).

COURDING COORDINATION DATE NAME SYMBOL SYMBOL NAME DATE 18 Dic 90 Jon Lorma 19-EV 19No.96 18 DOC 91 CEVC 18 Dec 46 905296 JAV SOCP



AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO



MEMORANDUM FOR AMARC/CD

FROM: HQ AFMC/LG

4375 Chidlaw Road, Suite 6

Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5006

SUBJECT: Disposition of Dioxin Contaminated C-123 Aircraft (Your Memo, 11 Oct 96)

- 1. The Environmental, Safety and Occupational Health Compliance and Conservation Integrated Product Team (ESOH C&C IPT) has been meeting to resolve the disposition of C-123 aircraft possibly contaminated with dioxin. This memorandum is to provide a plan of action and to request additional information.
- 2. We have received conflicting information regarding this issue. Based on the information provided by AMARC, GSA, the State Department and others, we have been able to confirm or have a high degree of confidence in the following facts:
- a. There are 21 C-123s currently at AMARC. Records show that 11 were in SE Asia per AMARC/FMW (S/Ns 54-0585, 54-0605, 54-0607, 54-0618, 54-0628, 54-0693, 54-0701, 55-4520, 55-4571, 55-4577, 56-4371). Any of these 21 aircraft may have been used for spraying and, as a result, may be contaminated with dioxin. An AMARC/FMW review showed that the history records do not show specific mission operations of the above aircraft. (AFMC/LG-EV is researching the aircraft use, Para 5).
- b. The State Department Bureau of International Narcotic Matters (INM) obtained two C-123s from the Air Force in Jul 86 and Mar 87, serial numbers (S/Ns) 56-4361 and 54-0658, respectively.
- c. The State Department INM also used nine Air Force-owned C-123s as parts donors (S/Ns 54-0635, 54-0583, 54-0628, 55-4535, 54-0711, 55-4532, 55-4517, 54-0607, 54-0586). The State Department declared the aircraft as excess on 23 Dec 95. All 11 aircraft were transferred to GSA for sale.
 - d. GSA sold ten C-123 aircraft on or about 1 Mar 96 to two buyers:
 - 1.) Western Aviation (S/Ns 54-0607, 54-0628, 54-0635, 54-0711-55-4517).

- 2.) National Aircraft (S/Ns 54-0583, 54-0586, 56-4361, 55-4532, 55-4535).
- e. National Aircraft resold two of the C-123 aircraft to Walt Disney for the cockpits to be used for a movie set (S/Ns 56-4361, 55-4535). These two aircraft were released to the buyer by AMARC because the aircraft had no indication that they were ever used for spraying. No spraying apparatus were in either airplane. The remaining C-123 aircraft at AMARC have spraying apparatus attached.
- f. DOD Manual 4160.21-M, Chapter VIII, Atch 2, does not list C-123 aircraft as commercially salable. The Air Force owned aircraft should never have been sold in the first place.
- g. There are no regulations or standard operating procedures for cleaning dioxin-contaminated items. Armstrong Laboratory states that a safe level of dioxin is so low that it is below detectable limits. Even if the aircraft were cleaned, we would be unable to determine if we had reached a safe level due to limited testing capabilities. Therefore, a safe level of dioxin cannot be verified for these.
- h. Armstrong Laboratory draft memorandum, Consultative Letter, AL/OE -96, Cleanup of Contaminated Aircraft, Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center to HQ AFMC/SGC, 6 Dec 96 states that the evaluation of the aircraft is a minimum of \$15,000 each for the laboratory analysis work and is exclusive of more expensive labor and contract costs.
- i. By releasing these aircraft, the Air Force could be at great risk and could be held liable for problems associated with dioxin contamination.
- 3. Based upon these facts, the following actions have been and/or will be taken:
- a. HQ AFMV LO/JAV sent a memorandum to the General Services Administration (GSA) asking them to terminate the sale to Western Aviation and National Aircraft (Atch 1). The original contracting officer for the sale must be the one to terminate it.
- b. AMARC needs to provide the following cost data and a recommended funding source to HQ AFMC/LG-EV by 24 Jan 97.
 - 1.) Cost of the demilitarization and disposal of contaminated aircraft.
 - 2.) Cost of the demilitarization and disposal of aircraft that are not contaminated.
 - 3.) Cost of sealing the C-123s individually, as a set of 11, a set of 18 and a set of 21.
 - 4.) Cost of long term storage at AMARC.

- 4. The ESOH C&C IPT developed two alternative actions for C-123 aircraft disposition after contract termination. The cost data will be an important factor used to determine the best action plan.
- a. Aircraft mission records will be used to determine if the aircraft is dioxin contaminated or dioxin free. If the records indicate the aircraft was not used in defoliation operation we will conclude that the aircraft is not contaminated. If this is the case, normal demilitarization and disposition procedures should be followed. Aircraft used in Southeast Asia for defoliation will be considered contaminated and could either be sealed or cleaned to currently undetermined levels to permit demilitarization and disposal. HQ AFMC/LGID and CEVC will work with AMARC and Davis-Monthan AFB to develop disposal procedures for contaminated aircraft, if this alternative is chosen.
- b. Seal all 18 or 21 of the C-123s and store at AMARC. (Three of the 21 C-123s are being held for FMS customers. The Air Force Security Assistance Center, AFSAC, does not know of a specific policy on sale of contaminated aircraft to FMS customers. There is a boiler plate contract clause used in some cases that states FMS customers will accept aircraft "As-Is, Where-Is". AFMC/LG-EV will work this FMS issue with AFSAC to make the FMS bustomers are among the policy of the poli
- 5. AFMC/LG-EV is working with the Alfred F. Simpson Historic Research Center (AFRHA/RSA), the Air Force Museum Research Division (USAFM/MUO), the Air Force Reserve History Office (AFRES/HO), the Air Combat Command History Office (ACC/HO), the Air Force Material Command History Office (AFMC/HO) and the Air Commando Association for information on the specific aircraft missions, e.g., Operation Ranch Hand, the SE Asia herbicide mission, other defoliation and insecticide missions and any other data by serial number as available. This information will allow us to extrapolate which of the 21 C-123 aircraft held at AMARC are contaminated or suspected of being contaminated. The aircraft mission records will be used in conjunction with dioxin contamination testing (Para 4a) if necessary.
- 6. This is a coordinated HQ AFMC/LG-EV/LGID/CEVC/LO JAV/SGC memorandum. If you have any questions, please call our action officers, Mr. Thomas Lorman, HQ AFMC/LG-EV, DSN 787-3487, Mr. Jeff-Hallett, HQ AFMC/LGID, DSN 787-5498, Mr. David Kumar, HQ AFMC/CEVC, DSN 787-5878, Maj Moul, HQ AFMC-LO/JAV, DSN 787-7088, or Maj Gemperle, HQ AFMC/SGC, DSN 787-2618.

for can durit co to funct as new



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND LAW OFFICE (AFMC) WRIGHT-PATTERS OF AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

GSA PMB Sales Section, 9-FBPS

18 December 1996

Attention: Doug Boylan, Sales Officer 450 Goldengate Ave., Box 36018, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3400

Re: Request for Termination of Contract for Sale of C-123 Aircraft

CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED # P 288 706 720

Dear Mr. Boylan:

As we have informed Pat Lamm and Peggy Lowndes of your office, it has come to our attention that the C-123 aircraft sold to Western Aviation and National Aircraft described below and currently located at the Aircraft Marketing and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis Monthan AFB, AZ, are possibly contaminated with dioxin. Because of public health concerns with dioxin, we ask that the sale be terminated.

Contract Number	Serial Number	Previous Use	Spray Apparatus
•			يدن وانتوانان جو
GS09F96FBE2005	S/N:54-0635	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2008	S/N:54-0628	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2010	S/N:54-0711	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2012	S/N:54-4517	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2013	S/N:54-0607	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2009	S/N:55-4535	State Dept. parts donor	7
		(resold to Disne	ey) NO
GS09F96FBE2011	S/N:55-4532	State Dept. parts donor	r YES
GS09F96FBE2014	S/N:54-0586	State Dept. parts dono	r YES
GS09F96FBE2006	S/N:54-0583	State Depart. parts dor	or YES
GS09F96FBE2007	S/N:56-4361	State Department-Intr	ı'l
		Narcotics Matt	ers
		(resold to Disn	ey) NO
No contract on this	S/N:54-0658	State DepartmentIntr	ı'l
		Narcotics Matt	ers UNKNOWN

We request the GSA terminate these contracts for several reasons. First and most importantly, the potential for harm to individuals from dioxin contamination is great, regardless of whether the aircraft are used as static displays in museums, to put out forest

fires or as props for movie sets. We knew for certain that 54-0628 and 54-0607 were used in Southeast Asia. We are unable to determine with certainty from historical records how the remaining aircraft were used. The State Department narcotics aircraft were transferred in 1986 and 1987, but we do not know the history of the second plane's use, or the use of the remaining parts donors prior to that time. These aircraft could have been used to spray dioxin as well, particularly since they all contain spray apparatus. One C-123 sold under contract but still at AMARC tested positive for dioxin. Since we cannot be sure that the aircraft were not used to spray dioxin in Southeast Asia or during international narcotics operations, we cannot take the risk of endangering human lives by releasing them from military control.

(...

According to HQ AFMC bioenvironmental personnel, there is no established state or federal remediation goal based on surface contamination. Using cleanup goals for other media, the allowable concentrations are so low that they are below the detection limit, essentially making the cleanup goal 0.0 µg. The sampling procedure to determine whether dioxin is present costs about \$1,200.00 per swipe. Because there are several places on each aircraft where the spraying apparatus could have been mounted during operations, it quickly becomes prohibitively expensive to properly sample the aircraft before release to the public.

An additional reason for terminating the sale is DoD Manual 4160.21-M-1, Chapter VIII, Atch 2, which designates aircraft authorized for sale for commercial use. The C-123 does not appear on this list. The aircraft should never have been advertised for sale in the first place, regardless of whether or not they were contaminated with dioxin.

Fortunately, the contract terms appear to give us an avenue for termination. The Standard Form (SF) 114, Sale of Government Property--Bid and Award, associated with this sale incorporates by reference SF 114C, General Sale Terms and Conditions, SF 114C-1, Special Sealed Bid Conditions, and SF 114C-2, Special Sealed Bid-Term Conditions. Collectively these provisions appear to provide for rescission with return of the purchase price only.

Under paragraph 7 of SF 144C, "title to the property sold hereunder shall vest in the purchaser as and when removal is effected." With regard to the aircraft still at AMARC, title remains with the Government. Paragraph 14 of SF 114C, Risk of Loss, states, in pertinent part:

Unless otherwise provided in the Invitation, the Government will be responsible for the care and protection of the property subsequent to it being available for inspection and prior to its removal. Any loss, damage, or destruction occurring during such period will be adjusted by the Contracting Officer to the extent it was not caused directly or indirectly by the Purchaser, its agents, or employees. At the discretion of the Contracting Officer, the adjustment may consist of rescission. . . . (emphasis added).

Paragraph 15, Limitation on Government's Liability, states "...the measure of the Government's liability in any case where liability of the Government to the Purchaser has been established shall not exceed refund of such portion of the purchase price as the Government may have received."

Paragraph 22, Withdrawal of Property After Award, states:

The Government reserves the right to withdraw for its use any or all of the property covered by this contract, if a bona fide requirement for the property develops or exists prior to actual removal of the property from Government control. In the event of a withdrawal under this condition, the Government shall be liable only for the refund of the contract price of the withdrawn property or such portion of the contract price as it may have received.

Finally, under SF 114C-2, para. E, Termination, "... this contract may be terminated by either party without cost to the Government upon 30 days' written notice to the other, to be calculated from the date the notice is mailed."

Please take appropriate action to terminate these ten sales contracts. In the interim, we have directed that AMARC not release any more C-123s to the purchasers. We look forward to working with you on this rescission. Please contact me at (937) 257-7088 if you require further information. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

resula P. Moul

URSULA P. MOUL, Major, USAF Assistant Staff Judge Advocate Directorate of Environmental Law

HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND

Office of The Staff Judge Advocate
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433-5001



Date: 30 Dec 96

Memorandum For: BGEN Haires
MMC/LG

- Siv, Cheur with Gen Stewart

- The political risks, lest of litigation, and potential fort liability to think parties make FMS disposition of contaminated aircraft inspected

VIL

OLAN G. WALDROP, JR. Brigadier General, USAF Staff Judge Advocate



AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND **Command Civil Engineer**

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-5739

DATE: 26 Dec 96

MEMORANDUM FOR: BGen Hanes HQ AFMC/LG

SIR:

D've coordinated on your prop memo to AMARC. However. is one somewhat-subtle point. para 4.6, there is the implication that we would convey a potentially contaminated aucediff to an FMS customer, while we would not release that same arciva

Brigadier General, USAF Command Civil Engineer

	1	CHAI ING	•						
٠.	1	•	•			•		<u>Military</u>	
	27			T = = = 1 ==	Lost in	Museum	<u>At</u> -	Assistance	
	Y	<u>Tail</u>		Lost in			AMARC	Plan (FMS)	Notes
#	R	Numbe	<u>Processing Station</u>	Combat	Accident	<u>Program</u>	7 LIVII LICE		
								. ***	<u>:</u>
1	54	578	PHAN RANG			1		Į X	
1	54	370	TAINAN		[1	1	1	
					, 	i i	1		Į į
			NAKHON PHANOM		!	1	i I	1	1
					1 -		! ; v /	1	1
2	54	583	PHAN RANG		1	1	į X	4	ļ ;
-	-		TAIPEI	1	1	1	ĺ	ļ	1
			BIEN HOA	[İ	1	1	1	1
				; 	i	İ	1	1	•
			NAKHON PHANOM	1	1	† 	i	1	
			TAINAN	Į.	!		1	1	i
			DOTHAN	1	Ì	I	!	1	1
			NAPIER	İ	1	İ ,	İ		
			• ••	İ	1	•	İ	ļ	
	_		DYYANI DANIC	1	1	1	1	X	
3	5	4 584		1	i l	· •	1	1	k
			BIEN HOA	1		1	}	1	
			NAKHON PHANOM		1	!	1	1	1
			TAIPEI		1			ļ	1
				1		1	ļ		ļ
	_	4 505	NAKON PHANOM	i	İ	1	ļ X	1	1
4	. 5	4 585		1	†		1		1
	*	1	TAIPEI	,	l I	1	i	İ	1
	,/	l	PHAN RANG	1	İ	i	1	1	
			TAINAN		i	1	l	1	l
			NAPIER			-	1	Į.	1
				l l	1	-	İ	1	
		- 4 20.	PHAN RANG	Ì	ļ	1	X	·1	
:	5 5	54 58		1 	i I	i	1	1	Į.
			BIEN HOA	1	1	1	•	1	
			TAIPEI	}	Į.	!	l t	∦	1
			DA NANG		l	L		1	1
				1	1	İ	ļ	1	Į.
	,	54 58	8 BIEN HOA	X	1		l	-	1
	6	34 3 0	8 DILITION	<u> </u>	ì		1	-	
			a were a t to 4 \$ 175	1	X	•	1		
	7	54 58		l	1 A	1	1		1
			NAPIER	Ì	1	1	t I	1	
			NAKHON PHANOM	ĺ	1	1	Į	l t	1 . •
			TAIHLI	1	1	-	ļ		1
			TAINAN	İ	1		1	[
			I WITHULL	1			1	-	
				1	1	ì	1	X	***
	8	54 59			1	} !	1	i	•
			DA NANG	1		1	1	1	·
						l	1		less than a subance ful Of
	9	54 6	03 NAKHON PHANOM	[]	1	X		1	This aircraft was used in an exhange, Jul 91,
	7	J-4 U	DON MUANG	1	ŀ	1		1	with Mr. Lance Tolan (404) 227-5500 per Mr.
		•		ŧ 1	,	i	<u> </u>	1	Thomas Brewer, AF Museum program, 5-5274.
	2.00		TAINAN	1	i I-	1		l	1
)		ŀ	l	ŀ	i	F	
		,							** F

	Pr							Military	
	Y	<u>Tail</u>		Lost in	Lost in	Museum	<u>At</u>	Assistance	
#		Number	Processing Station	Combat	Accident	Program	AMARC	Plan (FMS)	<u>Notes</u>
_	_		•			,			
10	54	605	PHAN RANG	[X		
			BIEN HOA			}		1	
			TAINAN	Ì	: 	,		1	
			TAN SON NHUT	i					
		•	DA NANG	1				I	
			NAPIER	1			•	I	
		,	·	1				i I	
11	54	607	PHAN RANG				X	i İ	
* *		007	BIEN HOA	1				i I	
			TAIPEI	•	 	! 	! [[•
			DA NANG	1			l 	i I	
			TAINAN	1	[:	l		i I	
				1	l		 	!	
			TAN SON NHUT	1			! !	€ . 1 .	! !
			NAPIER	1	[1	1	1 1
10	~ .	600	DYTANIDANIC	1		<u>[</u>	 	1 X	l I
12	54	608	PHAN RANG	1	<u> </u> 	!	<u> </u> 	A	I I
		C10	DITANIDANIC	1	 	!	X	1 I	l I
	54	618	PHAN RANG	1	İ		A.	l I	i 1
	1		BIEN HOA		1		} \$! !
			TAIPEI	1		!	1	{ }	
			DA NANG	1		 	1	.	
			TAINAN	1]	1	ŧ	
			TAN SON NHUT	1		 	1		
			NAPIER			 	 1	1	i 1
		ć0.4	m 4 3 1 (2 (3) 1) 1 1 1 1 m		•	[[1 1	 X	1 1
14	54	624	TAN SON NHUT	1	•	į.	l 1 .	1 A	l I
			TAIPEI	1			l F	1	
				1	 	!	l I	l F	!
				•] 1	1	•	[l 1
		(22)	DULANDANIC	1	! 1	} 1	X	ł	
•15	54	628	PHAN RANG	1	1 f	1 1	j /2 1	1	i 1
			BIEN HOA	1	 -	 	1 1	1	1 1
			TAIPEI	1	[!	 	 	1	↓
			TAINAN	1	[t	 	[{	l t	<u> </u>
			TAN SON NHUT	1	•	!	!	ļ ‡	[]
			DA NANG	1	•		1	1	***
			NAPIER		1	1	; 1	1	i 1
1.7	<i>.</i> .	C00	DIENT HOA	 X	 	 	1 1	1	! !
16	54	630	BIEN HOA] A	ļ	1	1	1	1 1
			TAN SON NHUT	1	 	[1	1	I I
		(22	DUANDANO	1	l L	X	l .		This aircraft is currently on display at the
	14	633	PHAN RANG		1	Λ.			Robins AFB Museum.
	·		BIEN HOA	1	1	1	1	1	t wooms ut a museum.
			DA NANG	1	1	1	1	1	; [
			TAINAN TAN SON NHUT	1	[[1	1	1	1 1
			NAKHON PHANOM	1	1	1	; [1	; [
			IMARDON FRANOM	1	F	1	1	ı	ŧ

	Se	riai <u>No</u> .							
	- /							<u>Military</u>	
	Y	<u>Tail</u>		Lost in	Lost in	Museum	<u>At</u>	Assistance	- ·
· <u>#</u>		Number	Processing Station	Combat	<u>Accident</u>	Program	<u>AMARC</u>	Plan (FMS)	Notes
-									
18	54	635 [~]	DOTHAN		1		X	ļ ,	
			NAPIER	1	-			i	
					1			Į ,	1
19	54	685	DOTHAN	1	1	<u> </u>	X	1	1
			NAPIER		1		1	1	 ₺
				1	1	!	 X	[•	1
20	54	693	PHAN RANG		!	1	A	1	!
			BIEN HOA		1	1	1	1	3 1
			TAINAN		<u>}</u>	1	1	1	•
			DA NANG	,	1) 	1	1	1
			TAN SON NHUT	1	1		 	1	† -
			NAPIER	1	1	 	1	1	Cambolia
			STANDANIC	1	1	1	1	X	Cambodia
21	54	698	PHAN RANG	1	1	1	1	-	
			UBON	1	1	1	1	1	
	~ 4	701	PHAN RANG	1	\$ 	1	X	<u> </u>	
22	54	701	BIEN HOA	i İ	1	, I	, 	ĺ	*******
			DA NANG	1	1	1			
)		TAIPEI	1	i	1	•	1	1
	1		NAPIER	1	1	İ			
			14711 11317	! [Ì		1		
23	55	4511	PHAN RANG	1	İ	ļ	-	ļ X	
2.3	,,,	4011	BIEN HOA	i		1		1	
			TAINAN	ļ		1	1		
			DA NANG	1	1	1			
			TAN SON NHUT	*		1		1	
				1	1				1
24	55	√4520	PHAN RANG	1			X		1
			BIEN HOA		Į		1		1
			DA NANG	1	1		1	l i	
			TAIPEI	1]	į.	1	!
			NAPIER		<u> </u>	1	1	1	1
				1	1	1	.	X	
25	5:	5 4525		1	•	1	1	1	[
			BIEN HOA		,	1	1	1	1
			TAN SON NHUT	} •	 	 	 	l L	<u> </u>
			TAIPEI	1	! 	 	1 .	: 	· I
_ '-	_		DITANT DANIC	1	l i	1	X	İ	•
26	5.	5 4532	PHAN RANG	 	1	1			·
			BIEN HOA TAINAN	1	1		i	Ì	1
			DA NANG	1	1	,	İ	1	
)	ı	NAPIER	•	İ	i			
			1 74 DA SAMP?		i		1		1
27	5	5 4544	1 NAPIER		1	1	X	!	

		•							Military	
28 55 4547 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA DA NANG NAPIER 29 55 4564 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TANNON HUT TAIPEI NAPIER 30 55 4570 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAN SON NRUT TAIPEI NAPIER 31 55 4571 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TANPER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER 33 56 4372 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 36 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 37 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 38 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 39 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 30 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 31 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 32 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 33 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 36 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 37 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 4 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 5 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 5 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		Y	<u>Tail</u>						Assistance	
BIEN HOA DA NANG NAPIER	<u>#</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Number</u>	Processing Station	<u>Combat</u>	<u>Accident</u>	<u>Program</u>		Plan (FMS)	Notes
DA NANG NAPIER 29 55 4564 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN DA NANG TAIN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 30 55 4570 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 36 4375 TAIN SON NHUT TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 37 56 4375 TAIN SON NHUT TAIPEI TAI	28	55	4547	PHAN RANG	[1	X		•
NAPIER				BIEN HOA						
29 55 4564 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN DA NANG TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 30 55 4570 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAN SON NEUT TAIPEI NAPIER 35 4571 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAPEI DA NANG NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 37 TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 38 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 39 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 30 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 31 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 32 57 TAINAN 33 58 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 36 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 37 TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 38 TAINAN 48 EI Salvadore				DA NANG	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				1	
BIEN HOA TAINAN DA NANG TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER N				NAPIER		Ì				
BIEN HOA TAINAN DA NANG TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER N					1	[
BIEN HOA	29	55	4564	PHAN RANG	1				X	
TAINAN DA NANG TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 30 55 4570 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN TAIPEI NAPIER 31 55 4571 PHAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG TAINAN TAIN					1					
DA NANG					1					1
TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 30 55 4570 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 31 55 4571 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 35 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 37 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 38 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 39 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 30 57 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 31 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 32 57 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 33 56 4373 PHAN RANG TAINAN 34 56 4371 TAINAN 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG TAINAN 36 TAINAN 37 TAIPEI TAINAN 38 TAINAN 38 TAINAN 48 TAINAN 59 TAINAN 40 TAINAN 50 TAINAN 50 TAINAN 51 TAINAN 52 TAIN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 53 TAN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 54 TAINAN 55 TAN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 56 TAN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 57 TAN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 58 TAIN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN 59 TAIN SON NHUT THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAINAN TAIPEI THAN RANG TAIPEI THAN THAN THAN THAN THANG THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN						,				1
TAIPEI NAPIER						Į	` [•	1	
NAPIER					!	' ' ' 			***************************************	,
30 55 4570 PHAN RANG					1	! 	\]	1		•
BIEN HOA				MARIEN	! 	! [!]	! [
BIEN HOA	20		4570	DITANIDANIC	l (!] 	i i	ı X	
TAN SON NHUT TAIPEI NAPIER 55	30	33	4370		l t	{] [i I	Į	
TAIPEI NAPIER			•		1	1	l F	 	1	•
NAPIER 1					,	1	1	l I	₹ 	1 1
S5 4571 PHAN RANG					1	1	1		1 1	! !
155 4571 PHAN RANG				NAPIER				1	l 1	1
BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER		ş.				,		 v	!	1
TAIPEI DA NANG NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG X NAPIER 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN X TAINAN X NITH AND ANANG X NAPIER 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG X NITH AND ANANG X NAPIER X NANG)55	4571		!		1	^	1	1
DA NANG NAPIER 32 55 4577 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAINAN 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA DA NANG 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 36 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG NAPIER X This aircraft is currently on display at the Wright-Patterson AFB Museum. X This aircraft is currently on display at the Wright-Patterson AFB Museum. X	•							!	1	1
NAPIER					1				1	[
32 55 4577 PHAN RANG					İ			1	1	*
BIEN HOA TAINAN				NAPIER				ļ	1	
BIEN HOA TAINAN						1		!	1	1 .
TAINAN 33 56 4362 BIEN HOA TAINAN 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA DA NANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 35 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG DA NANG 36 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG DA NANG DA	32	55	4577	PHAN RANG				X	1	
33 56 4362 BIEN HOA				BIEN HOA	1		1	1	-	
TAINAN Wright-Patterson AFB Museum. 34 56 4371 PHAN RANG X				TAINAN	1	1			1	
TAINAN						_				
34 56 4371 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA DA NANG 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 36 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG X El Salvadore	33	56	4362			1	X	1	!	
BIEN HOA DA NANG 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA X X BIEN HOA X BIEN HO				TAINAN					•	Wright-Patterson AFB Museum.
BIEN HOA DA NANG 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA X X BIEN HOA X BIEN HO	•					1	1	1	1	1
BIEN HOA DA NANG 35 56 4373 PHAN RANG BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN 56 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG BIEN HOA X X BIEN HOA X BIEN HO					1		,	37	l 1	1
DA NANG DA NANG STATE	34	56	4371		l	İ		X	!	
35 56 4373 PHAN RANG X					-			1		1
BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN TAINAN TAINAN TAINAN X EI Salvadore PHAN RANG				DA NANG		1			Ì	!
BIEN HOA TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN TAINAN TAINAN TAINAN X EI Salvadore PHAN RANG					1				!	
TAIPEI DA NANG TAINAN TAINAN S6 4375 TAN SON NHUT PHAN RANG TAIPEI X El Salvadore	35	56	4373	PHAN RANG	X					
DA NANG				BIEN HOA			1			İ
TAINAN				TAIPEI	1	1		1		I
56 4375 TAN SON NHUT X El Salvadore PHAN RANG				DA NANG	-					1
PHAN RANG				TAINAN	1		*	*******	1	
PHAN RANG					1	-	1	1	-	1
PHAN RANG	1	56	4375	TAN SON NHUT	1	1	1	ļ	X	El Salvadore
37 56 4384 BIEN HOA X	1.4			PHAN RANG			***************************************		1	
37 56 4384 BIEN HOA X						1	1			1
	37	56	4384	BIEN HOA	!	1	-	1	X	1
DA NANG		*		DA NANG	*	1			[



HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE SECURITY ASSISTANCE CENTER (AFMC)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO



0 5 AUG 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR SAF/IA

FROM: AFSAC/CV

1822 Van Patton Drive

Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5337

SUBJECT: Potential Dioxin Contaminated UC-123 Aircraft Transferred Under the Military Assistance Program (MAP)

- 1. A number of UC-123 aircraft transferred to various foreign countries during the early to mid-1970s under the Military Assistance Program (MAP) may have been contaminated by residual pesticides/herbicides (including substances such as 2, 4-D; 2,4,5-T; and dioxin). Some of these aircraft may still be in use today and could represent a health hazard to their operators. We believe recipient countries should be informed; however, such a pol-mil decision best rests with your office. To date, this information has not been shared with either country or SAO personnel.
- 2. A number of C-123 aircraft were modified to the UC-123 configuration to perform a variety of spraying missions. Some of these modified aircraft participated in Operation Ranch Hand in Southeast Asia during the Vietnam war. Research of Air Force archives and local HQ AFMC aircraft transfer records has identified the suspect aircraft by tail number. Unfortunately, these records do not tie specific tail numbers to particular recipient countries. We believe the following countries may have received suspect aircraft: El Salvador, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand. Our sketchy records indicate that a number of the aircraft may have been originally targeted for Cambodia but were redirected to Thailand. Attachment 1 provides a listing of the suspect MAP aircraft.
- 3. This issue came to HQ AFMC's attention upon the General Service Administration's (GSA) attempt to sell a number C-123 aircraft located at AMARC, Davis-Monthan AFB AZ. Upon preparing the aircraft for movement, the presence of pesticides and dioxin was detected in one of the aircraft. Adopting a conservative approach to mitigate the potential health risk, all aircraft were assumed to be contaminated unless records research subsequently revealed the aircraft had not performed a spraying mission in Southeast Asia. A point paper with accompanying documents is provided at attachment 2. Due to environmental concerns and disposal cost considerations, AMARC is moving the 21 aircraft in their possession to an open area within its fenced yard and sealing them for long-term storage.

4. This organization's point of contact is Larry Brown, AFSAC/IPS, DSN 787-1132 extension 4181.

HOWARD E. CREEK

Colonel, USAF Vice Commander

Attachments:

- 1. MAP Aircraft Listing
- 2. Point Paper w/2 Atchs

cc:

SA-ALC/LF HQ AFMC/LGM-AVDO HQ AFMC/LG-EV HQ AFMC/DRT

MAP Aircraft Listing

Aircraft Serial No.	Transfer Date
54-576	29 May 73
54-578	13 Jul 73
54-584	29 May 73
54-591	29 May 73
54-608	Sep 71
54-624	29 May 73
54-673	12 Jun 73
54-698 (Note: Records indicate that was subsequently transferred	22 Jan 75 aircraft may have been originally delivered to Cambodia but to Royal Thai Armed Forces.)
55-4506	29 May 73
55-4511	12 Jun 73
55-4525	29 May 73
55-4564	12 Jun 73
55-4570 (Note: Records indicate aircr 22 Aug 75.)	3 Dec 74 aft was retransferred to Royal Thai Armed Forces on
56-4375 (Note: Records indicate aircr	22 Mar 84 aft was transferred to El Salvador.)
56-4384	Jul 71
56-4386	31 Jan 73
57-6289	29 May 73

POINT PAPER

ON

SALE OF AIRCRAFT CONTAMINATED WITH DIOXINS

ISSUE

- AMARC/CD requested assistance from AFMC/CV, 11 Oct 96, in determining whether ten C-123 aircraft, potentially contaminated with dioxins (considered carcinogenic) and sold by GSA, can be released as sold, decontaminated and released, or destroyed.
 - -- GSA sold aircraft for the State Department, but inadvertently sold AF owned aircraft as well.
- Additionally, determine who has financial responsibility to fund decontamination or disposal.

BACKGROUND

- There were 21 C-123 aircraft located at AMARC.
- -- Ten aircraft were sold to Western Aviation and National Aircraft.
- -- Three are being held at AMARC for FMS customers.
- -- Eight others are stored at AMARC.
- The State Department Bureau of International Narcotics Matters (INM) obtained two C-123 aircraft from the Air Force in 1986/7, but they also used nine Air Force C-123s located at AMARC as parts donors.
- -- The department declared the two INM aircraft and the nine parts donors as excess in 1995.
- As a result, GSA sold ten of the eleven aircraft used by the State Department in Mar 96, even though under current DoD Demilitarization procedures, the nine C-123s that belonged to the Air Force should not have been sold as stated in DoD 4190.21-M1.
- -- Two of the ten aircraft sold were released to a buyer who in turn resold them to Disney.
 - --- According to AMARC and historical military research offices, aircraft were assumed to be clean because aircraft were not stationed in SE Asia and no spraying equipment attached, which would suggest likely use in herbicide application.
- -- AMARC tested one of the aircraft held at AMARC after the sale, which indicated dioxin contamination, prompting them to inform HQ AFMC of the situation.

- The safety and bioenvironmental functions at Davis-Monthan, servicing AMARC, and HQ AFMC have concluded that the contamination could represent a health hazard, which must be mitigated before the aircraft can be released.
- --- There are no current threshold limits, decontamination procedures, or disposal methods that have been established by EPA or OSHA as far as disposal or human exposure levels are concerned.

CURRENT STATUS

- A HQ AFMC Environmental, Safety and Occupational Health IPT focus group began meeting 28 Oct 96 to address the AMARC/CD request.
- To date, the group has recommended the following:
- -- Advise AMARC to hold all the C-123s that were sold, on-site, till further notice.
- -- An AFMC memo be sent to GSA requesting that the sale be terminated.
- -- Request AMARC conduct a cost analysis of different options to dispose of the C-123s.
- HQ AFMC LO/JAV sent a memo, 18 Dec 96, to GSA, requesting they terminate the sale.
 - -- GSA has officially agreed to cancel the sale of the aircraft currently in AMARC's custody.
 - --- They don't think anything can be done about the aircraft already released to Disney.
 - -- JAV is also preparing memos to be sent to the two buyers of the aircraft (including the Disney aircraft) informing them of the Air Force decision to cancel the sale.
 - --- These memos are a preliminary response to the broader issue of notifying the ultimate holders of C-123 aircraft of the possibility of dioxin contamination.
- A memo from AFMC/LG (who are OPRs for this issue) to AMARC/CD, coordinated by appropriate 2-letter directorates, including CE, was signed out 10 Jan 97 (Attachment).
 - -- Memo asked AMARC to develop cost information for the following two disposition options:
 - --- Demilitarize/destroy the C-123s and dispose of in a landfill, per approval of the state of Arizona.
 - --- Seal the aircraft and store at AMARC for the long-term.
- AFMC/LG has received a response from AMARC/CD (Attachment).
 - -- Response provides costs for demilitarization and disposal of uncontaminated aircraft, and cost of "sealing" or "cocooning" (complete seal with no openings) the aircraft at AMARC.

- -- Based on the AMARC response, the ESOH IPT focus group recommended, 21 Feb 97, that AMARC develop a long-term disposal plan with sealing the C-123s as the preferred option.
 - --- AMARC will need to work with their host base, Davis-Monthan, to develop a plan which should be approved by the state of Arizona.
 - --- State may require another course of action depending on their position on cleanup/containment of dioxin.
- -- AFMC/LG-EV will notify AMARC to provide AFMC with an Air Combat Command (i.e. Davis-Monthan) and state approved disposal plan and associated costs.
- -- Meanwhile, AFMC/LG-EV continues to examine historical records, such as missions and former airfield locations of the C-123s, to determine the number of aircraft used in defoliation operations and their locations.
 - --- Aircraft will be assumed to be contaminated if they were used in such operations and/or have records indicating spray systems were/are attached.
 - --- Normal demil and disposal will apply if aircraft are proved to be uncontaminated based on history of use or sampling.
 - --- Based on records from the Alfred F. Simpson Historic Research Center, Maxwell AFB, 38 C-123s, including 18 at AMARC, but excluding those sold to Disney, are suspected of being contaminated based on use in SE Asia and being equipped with spray apparatus.
- Based on the C-123 disposal plan due from AMARC, the ESOH IPT will investigate financial responsibility for disposition costs of the suspected dioxin contaminated C-123s.

Attachment:
AMARC/CD memo w/Attachment



HEADQUARTERS, AEROSFACE MAINTENANCE AND REGENERATION CENTER (AFMC) DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

6 Jun 96

MEMORANDUM FOR GSA

FROM: AMARC/CD

SUBJECT: C-123 Aircraft

70	From Control of the second
of the state of th	- MARK VO
Cept (Approxy	Phone
) var	

1. AMARC was notified in April 1996 of a completed sale of 19 C-123 aircraft, serial numbers 54-0711; 55-4517; 55-4535; 55-4567; 54-0583; 54-0585; 54-0586; 54-0605; 54-0607; 54-0618; 54-0628; 54-0635; 54-0701; 55-4520; 55-4532; 55-4544; 55-4547; 55-4571; and 55-4577.

- 2. As you know, all aircraft must be demilitarized before release from AMARC. During the demil process on C-123 54-0585 and 55-4571, employees experienced a burning sensation and could smell chemical odors. Upon further investigation by the AMARC safety office and hase bioenvironmental office, it was determined that these aircraft could possibly contain hazardous chemicals.
- 3. Our bioenvironmental personnel cannot release these aircraft until completely tested for harmful materials. This testing will take approximately 21 days at a cost of \$1,250.00 per test sample or 72 hours at a cost of \$3,750.00 per test sample. Each aircraft will require approximately 10 test samples.
- 4. Recommend you notify your customers and take action as necessary until these aircraft can be ruleased.

RALPII T SCHONEMAN

Executive Director

I Atch

Cost Estimates for Aircraft Test Samples

0

MATA: 30 36' TO NUT

MATCE MELLOD



AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND LAW OFFICE (AFMC)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

GSA PMB Sales Section, 9-FBPS Attention: Doug Boylan, Sales Officer 450 Goldengate Ave., Box 36018, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3400 18 December 1996

Re: Request for Termination of Contract for Sale of C-123 Aircraft

CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED # P 288 706 720

Dear Mr. Boylan:

As we have informed Pat Lamm and Peggy Lowndes of your office, it has come to our attention that the C-123 aircraft sold to Western Aviation and National Aircraft described below and currently located at the Aircraft Marketing and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis Monthan AFB, AZ, are possibly contaminated with dioxin. Because of public health concerns with dioxin, we ask that the sale be terminated.

Contract Number	Serial Number	Previous Use	Spray Apparatus
		•	
GS09F96FBE2005	S/N:54-0635	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2008	S/N:54-0628	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2010	S/N:54-0711	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2012	S/N:54-4517	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2013	S/N:54-0607	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2009	S/N:55-4535	State Dept. parts donor	
	***************************************	(resold to Disne	y) NO
GS09F96FBE2011	S/N:55-4532	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2014	S/N:54-0586	State Dept. parts donor	YES
GS09F96FBE2006	S/N:54-0583	State Depart. parts don	or YES
GS09F96FBE2007	S/N:56-4361	State DepartmentIntn	1
		Narcotics Matte	ers
		(resold to Disne	ey) NO
No contract on this	S/N:54-0658	State DepartmentIntn	1
		Narcotics Matte	ers UNKNOWN

We request the GSA terminate these contracts for several reasons. First and most importantly, the potential for harm to individuals from dioxin contamination is great, regardless of whether the aircraft are used as static displays in museums, to put out forest

fires or as props for movie sets. We know for certain that 54-0628 and 54-0607 were used in Southeast Asia. We are unable to determine with certainty from historical records how the remaining aircraft were used. The State Department narcotics aircraft were transferred in 1986 and 1987, but we do not know the history of the second plane's use, or the use of the remaining parts donors prior to that time. These aircraft could have been used to spray dioxin as well, particularly since they all contain spray apparatus. One C-123 sold under contract but still at AMARC tested positive for dioxin. Since we cannot be sure that the aircraft were **not** used to spray dioxin in Southeast Asia or during international narcotics operations, we cannot take the risk of endangering human lives by releasing them from military control.

According to HQ AFMC bioenvironmental personnel, there is no established state or federal remediation goal based on surface contamination. Using cleanup goals for other media, the allowable concentrations are so low that they are below the detection limit, essentially making the cleanup goal 0.0 µg. The sampling procedure to determine whether dioxin is present costs about \$1,200.00 per swipe. Because there are several places on each aircraft where the spraying apparatus could have been mounted during operations, it quickly becomes prohibitively expensive to properly sample the aircraft before release to the public.

An additional reason for terminating the sale is DoD Manual 4160.21-M-1, Chapter VIII, Atch 2, which designates aircraft authorized for sale for commercial use. The C-123 does not appear on this list. The aircraft should never have been advertised for sale in the first place, regardless of whether or not they were contaminated with dioxin.

Fortunately, the contract terms appear to give us an avenue for termination. The Standard Form (SF) 114, Sale of Government Property--Bid and Award, associated with this sale incorporates by reference SF 114C, General Sale Terms and Conditions, SF 114C-1, Special Sealed Bid Conditions, and SF 114C-2, Special Sealed Bid-Term Conditions. Collectively these provisions appear to provide for rescission with return of the purchase price only.

Under paragraph 7 of SF 144C, "title to the property sold hereunder shall vest in the purchaser as and when removal is effected." With regard to the aircraft still at AMARC, title remains with the Government. Paragraph 14 of SF 114C, Risk of Loss, states, in pertinent part:

Unless otherwise provided in the Invitation, the Government will be responsible for the care and protection of the property subsequent to it being available for inspection and prior to its removal. Any loss, damage, or destruction occurring during such period will be adjusted by the Contracting Officer to the extent it was not caused directly or indirectly by the Purchaser, its agents, or employees. At the discretion of the Contracting Officer, the adjustment may consist of rescission. . . . (emphasis added).

Paragraph 15, Limitation on Government's Liability, states "...the measure of the Government's liability in any case where liability of the Government to the Purchaser has been established shall not exceed refund of such portion of the purchase price as the Government may have received."

Paragraph 22, Withdrawal of Property After Award, states:

The Government reserves the right to withdraw for its use any or all of the property covered by this contract, if a bona fide requirement for the property develops or exists prior to actual removal of the property from Government control. In the event of a withdrawal under this condition, the Government shall be liable only for the refund of the contract price of the withdrawn property or such portion of the contract price as it may have received.

Finally, under SF 114C-2, para. E, Termination, "... this contract may be terminated by either party without cost to the Government upon 30 days' written notice to the other, to be calculated from the date the notice is mailed."

Please take appropriate action to terminate these ten sales contracts. In the interim, we have directed that AMARC not release any more C-123s to the purchasers. We look forward to working with you on this rescission. Please contact me at (937) 257-7088 if you require further information. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Usula P. Moul

URSULA P. MOUL, Major, USAF Assistant Staff Judge Advocate Directorate of Environmental Law