

Uploaded to the VFC Website



This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

Veterans-For-Change

If Veterans don't help Veterans, who will?

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members & subscribers.





Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON

MAR 9 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND READINESS

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2015 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Progress Report

In response to your September 22, 2015 data call, I am forwarding the enclosed input to the fiscal year 2015 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Report. We remain committed to eliminating sexual assault from the Air Force and continue to strengthen sexual assault prevention and response policies and programs.

Kelerah Lee James
Deborah Lee James

Attachments:

- 1. USAF FY15 SAPR Progress Report
- 2. USAF FY15 SAPR Progress Report Analysis

Department of Defense (DoD) Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military: Requirements, Instructions, and Templates

<u>Purpose:</u> This data call requests information from the Military Services to be used to meet the requirements for the FY15 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

Background and Instructions: The Annual Report is congressionally mandated, with required content regarding the programmatic initiatives, capabilities, oversight activities, changes, and challenges supported or experienced by your Service or National Guard Bureau's (NGB) sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) program. The requirements for this report are an Executive Summary of the FY15 Data Call responses, and the FY15 Data Call responses. The FY15 Executive Summary of the Data Call and Data Call Reponses will provide Service and NGB perspectives on key SAPR programmatic and policy improvements during FY15 that demonstrate clear measures of progress, both quantitative and qualitative. Certain data requests will ask for responses that will cover outcomes of Secretary of Defense Initiatives; the Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR; various National Defense Authorization Act (NOAA) provisions from FY12, FY13, FY14, and FY15; and the 2013 DoD SAPR Strategic Plan. Please organize SAPR program accomplishments and activities by the five Lines of Effort (LOEs) outlined in the *Department* of Defense (DoD) SAPR Strategic Plan. Where possible, identify how an activity or accomplishment promotes the LOE under which it is listed. Avoid repeating activities or accomplishments, or duplicating examples across the five LOEs.

This document must be titled "FY15 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: (insert your Service or NGB)," Arial font, size 12, sans watermarks, such as DRAFT or FOUO. The maximum word count for the Executive Summary is 1,000 words. The draft document should be submitted in PDF format no later than 13 January 2015, with the final version due on 1 March 2015. Required changes identified in coordination will be made by your Service or NGB.

Unless otherwise indicated for the data call, only include activities or accomplishments undertaken in FY15 (October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015).

FY15 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Air Force

The following Executive Summary Template should be used to capture a summary of your submission regarding the progress made and principal challenges confronted by your SAPR program from October 2014 through September 2015. This overview should include but not be limited to information such as:

- Authorizing regulations and/or instructions and dates of publication.
- General organizational structure of your SAPR program and personnel (e.g., Brigade, Installation, Regional, and/or Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinator [SARC] and SAPR Victim Advocate [VA] structure, mid-level program management [if any],and program management) as well as a brief description of how this structure changes in deployed and joint environments.
- Other personnel involved and their respective roles in your SAPR program.

Executive Summary

The Air Force continues to address sexual violence through a wide range of practices and programs as well as new and innovative approaches. In 2015, education, advocacy, and collaboration were focal points in preventing and responding to sexual assault and its harmful effects on the Air Force.

The Air Force continues to hold commanders accountable for creating and sustaining an environment of dignity and respect for active duty, reserve, and civilian employees. We believe every Airman plays an active role in preventing sexual assault and requires relevant developmental training to fulfill that role. In response to data collected from Airmen, the 2015 annual training included objectives that addressed how to engage with survivors as well as additional information on retaliation and reprisal.

In 2015, the Secretary of the Air Force, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force, in conjunction with the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office pioneered a five-year Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy. This strategy, signed in October 2015, outlines a public health approach to eliminating sexual assault and incorporates evidence-based training programs. The Air Force is currently developing and implementing these training programs. We believe the integrated efforts of this scientifically-supported strategy will decrease many types of interpersonal and selfdirected violence, as well as reduce the incidence of sexual assault in the Air Force. The Air Force held its first Sexual Assault Prevention Summit that included 150 Airmen from around the world spanning a wide range of enlisted and officer ranks. The summit enabled the service to better understand issues and concerns from Airmen in the field. Additionally, monthly teleconferences were held between major command sexual assault response coordinators, installation coordinators, and the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office with the purpose of identifying the policies and practices that are valuable and those that require additional development. Air Force sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates receive electronic newsletters on a monthly basis to not only address questions from the field, but also identify guidance and clarification on current and upcoming policies.

During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office also led five survey efforts to collect data in a number of areas including annual training, victim experiences and needs, leadership perspective, and coordinator and victim advocate effectiveness. These surveys are critical to how the Air Force shapes its approach to providing world-class response and prevention efforts.

The Air Force's statistical analysis indicates a 3% decrease in reports compared to 2014 numbers. Data on the type of offense to include penetrating, contact, and attempted offenses show very little variation compared to fiscal year 2014. Although we only have consistent data for two years, the number of military protective orders has dropped 40%. Interestingly, the number of restricted reports coming from male victims has increased 4.3% to 22.8% in 2015. The Air Force hopes this is a reflection of the additional training on male victimization Airmen received in 2015, and will continue outreach efforts tailored to reach male survivors.

Reflective of the Air Force's commitment to eliminating sexual assault in the force are the numerous improvements developed and employed in the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program over the past year. Air Force leadership maintains that this issue is not representative of our core values: "Integrity First, Service Before Self, and Excellence in All We Do." We remain personally invested in solving this difficult and dynamic problem through robust policies, practices, and the tireless efforts of our Airmen.

Introduction
As we continue to focus on eliminating sexual assault from the Air Force, this report documents our accomplishments during fiscal year 2015. Of note is the increased effort towards the prevention piece of the overall strategy. While response to sexual assault will always be integral to our program we believe that increased resources and improved practices regarding prevention will allow us prevent this crime before it occurs. Robust prevention and response tactics are critical in our effort to rid the Air Force of sexual assault. This report contains 2 sections; The first section is narrative with seven sections detailing our prevention, investigation, accountability, advocacy, assessment efforts, communication and policy tenets, and Secretary of Defense Initiatives. The second section is a statistical analysis on quantitative data analysis collected over the last year.

- 1. Line of Effort (LOE) 1-Prevention-The objective of prevention is to "deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs." Based on the 2014 2016 Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy, implementation of prevention efforts across Department of Defense should be spread across a collection of 10 program elements. To aid in assessing Department of Defense-wide progress in operationalizing the Prevention Strategy, please provide responses connected back to these program elements.
- 1.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention Endstate: "cultural imperatives of mutual respect and trust, professional values, and team commitment are reinforced to create an environment where sexual assault is not tolerated, condoned, or ignored."

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program kicked off 2015 with a Sexual Assault Prevention Summit at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland. Summit participants included 150 Total Force Airmen from around the globe. Airmen ranged in grade from E-2 to O-6 and represented various Air Force specialties. Additionally, the Air Force contracted eleven experts on prevention, sexual assault, masculinity, sexuality, communications, and other areas related to sexual assault prevention. The Summit's goal was to gain a better understanding of sexual assault prevention and to build the Air Force Prevention Road Map.

In November 2013, the Air Force hired a highly qualified law enforcement expert to provide practical insight into civilian criminal justice practices and programs relating to response and prevention of sexual crimes.

In March 2015, the Air Force hired a highly qualified prevention expert from the Center for Disease Control to provide direction for the future of Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention. The prevention expert filled a critical need and has been extremely valuable in the strategy development, plans, and policies for continued program improvement.

The expertise of the highly qualified prevention expert, combined with the education gained through the summit, and the experience of the summit participants resulted in a comprehensive, research-based, and public health oriented Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy, which operationalized the Department of Defense Strategy for the Air Force. In October 2015, the strategy was signed by the Secretary of the Air Force, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force and shared Air Forcewide and with Congress. The new strategy includes a 5-year developmental plan incorporating universal and tailored training throughout the career of an Airman.

The holistic approach to prevention focuses on reducing shared risk factors for perpetration while building protective factors for victimization and perpetration. This approach demands integration of multiple functional areas which include other forms of violence as well as the Comprehensive Airman Fitness Program and the Profession of Arms Center of Excellence. The Comprehensive Airman Fitness Program is comprised of multiple targeted programs and activities that present mental, physical, social and spiritual fitness skills Airmen can use to make sound choices. The Profession of Arms Center of Excellence focuses on military professionalism with four goals: inspire a strong commitment to the profession of arms, promote the right mindset to enhance effectiveness and trust, foster relationships that strengthen an environment of trust, and enhance a culture of shared identity, dignity and

respect. Air Force Core Values are part of our everyday fabric and as such, they are the threads that weave the critical elements of violence prevention together.

The Secretary, Chief of Staff, and Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force introduced the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy to the Force and highlighted the importance of the document through a brief co-signed foreword which includes the following statement, "A strong foundation for sexual assault prevention and response demands a culture of dignity and respect. Treating everyone with respect is inherent to our Core Values of Integrity, Service, and Excellence. The Air Force will use policy, programs, education, and training to shape the environment, maintain the health and wellbeing of our Airmen, and change attitudes and behaviors so that sexual assault is no longer perpetrated."

1.2 Communications and Engagement: Describe your progress in incorporatingspecific Sexual Assault Prevention and Response monitoring, measures, and education into readiness and safety forums (e.g., quarterly training guidance, unit status reports, safety briefings, etc.).

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program continued to enhance communication and formal engagement with all sexual assault response coordinators during fiscal year 2015. Several means of keeping sexual assault prevention and response personnel informed and engaged are utilized. First Friday is a newsletter distributed to sexual assault response coordinators in the field on the first Friday of each month via their major command program manager and a copy is also loaded to the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response SharePoint. First Friday was designed specifically to address numerous questions and inquiries that are addressed to our operations branch. This tool provides a comprehensive approach to not only respond to questions and concerns from the field but also offers an opportunity to inform all sexual assault prevention and response personnel of current events, use of surveys, prevention initiatives, new laws and policies, and self-care sections. It also provides tools they can utilize in daily execution of their programs, where to acquire continuing education units, and a collection of frequently asked questions, to name a few. Building on the success of this tool, additional space has been allocated to share feedback from survivors (in their own words) and as a way of connecting to Air Force deployed sexual assault response coordinators and highlights across the major commands.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response SharePoint website is another means of enhancing communication with Air Force sexual assault prevention and response personnel. During fiscal year 2015 a number of improvements were incorporated into the site, such as adding new libraries to facilitate easy identification of resources and the ability to monitor program execution by reviewing and uploading required documents. Essentially, SharePoint is a centralized repository of approved resources, data management tools and training materials that sexual assault prevention and response personnel from around the Air Force can navigate containing a wide range of information pertaining to the program. This website is continually revised and updated with products that deliver sexual assault prevention and response personnel with an effective and efficient manner of managing their programs.

Sexual assault response coordinators continued to submit quarterly training reports during

fiscal year 2015. The reports highlight training and briefings to special populations such as leadership, first term airmen, pre-deployment personnel, first responders, medical personnel, etc. Sexual assault prevention and response personnel also facilitate training and briefings in collaboration with other on base and community agencies, including equal opportunity, security office, family advocacy, and rape crisis centers.

1.3 Communications and Engagement: Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability and the sharing of promising practices.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office team includes two highly qualified experts from the field. The experts hail from the Center of Disease Control and Johns Hopkins University. The incorporation of these highly qualified experts has greatly expanded the opportunities for community partnerships and involvement. For example, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is staying in tune with activities conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Rape Prevention and Education program that funds prevention activities in every state and territory. In addition, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office consults with a group of sexual assault prevention experts and researchers, in order to ensure that the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy reflects cutting edge prevention science and practice. Intra-agency and community partnerships with the Center for Disease Control, the Department of Agriculture, John Hopkins University, and the Montgomery County Police Department have allowed the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to benefit from some of the latest science pertaining to sexual assault. Additionally, these partnerships opened the door for ongoing research as the Air Force continues to seek additional prevention and response techniques with proven benefits. The 2015 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy incorporates evidenced-based training programs that have shown to reduce sexual assault by as much as 50% in randomized, controlled trials. This could not be possible without the intra-agency and community partnerships.

1.4 Peer-to-Peer Mentorship and Support: Describe your progress in establishing a transition policy that ensures Service member sponsorship, unit integration, and immediate assignment into a chain of command. If already established, describe findings and recommendations.

The Air Force Individualized Newcomer Treatment and Orientation Program was established in Air Force Instruction 36-2103, Individualized Newcomer Treatment and Orientation Program, and includes base in-processing, base newcomer orientation, and the Sponsorship Program. The Individualized Newcomer Treatment and Orientation Program is a tool that commanders use to ensure members who have a pending relocation assignment are able to obtain personal assistance and crucial information through sponsorship for relocations to new duty assignments. The Sponsorship Program is part of the Individualized Newcomer Treatment and Orientation Program and is a continuous process of providing information and assistance to relocating personnel and their family members from the time they receive their new duty assignment until they are settled into their new community. Sponsors provide valuable service to inbound Airmen and help reduce the stress and anxiety that often accompanies a permanent change of station move through positive, open and honest

communication. The Individualized Newcomer Treatment and Orientation Program provides assistance to newly assigned personnel so they become effective contributors to their unit's mission as soon as possible. Installation Airman & Family Readiness Centers provide relocation services and sponsorship training for unit commander appointed sponsors; all sponsors must complete mandatory Department of Defense provided Electronic Sponsorship Application & Training. Transitioning members and families make extensive use of the Department of Defense Plan My Move/Military Installations websites that are collection of upto-date information for each installation.

1.5 Peer-to-Peer Mentorship and Support: Describe your training and education approach that addresses appropriate, professional peer response to a victim and an alleged offender when a sexual assault is reported in a unit.

The Air Force expects every Airman to take an active role in preventing sexual assault and provides education and training on bystander intervention and the role of all Airmen in affecting cultural change in their unit. The Air Force recognizes it takes all Airmen to be engaged in a continual collaborative effort to eliminate sexual assault from its ranks. As a follow-on to bystander intervention training, the Air Force developed twelve "Flip the Script" training modules in 2015. These modules were designed for small group discussions and maximizing dialog among peers. One module, "How to Talk to a Survivor", was a mandatory training requirement that focused on caring for victims, understanding the difference between empathy and sympathy, and addressing appropriate and inappropriate responses to sexual assault victims. "The Power of Language: Supporting Survivors", was developed to emphasize that the choice of words used to describe a victim or perpetrator can shape feelings about victim blaming and alter perceptions of perpetrator guilt. Victim blaming can have devastating effects on the mental health of victims and may impact their decision to report the assault. The "Rape Culture" and "Sustaining a Culture of Respect" modules aim to increase an Airman's understanding that supporting a culture of respect will reduce sexual assault and even identifies situations where Airman can speak out and challenge negative aspects of a unit's culture. Identifying and understanding aspects on the continuum of harm that are incompatible with Air Force values is key to keeping the focus on creating a climate of mutual respect, which in turn, helps foster a safe and supportive environment for everyone, not just victims. Healthy environments do not tolerate hazing, sexual harassment, degradation of any kind, or sexual assault. The intent of these flip the script modules is to create a dialog among peers, promote communication on issues surrounding sexual assault, provide discussion on how to respond to victims of sexual assault, and understand that language and the words we use are powerful tools to combat this issue.

1.6 Leadership Involvement: Describe improvements to Service Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs (on both prevention and response) based on the feedback from command climate assessments.

Following the 2014 Annual Report, one area that required attention was retaliation experienced by victims of sexual assault. In considering ways to remedy this problem, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office reviewed 157,449 responses during fiscal year 2015 from the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute Organizational Climate Survey. These numbers constitute a 49% response rate Air Force wide. The data

indicates that an overwhelming majority have confidence in their chain of command as it relates to their treatment of sexual assault victims.

Pertinent questions and their results include:

- If someone were to report a sexual assault to your current chain of command, how likely is it that the chain of command would take steps to protect the safety of the person making the report? (85% very likely, 12% moderately likely, 3% slightly likely, and 1% not at all likely)
- If someone were to report a sexual assault to your current chain of command, how likely is it that the chain of command would support the person making the report? (84% very likely, 13% moderately likely, 3% slightly likely, and 1% not at all likely)

The data indicates the perception of a somewhat lower level of support from unit members. Pertinent questions and their results include:

- If someone were to report a sexual assault to your current chain of command, how likely is it that unit members would label the person making the report a troublemaker? (7% very likely, 7% moderately likely, 19% slightly likely, and 67% not at all likely)
- If someone were to report a sexual assault to your current chain of command, how likely is it that unit members would support the person making the report? (69% very likely, 24% moderately likely, 6% slightly likely, and 1% not at all likely)

Finally, the data indicates perceptions related to the alleged offender(s) or their associates. The pertinent question and its results are:

- If someone were to report a sexual assault to your current chain of command, how likely is it that the alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report? (6% very likely, 9% moderately likely, 28% slightly likely, and 57% not at all likely)

Based on these command climate assessments, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office determined this problem warranted a training module geared toward the whole force. Consequently, it developed a "Flip-the-Script" training module titled "How to Talk to a Survivor" which was a required component of the fiscal year 2015 annual sexual assault prevention and response training "Respect the Red Line", which incorporated definitions and examples of retaliation and reprisal as well as details about the Military Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act and specific information concerning Department of Defense Inspector General resources.

1.7 Leadership Involvement: Summarize your efforts to track and monitor the execution and integration of the 2014-2016 Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy in order to advance and sustain an appropriate culture where leaders and influencers are engaged to prevent sexual assaults and victim retaliation.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office utilizes a systematic evaluation process that tracks and monitors the execution and integration of the 2014-2016

Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy. Each line of effort from the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy is assigned to an Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office Action Officer for monitoring and tracking. The Director and Deputy Director, Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, are updated on a regular basis on the status of each line of effort.

Additionally, the 2015 Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy takes a holistic, integrated approach to prevention by addressing shared risk factors and protective factors. This approach ensures underlying areas such as leadership and culture are factored into the overarching plan of preventing sexual assault perpetration before it occurs. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy also incorporates a monitoring plan for continuous monitoring and adjusting as needed. The monitoring plan is based on metrics developed from the short, intermediate, and long term outcomes identified in the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention Theoretical Logic Model. The metrics will use a combination of existing data and new surveys that will track progress and allow for continuous quality improvement.

Although retaliation occurs during the response phase of a sexual assault, the 2015 Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy and Response Theoretical Logic Model explicitly addresses retaliation prevention by focusing on increasing retaliation awareness among the Air Force Community and fostering a healthy command climate where the victim and subject are treated with respect.

1.8 Accountability: Describe your efforts to publicize the punishments for misconduct or criminal offenses consistent with law and Department of Defense regulations.

The Air Force publishes all court-martial results on The Judge Advocate General's public website on a monthly basis. If the accused is found guilty, the result includes the accused's name, rank, base, forum, charges and specifications, and the sentence. If the accused is acquitted, the results include the general nature of the charges, forum, location, and whether the member was an officer or enlisted. Each installation also has the opportunity to provide more materials regarding the cases at their installations. For example, Mountain Home Air Force base supplements The Judge Advocate General's public website on their website, http://www.mountainhome.af.mil/library/courtmartialresults.asp.

1.9 Accountability: Describe how you are incorporating Sexual Assault Prevention and Response monitoring into readiness assessments (e.g., quarterly training briefings, operational readiness assessments, inspections, etc.) to ensure program implementation and compliance.

Air Force Inspector General teams conducted sexual assault prevention and response inspections at all Air Force installations using checklist items in the following categories: Program Management, Reporting Options, Collection and Preservation of Evidence, and Command Actions in response to Sexual Assault. The total number of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program inspections across the Air Force increased from an average of 120 inspections per year, prior to Air Force Inspection System implementation, to 284 inspections in fiscal year 2015. The Air Force reports a 100 percent inspection rate at the

installation-level for the Sexual Assault Prevention Response program.

Monthly teleconferences scheduled with major command program mangers provide a forum to inform, train, discuss, assess and plan sexual assault prevention and response initiatives. As a standard agenda item, participants are afforded the opportunity to ask questions regarding any subject matter that requires clarification. Subjects include monitoring expedited transfers, victim retaliation, training requirements, field surveys and audits among other issues requiring attention.

Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Program requires quarterly reports from all major commands. Quarterly training reports add another perspective for understanding the types and number of activities sexual assault prevention and response personnel are delivering to their communities. These reports highlight training offered but also include outreach and prevention efforts and the current number of volunteer victim advocates available to support the 24/7 response. Additionally, the reports provide the status of advocate credentialing through the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program. This data provides real time Air Force sexual assault response capability monitoring capability and ensures regular evaluation of program needs.

Program compliance is the vanguard of daily operations. Full compliance with sexual assault prevention and response regulations ensures an immediate and trained response but also serves to identify and correct program deficiencies. This investment in keeping sexual assault prevention and response program mission ready and inspection ready mandates concentrated, intentional communication. The rewrite of Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, during fiscal year 2015 introduced many new operational requirements. These changes, for example, informed a number of modifications to sexual assault prevention and response training, communication products, outreach and prevention campaigns, and resources and service availability. The changes also impacted the type of continuing education units. When services are extended to populations that typically have not been eligible for such services, it is paramount that training is in parallel to the demands for additional knowledge and skills required to perform the services.

Coordination with the Air Force Audit Agency during fiscal year 2015 resulted in a formalized plan to conduct a follow-up virtual audit of ten major commands, including the Air National Guard. The audit involved a sample of 40 installations with the purpose to evaluate previous year discrepancies. This helped sexual assault response coordinators focus their attention to ensure appropriate documentation captured all their efforts. Major command program managers were challenged to increase oversight of their respective sexual assault prevention and response programs and to evaluate how their collective role as mentors might amplify program success. In preparation for the virtual audit they were responsible for delivering numerous documents for review.

1.10 Deterrence: Describe your progress in developing and/or enhancing sexual assault deterrence measures and messaging and outline how this is being extended to Service members.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office utilizes education and training

as well as publicized court martial outcomes to deter sexual assault. Every Airman receives several sexual assault prevention and response awareness training sessions and annual sexual assault prevention and response training, which includes the basic definition of sexual assault derived from Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Additionally, the Air Force posts courts-martial findings on a public website for Airmen and the American public to see. Commanders are encouraged to discuss consequences of sexual assaults in commanders' calls that are provided on a quarterly basis. Understanding and witnessing the consequences of sexual assault serves as a deterrent and is a critical part of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy.

1.11 Community Involvement: Describe your efforts to engage with community leaders and organizations to develop collaborative programs, to include efforts to reduce the misuse of alcohol and sexual assaults, and ensure Service members are aware of local sexual assault support resources.

As described in the answer to 1.3, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office team includes two highly qualified experts from the field. The experts hail from the Center of Disease Control and Johns Hopkins University. The incorporation of these highly qualified experts has greatly expanded the opportunities for community partnerships and involvement.

In late December 2014, the Air Force highly qualified law enforcement expert collaborated with the United States Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women in partnership with the Battered Women's Justice Project to gain insight into best practices, explore collaborative opportunities, and provide a briefing to their Orientation for Grants To Encourage Arrest Program Grantees on issues challenging colleges and universities addressing sexual assault as well as predominant aggressor determination. This initial meeting was followed up by a February 2015 Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women Campus Technical Assistance and Resource Project Training (for their Winter 2015 National Training and Technical Assistance Institute) held in Arlington, Virginia in which United States Air Force personnel were in attendance and the Air Force highly qualified expert provided briefings.

In March 2015, the Air Force highly qualified law enforcement expert collaborated with Dr. Debra Stanley, Executive Director, School of Criminal Justice, University of Baltimore, and Director, Maryland Victims Assistance Academy. The education and insight gained through this collaboration has served to illuminate ways in which the United States Air Force will be able to incorporate trauma informed response into response and prevention strategies as well as training.

In June 2015, the Air Force highly qualified law enforcement expert collaborated with the International Association of Chiefs of Police to serve as faculty at the International Association of Chiefs of Police National Campus Law Enforcement First-Line Supervisor Training on Violence Against Women. The training provided the opportunity for the United States Air Force Deputy Director of Security Forces, Mr. David Beecroft, to identify promising practices and policies utilized by civilian law enforcement at colleges and universities that could be leveraged for use by United States Air Force Security Forces personnel.

In addition, in August 2015, a contract was awarded to the Institute for Defense Analyses by the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, and the Army Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention Program Office to examine the link between alcohol, harmful behaviors, and undesirable outcomes including sexual assault. The project, Reducing Alcohol's Impact on Sexual Assault and Other Harmful Behaviors, Phase One: Understanding Service Member's Alcohol Purchasing Behavior, and Designing a Rigorous Causal Evaluation of Interventions, explores the effects of policy interventions, such as alcohol pricing effects on drinking behaviors and subsequent violence. During fiscal year 2016, researchers will conduct a literature review and an Alcohol Landscape Study to look at the spending patterns of military members to include the types of alcohol purchased and whether the purchase was made on a military installation. With insights from the Alcohol Landscape Study, the Air Force and other services will determine the feasibility of conducting a rigorous evaluation of an alcohol policy intervention at selected military installations.

The Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment Program has collaborated with the Department of Justice to reduce underage Airmen's access to alcohol and to reduce the risk of sexual assault and crimes using evidence-based environmental strategies. Working with local civilian communities, our strategies for enforcement of underage drinking laws include compliance sting operations targeting local distributors, increased number and frequency of Driving Under the Influence checks in the local community, development of local policies to prevent underage drinking and related consequences, community-based media campaigns to reduce underage drinking and increase awareness of legal consequences, and increased frequency of alternative alcohol-free social activities.

1.12 Incentives to Promote Prevention: Describe your efforts to promote and encourage leadership recognition of Service member driven prevention efforts.

The Air Force awards the Sexual Assault Prevention Innovation Award each October recognizing an individual, group, or unit (military or civilian) from each military service, component, or organization, to include Reserve Officer Training Corps detachments, that contributed or developed an innovative idea, concept, methodology, or approach to prevent sexual assaults at an installation or university detachment or in a deployed environment.

This year the award was presented to the 17th Training Wing Sexual Assault Prevention and Response office at Goodfellow Air Force Base, Texas, for providing services to over 20,000 members, executing multi-wing outreach programs, promoting "Dating 101" training, and expanding on their Teal Rope program to include multi-service peer-to-peer mentorship within the community.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, in conjunction with the Department of Defense, maintains a number of award programs in an effort to promote and encourage positive engagement against sexual assault at the installation level. The Air Force Exceptional Sexual Assault Response Coordinator award recognizes individuals whose work has been particularly noteworthy, and demonstrates outstanding service in support of our service members. Installations from each military service and their respective reserve

components can submit a nominee (military or civilian) to compete annually for this Department of Defense level award.

This year the award was presented to the Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, Pamela Reeves. Ms. Reeves recruited over 100 volunteer victim advocates, established critical inter-service coordination for health-care requirements of survivors, and developed several innovative training plans including collaborating with civilian subject matter experts to provide training to over 100 leaders. Additionally, Ms. Reeves, in conjunction with the staff judge advocate, designed mock trial training enabling 160 Airmen the opportunity to observe the process in an open forum environment. Ms. Reeves maintained a training completion rate of 100% for the installation while mentoring 30 sexual assault nurse examiners and 25 rape crisis center volunteers per quarter.

1.13 Harm Reduction: Describe your efforts to reduce the impact of high-risk behaviors and personal vulnerabilities to sexual assaults and other crimes against persons (e.g., alcohol consumption, barracks visitation, transition policy, etc.). Include efforts to collaborate with law enforcement, alcohol and substance abuse officers, and any community involvement efforts that expand Department of Defense and Service Policies beyond individual use.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office has several efforts to reduce the impact of high-risk behaviors and personal vulnerabilities to sexual assaults and other crimes against persons. Specific efforts from 2015 include studies and training outlined below.

Institute for Defense Analyses Alcohol Study: In August 2015, a contract was awarded to the Institute for Defense Analyses by the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, and the Army Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention Program Office to look at the link between alcohol, harmful behaviors, and undesirable outcomes including sexual assault. The project, Reducing Alcohol's Impact on Sexual Assault and Other Harmful Behaviors, Phase One: Understanding Service Member's Alcohol Purchasing Behavior, and Designing a Rigorous Causal Evaluation of Interventions, looks at the effects of alcohol price on the drinking behaviors of light, moderate, and heavy drinkers. During fiscal year 2016, researchers will conduct a literature review and an Alcohol Landscape Study to look at the spending patterns of military members to include the types of alcohol purchased and whether the purchase was made on a military installation. With insights from the Alcohol Landscape Study, alcohol interventions looking at price and availability will be designed and if awarded Phase Two, will be tested on selected military installations.

The Alcohol Landscape Study requires collaboration among several Air Force offices to ensure the successful collection of data on alcohol consumption and the impact on sexual assaults. During fiscal year 2016, the Institute for Defense Analyses will be working to collect data on these topics with the following offices:

- Army and Air Force Exchange Service for data on alcohol purchases made on military installations.

- Air Force Alcohol Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment Program and Headquarters Air Force Safety for data and research on high-risk behaviors and personal vulnerabilities.
- Air Force Family Advocacy Program for data and research on domestic violence and child abuse within the Service.
- Air Force Security Forces for data and research on driving under the influence cases, suicides, and alcohol-related phone calls to law enforcement.
- Personnel management community to obtain information regarding work absences and tardiness.

Development and Evaluation of Interventions to Reduce Risk of Sexual Assault Victimization and Perpetration Study: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the United States Department of the Agriculture began developing a study with RTI International to reduce sexual assault in the Air Force. The study is a randomized control trial targeting three specific groups: 1) victimization prevention, 2) re-victimization prevention, and 3) perpetration prevention. This year's study efforts focused on formative research that included officer and enlisted focus groups and individual interviews at Sheppard Air Force Base. RTI International held focus groups with active duty general population males and females. The Sheppard Air Force Base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office recruited active duty female and male sexual assault survivors for individual interviews conducted by RTI International. In fiscal year 2016, upon completion of formative research, study implementation will be conducted with Air Force technical trainees. Currently, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is working with RTI International on developing and implementing a similar study to reduce the risk of sexual assault at the United States Air Force Academy and the 19th Air Force.

Alcohol Server – Bystander Intervention Training: In May 2014, the Secretary of Defense published a memorandum directing the Secretaries of the Military Departments to address healthy relationships, active bystander intervention, and social courage, with the emphasis that the Core Values should anchor all actions in order to support the establishment of a culture of mutual respect. This memorandum provided the opportunity to evaluate efforts related to Bystander Intervention Training. Specifically, Air Force Instruction 34-219, Alcoholic Beverage Policy, was revised to deglamorize behavior associated with excessive drinking, foster a culture of professionalism and avoid inappropriate conduct to ensure Airmen are not vulnerable to an unprofessional work environment. Additionally, it also included bystander intervention training for alcohol servers and highlighted responsible sales practices to include the following:

- Bystander intervention training for all alcohol servers
- Establishing standard hours for alcohol sales on every Air Force installation
- Requiring the installation commander to work with community partners on responsible alcohol sales practices and bystander invention training for alcohol servers

Subsequently, Alcohol Server Bystander Intervention Training curriculum and facilitators guide was developed through collaborative efforts of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office and Air Force Manpower, Personnel and Services. Alcohol server bystander intervention training intends to provide alcohol servers with knowledge of bystander intervention strategies. The training includes actual risk-oriented cases for sexual assault that involve alcohol and how bystander intervention strategies can effectively be used to reduce sexual assault. For example, alcohol servers are presented with activities to help them identify and understand behaviors that may lead to sexual assault and specific ways to safely intervene with their customers to prevent a potential sexual assault from ever occurring. Bystander intervention training is facilitated by wing sexual assault response coordinators and is required to be accomplished at least once by all force support squadron alcohol servers and within 90 days of employment for new force support squadron alcohol servers. Training completion is documented in each server's official personnel file. Furthermore, volunteers who serve alcohol at wing commander approved events must complete Bystander Intervention Training prior to the event. An update to Air Force Instruction 34-219, Alcoholic Beverage Program, which incorporates bystander intervention training requirements as well as new policy prohibiting the sale of powdered alcohol on Air Force installations, is currently in coordination with publication expected in fiscal year 16.

Green Dot – Bystander Intervention Training: In addition, in September 2015 the Air Force awarded a contract for an adaptation and Force-wide implementation of Green Dot, an evidence-based bystander intervention program. Implementation of Green Dot will begin in early 2016.

Air Force Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment Program 2015 efforts to mitigate high-risk behaviors, sexual assaults, and other crimes against persons include:

- Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws: The Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment Program collaboration with the Department of Justice to reduce underage Airmen's access to alcohol and to reduce the risk of sexual assault and crimes using evidence-based environmental strategies. Working with local civilian communities, our strategies include compliance sting operations targeting local distributors, increased number and frequency of Driving Under the Influence checks in the local community, development of local policies to prevent underage drinking and related consequences, community-based media campaigns to reduce underage drinking and increase awareness of legal consequences, and increased frequency of alternative alcohol-free social activities.
- Social Norms Intervention Program: Also in 2015, the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention and Treatment Program continued the evaluation of the Social Norms Intervention Program. This program uses evidence-based approaches to prevent negative behaviors. Positive behaviors are promoted and reinforced by capitalizing on the power of peer influence and by dispelling myths about excessive alcohol use and related negative behaviors being the norm among peers. This approach to substance abuse and associate negative behaviors prevention capitalizes on the strong tendency of young people to conform to group patterns and expectations. In fiscal year 2016, the next step is to create a comprehensive dissemination plan and installation support materials implementing the Social Norms

Intervention Program across the Air Force.

1.14 Organizational Support: Describe your progress in developing and implementing a Service-specific strategic plan which flows from the overarching Department of Defense -wide prevention strategic plan.

The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office finalized a Service-specific Prevention and Response Strategy in October 2015. The Strategy was shared with the force, Department of Defense, sister services, and Congress. In order to further operationalize the strategy, the Air Force developed a five year prevention plan and theoretical framework and logic model to guide prevention activities, and a detailed concept of operations to facilitate implementation of the strategy.

1.15 Organizational Support: Describe your progress in ensuring that appropriate resources and personnel are in place - within the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Office as well as in the field - to support development and sustainment of sexual assault prevention efforts. Include your approach to relay the importance of this organization support to all levels of your Service.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office publishes a monthly manpower document to evaluate manpower needs at Headquarters Air Force. Updated major command sexual assault prevention and response profiles receive quarterly review and include: strategies for initiating and monitoring spend plans; monitoring of personnel vacancies and plans to fill positions; education and training offered to installation sexual assault response coordinators by their major command; plan for monitoring 24/7 phone coverage; examples of on-going communication between major command program managers and installation sexual assault response coordinators; and frequency of staff assistant visits. Major commands communicate this information to their respective leadership and serve as advisors regarding the importance of maintaining personnel support at the installation level, in accordance with Department of Defense instruction and law.

Monthly monitoring of Air Force wide sexual assault prevention and response personnel by Headquarters Air Force ensures compliance with legal requirements (i.e. appropriate personnel and certification, etc.). When issues arise which impact program and service delivery they are immediately raised to the internal leadership for recommendations and resolution. During fiscal year 2015 all positions were either filled or active recruitments were in place to fill vacancies.

1.16 Education and Training: Describe efforts to revise Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training programs, including new recruit training, to more comprehensively and directly address the incidence of male service members being sexually assaulted and how certain behavior and activities, like hazing, can lead to a sexual assault.

The 2015 annual training, "Respect the Red Line", and Flip the Script module, "Male Victimization", provide Airmen concepts to increase their understanding of male sexual victimization to include myths, facts and resources. The training is designed to reduce the stigma associated with reporting male sexual victimization. Discussion points in this training

include barriers to why men may not come forward to report this crime and male rape myths, such as "sexual assault doesn't happen to men".

In addition, the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course was changed in March 2015, adding "The Realities of Sexual Assault and Offender Dynamics" briefing. This modification serves to increase awareness of sexual violence as it relates to male victims. For example, attendees learn about the toxic effect of sexually violent subcultures. In addition, students engage in facilitated discussion on myths, invisibility, and marginalization as they relate to male victimization in order to bring this issue into context and increase understanding.

The trainings emphasize unique barriers men face when it comes to reporting a sexual assault. Recognizing there could be survivors in the military who work and live among us and who don't ask for help is essential in our efforts to reduce victimization and increase male victim's willingness to report.

1.17 Education and Training: Describe your efforts to implement and update core competencies and learning objectives for all Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training to ensure consistency throughout the military. Describe how you are monitoring and assessing outcomes.

The Air Force builds upon established sexual assault prevention and response core competencies and learning objectives for all training, starting with accessions and continuing through an Airman's professional military education to ensure consistent learning and standardization throughout the force.

In 2015, the Air Force conducted a review of sexual assault prevention and response training based upon the Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures, and updated Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, beginning with a major overhaul of the Air Force Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course, taught at Air University, Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. The revised course incorporates Department of Defense core competencies and learning objectives. The revamped training course will expand from eight days to nine and will offer separate tracks for sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates.

The updated course continues to utilize adult learning theory with the focus of instruction on scenarios, role-plays, and group interaction. The course updates are incorporating new learning objectives developed in partnership with the Air University Course Director and survey data from our sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates. The new objectives will increase the knowledge, skills, and abilities of sexual assault response coordinators as overall program managers and places emphasis on the advocacy role of victim advocates. These updates use an academic approach in modules such as retaliation, inspections, prevention strategies, facilitating dynamic presentations, effective communication with leaders, Equal Opportunity and Defense Equal Opportunity Climate Surveys, and breakout sessions conducted to emphasize the key learning objectives.

Assessments are used in the Air Force Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course to evaluate both content knowledge and interactive skills. A pre-test and post-test are conducted in Blackboard and allow students and faculty to assess content knowledge as a result of attending the course. Throughout the course, small group sessions allow for faculty members to appraise student's skills by practicing scenarios and presentations.

In 2015, the Air Force evaluated and updated the pre-command course held at Air University. All wing and group commanders attend a three hour sexual assault prevention and response session included in the course. The training consists of presentations about prevalence and reporting data, the neurobiology of trauma, sexual assault prevention and offender dynamics. Commanders are given tactical recommendations they can implement at their units. A pretest is used to evaluate the leaders' level of sexual assault prevention knowledge. The results are used to individualize each course to the needs of the students. Post-assessments show an improvement in class average, improvement in correct answers for each question, and an increase in self-confidence in the leaders to address sexual assault prevention and response at their installation.

For Medical Personnel, all members assigned to a military treatment facility must receive annual Sexual Assault Prevention and Response First Responder Training. This is tracked and reported by each military treatment facility Commander to ensure compliance. This training is in the process of being updated to ensure compliance with revisions to Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, and to update the language in the training to ensure gender neutrality. Additionally, medical staff received training aimed at improving recognition of substance abuse as potential sequelae of abuse. Prescribing providers attend initial "Do No Harm" training aimed at preventing prescription misuse and abuse. Additionally, all credentialed medical staff received annual training in the recognition of signs of physical/sexual/emotional/substance abuse and the referral options on base. All Mental Health staff are required to attend Compassionate Care for Sexual Assault training. This requirement applies to both providers and non-providers (techs, admin staff, etc.) and is tracked at the local military treatment facility.

Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response is evaluating all sexual assault prevention and response training. A review in 2015 of Enlisted Professional Military Education found that all core competencies are addressed and learning objectives are met. Similar reviews are currently being conducted with accessions training and officer professional military education. All training and education materials are being reviewed to synchronize content and ensure the level of knowledge and skills match the level of leadership of the Airman.

1.18 Education and Training: Describe how you are conducting and monitoring the requirement that commanders and senior enlisted receive appropriate training on sexual assault prevention and response during leadership development courses to include Pre-command and Professional Military Education.

All Air Force wing and group commanders must take a pre-command course prior to assumption of command. The course includes a three-hour segment focused on sexual assault prevention and response training. A pre- and post-assessment is administered, and

the pre-assessment is completed by participants the day prior to the sexual assault prevention and response training. It informs facilitators on which portions of the curriculum to emphasize. The post assessment indicates that the intended training and message were well articulated and show increases in knowledge following the required block of training. Since their inception, the assessment of post-test scores has shown an improvement in knowledge for each class and students have indicated an increase in confidence in their ability to manage sexual assault prevention and response issues. The foundation of this course emphasizes the role of commanders in supporting victims and setting the standard of dignity and respect for all. Within the three-hour training, commanders learn about the latest data regarding prevalence, reporting, and convictions. The Air Force has placed emphasis on the critical need for commanders to understand and take the lead on this problem. More significantly, these leaders are guided through learning materials on the neurobiology of trauma to increase their understanding of victim response and behaviors that may seem to contradict normal expectations of victims (e.g. not fighting back, continuing to date offender, reporting months later, laughing, joking, etc.). The course addresses offender dynamics and cultural indicators of higher risks to sexual assault. The training includes interactive exercises, discussions, and thought provoking videos.

1.19 Education and Training: Describe efforts to align Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training programs in pre-commissioning programs and the Military Service Academies.

The Air Force administers sexual assault prevention and response training in all precommissioning programs and the United States Air Force Academy. The Holm Center is comprised of 145 Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps Detachments located throughout the nation and Officer Training School located at Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. All Holm Center officer commissioning programs establish or reinforce the parameters within which all Airmen must function for good order and discipline. These programs clearly establish what is acceptable and what is not, and emphasize the consequences of not adhering to stated policies regarding acceptable behavior. Special emphasis is placed on ensuring newly commissioned officers are fully aware of and accepting of Department of Defense standards on sexual assault prevention and response.

The Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps and Officer Training School commissioning programs lay the basic foundation for sexual assault prevention and response. Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures, Air Force Instruction 36-2014 Commissioning Education Program, and the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, dated 26 January 2015, govern the curriculum content for the accessions programs.

The Maxwell Air Force Base Sexual Assault Response Coordinator visits each Officer Training School and Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps field training class (occurs between sophomore and junior years) during the introduction period of the courses and discusses Sexual Assault Response Coordinator responsibilities and the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program to ensure trainees understand the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator role, Sexual Assault Response Coordinator availability during the trainees' time at Maxwell and restricted/unrestricted reporting.

All trainees receive the Air Education and Training Command developed lessons, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Part I and II.* These lessons have been combined and consolidated under the title *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response*. All Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps cadets receive a Sexual Assault Prevention and Response briefing during commander's call at the beginning of each academic semester. Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps and Officer Training School trainees receive three hours of instruction specifically dedicated to the subject of sexual assault prevention and response. Officer Training School trainees receive the training within the first seven training days and Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps cadets receive the training during the junior year. Lessons cover various aspects of sexual assault prevention and response, to include discussion on Department of Defense policies on victims, wingmen, bystanders, facilitators, tolerance and confidentiality, roles and the significance of perpetrators, and sexual assault response coordinators.

Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps and Officer Training School programs also include curricula addressing sexual assault in their *Leadership and Management* Case Studies lesson, which requires students to address the proper way to respond to sexual assault within the unit from a leadership position. The curricula also includes a case study in the lesson *Counseling and Practicum* that addresses how to handle a sexual assault from both the victim and leadership standpoints.

In addition to sexual assault prevention and response, sexual harassment awareness concepts are taught with an emphasis on the consequences for supervisors and commanders, mission impacts and penalties for non-compliance.

The Holm Center assesses student understanding of sexual assault prevention via written tests and end of course, end of semester, and graduate surveys. This analysis ensures officers commissioned through Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps and Officer Training School leave their respective programs understanding the impact of sexual assaults on unit morale, the Air Force's no-tolerance sexual assault policy, what to do if a sexual assault occurs and the consequences of unacceptable behavior.

The issue of sexual assault is at the forefront of service academy concerns. The United States Air Force Academy is committed to the advancement of values and behaviors required of military officers. The Air Force Academy is also dedicated to increasing sexual assault prevention and response education, victim confidence associated with reporting, and victim support. The Air Force Academy provides sexual assault prevention and response training at increasingly higher levels from freshman to senior year as a student progresses from follower, role model, worker/coach and leader respectively. The curriculum is tailored to each class level and provides information on roles, policies, gender issues, verbal and non-verbal communication, prevention behaviors, victim empathy and sex offenders. Cadets receive in excess of 100 hours of developmental sexual assault, sexual harassment and related human relations training during their four-year tenure.

During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the United States Department of the Agriculture began developing a study

with RTI International to reduce sexual assault in the Air Force. The study is a randomized control trial targeting three specific groups:

- victimization prevention
- re-victimization prevention
- perpetration prevention

This year's study efforts focus on formative research that includes officer and enlisted focus groups and individual interviews at Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas. RTI International held focus groups with active duty males and females. The Sheppard Air Force Base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office recruited active duty sexual assault survivors for individual interviews conducted by RTI International. In fiscal year 2016, upon completion of formative research, study implementation will be conducted with Air Force technical trainees. Currently, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is working with RTI International on developing and implementing a similar study to reduce the risk of sexual assault at the Air Force Academy and the 19th Air Force.

1.20 Education and Training: Describe your training plan to ensure service members know what constitutes retaliation, reprisal, ostracism, and maltreatment in accordance with Service regulations and Military Whistleblower Protections. Include your guidance on what actions the chain of command, both officer and enlisted, should take when they become aware of these complaints.

In the fiscal year 2015 annual training, "Respect the Red Line", it was noted that the 2014 Survivor Experiences Survey found that 66% victims experienced social or professional retaliation after making an unrestricted report. Additionally, the results from the 2014 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty members indicate 62% of victims experienced social or professional retaliation after making an unrestricted report. Protected under the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act, victims have a right to report any retaliation due to making a report of sexual assault. Under Air Force Guidance Memorandum to Air Force Instruction 36-2909, Professional and Unprofessional Relationships, retaliation is not only prohibited but punishable under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Victims may speak with their victim advocate, sexual assault response coordinator, inspector general, representative or commander if they feel they have experienced retaliation.

Reprisal is defined as taking or threatening to take an unfavorable personnel action, or withholding or threatening to withhold a favorable personnel action, for making, preparing to make, or being perceived as making or preparing to make a protected communication.

Retaliation is defined as 1) The taking or threatening to take an adverse personnel action, or withholding or threatening to withhold a favorable personnel action, with respect to a military member because the member reported a criminal offense or; 2) Ostracizing a military member, to include excluding from social acceptance, privilege or friendship with the intent to discourage reporting of a criminal offense or otherwise discourage the due administration of justice or; 3) Maltreating a military member, to include treatment by peers or by other

persons, that, when viewed objectively under all the circumstances, is abusive or otherwise unnecessary for any unlawful purpose, that is done with the intent to discourage reporting of a criminal offense or otherwise discourage the due administration of justice, and that results in physical or mental harm or suffering, or reasonably could have caused physical or mental harm or suffering.

Training also emphasizes that retaliation can come from peers through isolation and ostracism, gossip and harassment (threats, name calling, character assassination, etc.), and it takes courage in reporting a sexual assault so victims should be supported. Knowing what to say to a victim and stopping gossip will help to eliminate a victim's feelings of isolation. The Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General has specific resources available for military victims of sexual assault who feel they have encountered reprisals or retaliation for reporting. The goal is to resolve issues at the lowest possible level; however, some situations may take engagement from higher levels to ensure protection of the rights of the survivor.

In fiscal year 2015, bystander intervention training was developed for wing and squadron commanders, first sergeants, and supervisors to have group discussions about bystander intervention. The training is designed to help educate Airmen about bystander intervention and to encourage them to intervene on issues of sexual assault with the intent to prevent it from occurring. The module is also meant as a guide for leadership to lead group discussions with their Airmen with the intent to identify behaviors that could require intervention. Trust in leadership is important and leadership involvement, both officer and enlisted, is key to supporting victims.

Also in fiscal year 2015, empathy training was developed for wing/squadron commanders, first sergeants, and supervisors to address the need and importance of showing empathy to a victim of sexual assault. The focus is for leadership to promote a work environment that is respectful, healthy and productive, and to reduce the potential for retaliation due to reporting sexual assault. Empathy is a critical skill to have as a leader as it helps improve understanding of subordinates' emotions, concerns and actions. Empathy fosters productive communication and relationship building, which can encourage victims to report.

1.21 Future Efforts: Describe your future plans for delivering consistent and effective prevention methods and programs, including how these efforts will help your Service plan, resource and make progress in your Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program.

The 2015 Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy is a 5-year plan that utilizes evidence-based programs for sexual assault prevention. For example, the first phase of the plan involves the implementation of Green Dot, an evidence-based sexual violence prevention program that was shown to have a 50% reduction in sexual assaults. For subsequent phases of the plan, the Air Force is developing and testing prevention programs to ensure they are effective before widespread implementation.

During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the United States Department of the Agriculture began developing a study

with RTI International to reduce sexual assault in the Air Force. The study is a randomized control trial targeting three specific groups:

- victimization prevention
- re-victimization prevention
- perpetration prevention

This year's study efforts focused on formative research that included officer and enlisted focus groups and individual interviews at Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas. RTI International held focus groups with active duty males and females. The Sheppard Air Force Base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office recruited active duty sexual assault survivors for individual interviews conducted by RTI International. In fiscal year 2016, upon completion of formative research, study implementation will be conducted with Air Force technical trainees. Currently, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is working with RTI International on developing and implementing a similar study to reduce the risk of sexual assault at the United States Air Force Academy and the 19th Air Force. Finally, as noted in 1.7 the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy also incorporates a plan for continuous monitoring and adjusting as needed.

2. LOE 2-Investigation-The objective of investigation is to "achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault."

2.1Summarize your efforts to achieve the Investigation Endstate: "investigative resources yield timely and accurate results."

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations made significant improvements in investigation timeliness in fiscal year 2015. The median time to run and publish reports of investigation in over 1000 adult victim sexual assault cases was 76 days; the average was 126 days. This is a significant improvement over fiscal year 2014 timeliness which was a median of 140 days and an average of 173 days. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations compared favorably with other Military Criminal Investigative Organizations in fiscal year 2015. The median investigation length across the Department of Defense in 2015 was 98 days and the average was 129 days.

While timeliness of investigations is important, so too is the quality of the investigations Air Force Office of Special Investigations produces for commanders. Air Force Office of Special Investigations' robust case quality review process continued to work well in fiscal year 2015. Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigations case quality reviewers maintained a random case review level of over 34 percent in fiscal year 2015; at least one in every three investigations closed in Air Force Office of Special Investigations received a Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigation sufficiency were identified in less than five percent of cases. Most sufficiency issues identified by reviewers were either minor investigative or administrative deficiencies that did not impact the outcome of the investigation. Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigations publishes monthly summaries that include every deficiency identified during case quality

reviews. These summaries are provided to Air Force Office of Special Investigations senior headquarters and field leaders, as well as to the Air Force Office of Special Investigations Special Investigations Academy staff for use as part of Air Force Office of Special Investigations' continuous case improvement effort. The case review team notifies either the director of operations or the criminal investigations subject matter expert assigned to the appropriate wing-level staff when significant deficiencies are identified. The wing-level staff member subsequently directs the Air Force Office of Special Investigations unit with investigative responsibility to reopen the case and resolve the concerns identified. Air Force Office of Special Investigations' investigations are also periodically reviewed by the Department of Defense Inspector's General. Department of Defense Inspector's General evaluated 148 of Air Force Office of Special Investigations adult sexual assault investigations opened on or after January 1, 2012, and completed in 2013, to determine whether they were in compliance with all relevant Department of Defense, Military Service, and Military Criminal Investigation Organization guidance. Department of Defense Inspector's General Report-2015-094, published on 24 March 2015, revealed this case review disclosed zero investigations with significant deficiencies expected to negatively impact the investigation.

2.2 Describe your progress in implementing Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigation Organization.

The Air Force has established a world-wide special victim investigation and prosecution capability. In September 2012, Air Force Office of Special Investigations assigned a senior agent as the sexual assault investigations and operations consultant to oversee the delivery of special victim investigation and prosecution capabilities to adult victim sexual assault cases worked throughout Air Force Office of Special Investigations. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations sexual assault investigations and operations consultant serves as the command's point of contact to the Air Force Legal Operations Agency for all operational matters pertaining to the special victim investigation and prosecution capability as well as the Air Force's Special Victims' Counsel Program. At the installation level, Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents and base legal personnel coordinate closely on each investigation. Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents refer unique or complex issues that may affect the investigative or judicial process to the sexual assault investigations and operations consultant, who works closely with Air Force Legal Operations Agency to develop a solution. The resulting recommendation then flows back to the Air Force Office of Special Investigations unit through the investigations and operations consultant and to the base legal office through Air Force Legal Operations Agency.

2.3 Describe your continuing efforts to foster early coordination between investigators and judge advocates when initiating a sexual assault investigation.

In 2009, Air Force Office of Special Investigations directed units through written policy to coordinate all criminal investigations early and often with Judge Advocate counterparts. At the same time, The Air Force Judge Advocate General directed the same level of engagement on the part of his Judge Advocate General corps. These expectations were codified in respective agency policy directives. Air Force Office of Special Investigations policy currently requires agents to notify their local judge advocate counterparts within 24 hours of initiating a substantive criminal investigation. Air Force Judge Advocate General

policy directs local staff judge advocates to detail a support team to work with Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents investigating each criminal offense. The support team meets with the lead Air Force Office of Special Investigations agent as soon as possible to identify potential criminal offenses for investigation, make recommendations to the investigative plan, and compare the evidence in the case with the elements of proof for a given offense. Policy also directs Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents and local staff judge advocates personnel to continue their collaborative efforts as the investigation proceeds, Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents to share investigative data with their Judge Advocate General counterparts, and Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents to coordinate with the assigned trial team on all subject interviews when possible. Local staff judge advocate support team members or the Chief of Military Justice attend Air Force Office of Special Investigation case review meetings and Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents attend relevant local staff judge advocates military justice meetings as appropriate. Training on special victim investigation and prosecution capabilities and requirements were made part of basic agent training in fiscal year 2015. All Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents receive the requisite knowledge and skills to run sexual assault investigations during their eleven week attendance at the Air Force Special Investigations Academy, Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, Glynco, Georgia.

Trial Counsel and Office of Special Investigations Special Agents inform victims of special victims' counsel services and refer them to special victims' counsel as soon as the victim seeks assistance. Consequently, special victims' counsel plays a critical role in fostering early coordination of victim participation in the investigation and prosecution of sexual assaults. Special victims' counsel also works with trial counsel to ensure that victims' choices are efficiently conveyed to the convening authority to be considered in their determinations.

2.4 Describe your progress in enhancing training focusing on special techniques for victim interviewing for investigators of sexual violence. Include efforts to establish common criteria, core competencies, and measures of effectiveness, and to leverage training resources and expertise.

In 2012, Air Force Office of Special Investigations created the Sex Crime Investigations Training Program which provides advance training to sexual assault investigators and many Air Force judge advocates in the use of the cognitive interview technique for interviewing victims of sexual assault. Starting in fiscal year 2015, after receiving Department of Defense Inspector General approval to allow Security Forces members to be detailed to Air Force Office of Special Investigations to assist with sexual assault cases, detailed Security Forces members also began attending Sex Crime Investigations Training Program. Since 2012, 242 agents, 73 lawyers and 33 security forces investigators have attended the 13 iterations of the Sex Crime Investigations Training Program.

A notable achievement in fiscal year 2015 occurred in November 2014 when Air Force Office of Special Investigations received Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation for the Sex Crime Investigations Training Program. The Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation board is the accrediting body for all federal law enforcement training and support programs. To achieve Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation, agencies must submit to an independent review of their academy and program to ensure compliance

with the Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation Standards and Procedures in the areas of: program administration, training and staff, training development, and training delivery. Accreditation is a cyclical process occurring every five years. Each year, agencies must submit annual reports in preparation for reaccreditation, which is a new and independent review.

Air Force Office of Special Investigations' decision in 2012 to adopt cognitive interviewing as the primary interview technique for victims of violence has proven to be the right way forward. Not only does cognitive interviewing have three decades of peer reviewed research validating it as a best-method interview technique, Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigations is seeing additional valuable information in cases where cognitive interviews have been conducted.

Consistent with its commitment to using evidence-based methods, Air Force Office of Special Investigations partnered with the Research Division of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group to conduct one of the first research projects of its kind, to assess: 1) the effectiveness of its training methods; 2) the extent to which agents actually use the techniques they were taught; 3) the actual (real-world) effectiveness of the cognitive interview technique for establishing positive rapport with interviewees; and 4) whether cognitive interviews yield greater detail and accuracy of information needed to effectively resolve investigations. While this research is still ongoing, preliminary results have already shown statistically-significant results that reinforce expanded use of the technique throughout Air Force Office of Special Investigations. Air Force Office of Special Investigations recently established a working model for training the technique to ensure consistency of use in the field.

Another advance training improvement Air Force Office of Special Investigations integrated in 2012 stemmed from headquarters staff taking notice of reputable research indicating many acquaintance sexual assaults perpetrators commit more than one assault. Air Force Office of Special Investigations, through training and guidance, emphasized the need for investigators to look into the behavioral histories of those accused of committing sexual assaults. Headquarters staff and case reviewers have noted a significant increase in the number of cases where additional victims have been identified after expanding the scope of investigations to assess the possibility of past similar behavior by perpetrators identified in these cases.

2.5 Describe your progress in sustaining the Defense Enterprise Working Group of Military Criminal Investigation Organizations and Defense Criminal Investigative Service to assess and validate joint investigative technology, best practices, and resource efficiencies benchmarked against external law enforcement agencies.

Air Force Office of Special Investigations is a member of the Defense Investigative Organization Enterprise-Wide Working Group which meets bi-monthly to address issues that pertain to all member agencies. In March 2015, the Defense Investigative Organization Enterprise-Wide Working Group formed a panel chartered to provide a structured integrated process to assess, on a recurring basis, opportunities for mission efficiencies and cost savings derived from multi-agency requirement generation processes and centralized procurement vehicles. The panel, chaired by an Air Force Office of Special Investigations

agent, is known as the Joint Requirement Assessment Panel which is currently developing or fielding 14 joint projects aimed at improving our operational capabilities at reduced costs. Three examples of new capabilities developed by the Joint Requirement Assessment Panel include the consolidated Department of Defense evidence form currently in staffing, the Department of Defense Crime Scene Investigations Training Program and the ability to scan suspects' palms to eliminate or exonerate individuals.

2.6 Describe your progress in assessing and coordinating with the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory and Armed Forces Institute of Pathology to improve investigative support and facilitate evidence processing (i.e., turnaround time for processing of Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence kits).

Air Force Office of Special Investigations partnered with the Defense Forensic Science Center in several projects aimed at improving turnaround times and increasing capabilities for both organizations. United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory partnered with Air Force Office of Special Investigations to begin a new streamlined way of processing sexual assault evidence through the lab; the process begins with a full case assessment within the new Case Management Branch. The pilot was successful and the process has been changed Department of Defense wide. Now, certain analyses can be done in parallel rather than in series. Additionally, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, Criminal Investigation Division, and Naval Criminal Investigative Service are developing an evidence management system that will enable tracking of evidence from its collection, to analysis, through trial and disposition with one barcode.

The Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner course contains lectures and training from the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory which discusses proper evidence collection and documentation for medial forensic examiners. Updates are pending for the Department of Defense Form 2911 after a recent Inter-Service review that included representation from the three services. Modifications will include updates to evidence collection practices and forensic toxicology for restricted reporting. Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners do not collect tissue samples that would require coordination with the Joint Pathology Center.

2.7 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian law enforcement organizations to improve interoperability and assume receipt of civilian case dispositions.

Air Force Office of Special Investigations has offices at 260 worldwide locations and to ensure mission effectiveness at these locations, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, collectively, maintains local working relations with over 1500 law enforcement agencies worldwide as part of an aggressive liaison program. In addition to our active duty and civilian special agent work force of 1900, Air Force Office of Special Investigations has a majority of its 346 reserve agents who are also members of local, state and federal law enforcement organizations. Air Force Office of Special Investigations' reserve agents allow the command the ability to leverage their talents thus enables greater interoperability by tapping their interagency relationships. Air Force Office of Special Investigations has and continues to stress the importance of leveraging its liaison and reserve agent programs as a way to

enhance our ability to refer cases to the appropriate investigative jurisdictions.

2.8 Describe your procedures to ensure that military commanders, through their installation law enforcement agency, place an active MPO in the National Crime Information Center for the duration of the order.

On 26 June 2014, The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance regarding the placement of Military Protective Orders in the National Crime Information Center Protective Order File. Pursuant to the requirements of section 1567A, Title 10, U.S.C., if a Military Protective Order is issued against a member of the armed forces and any individual involved in the order does not reside on a military installation at any time during the duration of the Military Protective Order, the commander of the military installation shall notify the appropriate civilian authorities of:

- the issuance of the protective order
- the individuals involved in the order

Air Force Deputy Chief of Staff for Installations & Logistics will incorporate the information from the memorandum into an interim change to Air Force Instruction 31-218, Motor Vehicle Traffic Supervision. Per directive message issued by the Air Force Security Forces Center Commander in July 2014, units were directed to comply with the information contained in the memo immediately. Most installations have established procedures and some have codified processes to enter Military Protective Orders. Security forces will enter Military Protective Orders into the National Crime Information Center dependent on their receipt from commanders once they are issued. When a local law enforcement agency reports a violation of a Military Protective Order, the violation is reported to the subject's commander. NOTE: This requirement only pertains to National Crime Information Center /Department of Defense form 2873 and not "No contact orders."

2.9 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.

Air Force Office of Special Investigations will achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault by sustaining the progress previously achieved in investigation quality and timeliness, leveraging research-based interviewing techniques, and ensuring Air Force Office of Special Investigations contributes to a robust special victim investigation and prosecution capability within the Air Force. In September 2014, the Joint Sex Assault Team concept was adopted between Air Force Office of Special Investigations and United States Air Force Security Forces. Since the Joint Sex Assault Team program's inception, 36 Security Forces members have been Sex Crime Investigations Training Program trained and assigned as Joint Sex Assault Team members at 19 main operating bases throughout the Air Force. These Joint Sex Assault Team members are assigned at Air Force Office of Special Investigations units and serve as a force multiplier at locations where there is a presence of higher sexual assault case loads. Air Force Office of Special Investigations will continue to conduct five Sex Crime Investigations Training Program courses each year for the foreseeable future, annually training 150 agents, lawyers and Security Forces investigators.

In addition to formal training, members from the Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigations Violent Crimes Branch and the Command's Sexual Assault Investigations and Operations Consultant will continue to conduct training at many of our region's operational leadership meetings in order to ensure our unit leadership teams receive up-to-date information on sexual assault investigative sufficiency and timeliness and to ensure they have a thorough understanding of new and emerging polices, techniques and procedures.

3. LOE 3-Accountability-The objective of accountability is to "achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable."

3.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability Endstate: "perpetrators are held appropriately accountable."

The Air Force provides a fair and equitable system of accountability. The system ensures legal fairness, maintains good order and discipline, and promotes efficiency and effectiveness. Key components of the Air Force's system are the commander and leadership driven programs that address survivor support as well as alleged offenders' appropriate accountability and support. The Air Force's efforts include:

- Immediate and mandatory referral of sexual assault allegations to Air Force Office of Special Investigations.
- Immediate reporting of sexual assault/misconduct, harassment, and inappropriate relationship allegations to senior leadership.
- Notification of installation Staff Judge Advocate and legal office within 24 hours of a sexual assault allegation.
- Office of Special Investigations and Judge Advocate meeting within 48 hours of a sexual assault allegation.
- Review of the completed Air Force Office of Special Investigations investigation by a Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authority Commander. The Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authority Commander closely works with a Staff Judge Advocate to make fully informed and sound disposition decisions considering the evidence and victim input. The disposition decision is reviewed by at least one commander.
- Training of Wing, Vice Wing, and Group Commanders in the Senior Officer Legal Orientation course, hosted by the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School.
- Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability that provides specially trained attorneys, law enforcement officers, victim witness assistance personnel, and paralegals who collaborate to ensure effective, timely, responsive worldwide survivor support and to hold perpetrators appropriately accountable.
- Special Victims Unit Senior Trial Counsel Prosecutors are experienced and have specialized training and experience with the prosecution of sexual assault crimes and

participate in approximately 70% of sexual assault trials Air Force-wide. Special Victims Unit Senior Trial Counsel prosecutes the most complex cases while mentoring and training base trial counsel.

3.2 Describe your efforts to sustain or increase training of judge advocates to maintain the expertise necessary to litigate adult sexual assault cases in spite of the turnover created by judge advocate personnel rotations. Include efforts to keep judge advocates informed of changes to the military justice system, specifically in the area of sexual assault.

Since the inception of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, the Judge Advocate General's Corps began incorporating sexual assault-based training into the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School curriculum. The training has evolved both in scope and target audience. The school has included sexual-assault case scenarios at the initial training all new Air Force judge advocates receive to ensure they are exposed to sexual-assault litigation issues from the very outset of their military careers. The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School has also introduced the Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course, which - in conjunction with the Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course - provides judge advocates with opportunities to sharpen their skills in this complex field of practice. The school has also expanded the population it serves by training Special Victims' Counsel, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, and Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel.

The number of in-residence courses and distance education courses and webinars continue to expand and develop in response to changes in the law and issues arising in the field. The distance education courses and webinars are designed to efficiently and effectively provide information to military justice practitioners on sexual assault topics and changes in the law. The Air Force litigation-training roadmap begins with foundational courses offered by the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School and continues with advanced litigation and military justice courses for judge advocates responsible for courtroom litigation. These courses include the ones described below.

Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course: At the beginning of their Air Force career, all judge advocates must attend this initial nine-week training course, taught at the Judge Advocate General's School at Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. Last year, 135 judge advocates took this course upon their entry into The Judge Advocate General's Corps. The Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course provides 130 hours of military justice instruction, including a court-martial case scenario based on a sexual assault case. A judge advocate must graduate from The Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course, serve effectively as a trial counsel, and be recommended by his or her Staff Judge Advocate and a military judge in order to become certified as trial and defense counsel. Judge advocates cannot serve as the lone counsel or as lead counsel in a general court-martial or serve as defense counsel or Special Victims' Counsel until they are certified. The Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course provides new judge advocates trial advocacy experience in realistic courtroom-based exercises.

Trial and Defense Advocacy Course: This is a two-week course, offered twice per fiscal year, taught at the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School that allows judge advocates to

develop their trial advocacy skills through practical demonstrations and mock court exercises. Experts from both within and outside the Department of Defense teach students how to overcome the challenges of litigating sexual assault cases to include addressing a case with an intoxicated victim, working with expert witnesses, and cross-examining an accused. In fiscal year 2015, 62 judge advocates received this vital training.

Advanced Trial Advocacy Course: This course provides education in advanced trial techniques to experienced trial and defense counsel to prepare them to try major, complex courts-martial, including sexual assault trials. 22 attorneys benefitted from this advanced litigation training in fiscal year 2015.

Training by Reservists in Advocacy and Litigation Skills: The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School also provides continuing litigation training through its Training by Reservists in Advocacy and Litigation Skills course, which visits multiple Air Force legal offices each year providing on-site advocacy training. In fiscal year 2015, training was held at nine bases world-wide, honing the skills of 120 judge advocates. The Training by Reservists in Advocacy and Litigation Skills team is comprised of experienced Reserve judge advocates, The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School faculty members, and a sitting military judge. The team offers a two and one half-day intensive advocacy training program using a fact pattern involving a sexual assault case.

Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course: This course is always paired with the Trials course and is taught five times each year at the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School and various base locations throughout the world. In fiscal year 2015, 183 judge advocates attended this training. This course provides trial and defense counsel and Special Victims' Counsel updates on: evolving aspects of military trial practice, practical lessons on securing and using evidence and experts, litigating Military Rule of Evidence 412 "rape shield" provisions and exceptions, litigating Military Rule of Evidence 513 psychotherapist-patient privilege, litigating Military Rule of Evidence 514 victim advocate-victim privilege, and instruction on litigating sexual assault cases. Pairing this course with the Trials course enables immediate application of this classroom instruction with courtroom skills practice. A forensic psychologist teaches a block of instruction to prosecutors and defense counsel. Students hear from senior leaders, receive instruction from experienced litigators, and network with other counsel.

Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course: This one-week course is offered to special victims' unit senior trial counsel and senior defense counsel and includes Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents who have been designated to investigate sexual assault cases. This course fosters a collaborative approach to sexual assault investigations and prosecutions with its joint approach to training. Training focuses on use of expert witnesses at trial, the victim interview process and victim testimony at trial, and overarching concepts related to sexual assault investigations. The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School brings in two forensic psychologists for this course and a full day of instruction is dedicated to this topic with students conducting mock direct and cross-examinations of the experts. Similarly, one day of instruction is dedicated to sexual assault nurse examiners. Two forensic sexual assault nurse examiners provide instruction and students conduct mock direct and cross-examinations of the experts. In fiscal year 2015, five area defense counsel, five

Special Victims' Counsel, two senior trial counsel, and one senior defense counsel attended this training.

Sex Crimes Investigation Training Program: Judge advocates attend the eight-day Sex Crimes Investigation Training Program jointly with Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glynco, Georgia. This course was originally developed by the Air Force Office of Special Investigations during fiscal year 2012, but has evolved to include both Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents and judge advocates. This course provides Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents and judge advocates training on cognitive biases in sexual assault cases, the psychology of victims and offenders, advanced victim and suspect interviewing techniques, advanced crime scene processing, information related to drug and alcohol facilitated sexual assaults and working with sexual assault nurse examiners, sexual assault response coordinators, and Special Victims' Counsel. In fiscal year 2015, approximately 27 judge advocates attended five Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program courses.

Military Justice Administration Course: This one-week course provides training in the management of base legal offices' military justice sections to judge advocates and paralegals who are currently or soon will be the Chief of Military Justice (attorney) or the Noncommissioned Officer in Charge of Military Justice (paralegal). In fiscal year 2015, 56 students learned to manage a base level military justice section, including how to process a case from initial stages of investigation through post-trial. The course has covered sexual assault prosecution, including understanding the role of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response, Special Victims' Counsel roles, Article 120 updates and other changes to laws and regulations.

Staff Judge Advocate Course: This two-week course provides a refresher in military law and a study of Air Force leadership principles for judge advocates who are assigned to staff judge advocate positions. This course is designed to facilitate the transition of judge advocates to the position of staff judge advocate, the senior legal advisor to Air Force commanders, including those who serve as special and general court-martial convening authorities. Some of the lectures taught are processing a sexual assault case from beginning to end, cardinal principles of military justice (environment, moving cases, visibility, fairness), mentoring trial counsel, and retaliation.

Special Victims' Counsel Course: Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals are required to complete the Special Victims' Counsel Course in order to serve in the positions. The first few iterations of the Special Victims' Counsel Course were one week in length. The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School course focused on unique aspects of being a victim's attorney and representation of adult sexual assault victims specifically. In fiscal year 2015, the Special Victims' Counsel Course was extended by 2 1/2 days after eligibility for representation was expanded to include child victims. Training is provided by the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School personnel, AF Special Victims' Counsel leadership, as well as outside experts on victims' rights. Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel from all military services have attended each of the Special Victims' Counsel Courses. In fiscal year 2015, 97 joint service Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals attended the course.

Victim and Witness Assistance Program Training: Judge advocates and paralegals have traditionally received Victim and Witness Assistance Program training through their respective military justice courses. However, in fiscal year 2014, The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School began offering a Victim and Witness Assistance Program distance education course. This five-week course focuses on the following: teaching Victim and Witness Assistance Program personnel how to discuss the military justice process with victims; enhancing students' understanding of the neurobiology of trauma and counterintuitive behavior; helping students understand their role in the special victim capability, and helping ensure every victim liaison is familiar with Air Force and civilian resources available to crime victims. The training supplements first responder training required by the fiscal year 2012 National Defense Authorization Act. The Victim and Witness Assistance Program distance education course is offered twice per year, enhancing the installation level training and reachback support for judge advocates and paralegals who serve as victim liaisons in base legal offices so that they will be prepared to support victims throughout the military justice process.

Military Judges' Course: The Army conducts the Military Judge Course. Once selected, military judges from all military services attend the three-week Military Judge Course at The United States Army Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School, Charlottesville, Virginia. All military judges must complete this course before they can be certified as military judges. Moreover, all Air Force judges returning to the bench from another assignment must take the entire course again. This course covers judicial philosophy, case management, specific case scenarios, and relevant updates to ensure rapid implementation of National Defense Authorization Act and Executive Order requirements. The course includes substantive criminal law and procedure, practical exercises, and scenarios focused on factors to consider in reaching an appropriate sentence. The course emphasizes sexual assault issues and scenarios are designed around a sexual assault case. In September 2015, the Air Force held an additional training course for trial judges that focused on issues surrounding sexual assault prosecutions.

Joint Military Judges' Annual Training: This one-week course brings together military trial judges from all military services to review recent developments in military criminal law and discuss the most effective techniques of judicial management. Seminar topics include discussion and analysis of the judge's responsibilities at trial, courtroom procedures, Military Rules of Evidence, and recent court decisions and changes in the law impacting military justice practice.

Other Courses: The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School periodically offers webcasts and distance education modules on sexual assault topics available to all judge advocates and paralegals. This technology allows the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School to train the entire Judge Advocate General Corps on changes in the law and updates relevant legal issues almost immediately after the changes are put into effect. Recent training topics offered in 2015 include annual first responder training, 2015 Manual for Courts-Martial Executive Orders, the 2015 National Defense Authorization Act and a 2015 appellate update.

First Responder Training: The Air Force Legal Operations Agency provides annual training for judge advocates, paralegals, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel and legal

assistance attorneys who may service as first responders to a report of sexual assault. This training is mandatory for all Active Duty and Reserve judge advocates who provide military justice advice, legal assistance, or who serve as trial counsel; Active Duty and Reserve paralegals that provide legal assistance support or directly contribute to a Victim and Witness Assistance Program; and civilian employees who work in military justice, legal assistance, or contribute to a Victim and Witness Assistance Program.

In addition to the courses discussed above, a number of trial counsel and senior trial counsel take advantage of courses offered by the other military departments. Examples include the Navy's Prosecuting Alcohol-Facilitated Sexual Assault Course, and the Army's Special Victims Unit Investigations Course. Additionally, trial counsel at all levels endeavor to take advantage of training offered by non-federal entities such as the National District Attorney's Association Prosecution of Sexual Assault Course. In addition, a few trial counsel each year attend in-residence and distance-learning courses offered by AEquitas, an organization receiving funding from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women. Special victims' unit senior trial counsel maximize training by cross-pollinating their expertise and providing on-site sexual assault litigation training to junior trial counsel while assisting with prosecution of sexual assault cases at the base level. Special victims' unit senior trial counsel is also available for reach-back support and training to base level trial counsel.

During the first week which focuses on adult clients, students receive instruction on practice and procedure; Military Crime Victims' Rights; relevant Military Rules of Evidence; professional responsibility rules; access to investigation and military justice process information and representing victims facing ancillary disciplinary matters. Students receive in depth training about the AF Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, Victim-Witness Assistance Program and Family Advocacy Program. Two key parts of the curriculum are the practical exercises that require Special Victims' Counsel to conduct an intake interview with a mock client and to argue Military Rules of Evidence 412, 513, and 615 motions on behalf of a mock client. Adult survivors who had been represented by Special Victims' Counsel provide valuable insight by holding small group sessions where they answer questions about their experience. The second week focuses on child development and responses to trauma, forensic interviewing, using age appropriate communication, and working with both parents and children. All of the subjects are presented with a focus on the unique aspects of representing victims of sexual assault.

In addition to the formal courses discussed above, Special Victims' Counsel/Special Victims' Paralegal proficiency is continuously supplemented through internal training conducted by the Special Victims' Counsel, and Special Victims' Counsel leadership. Special Victims' Counsel also attend other formal courses within the Air Force that teach them intermediate and advanced sexual assault litigation skills and provide the Special Victims' Counsel additional opportunities to network with trial counsel and defense attorneys. Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals attend national and local external training opportunities such as the Crime Victim Law Conference, the National Sexual Assault Conference, the National Symposium on Child Abuse, the California Association Against Sexual Assault, and the Texas Association of Sexual Assault conferences. These courses further the expertise of Special Victims' Counsel/ Special Victims' Paralegals in victimization, resiliency and comprehensive representation of victims. Attending these courses builds relationships with

other attorneys who represent crime victims and civilian service providers. Special Victims' Counsel have attended training provided by Sister services and briefed at the Army Judge Advocate General School in the Army Special Victims' Counsel course.

To effectively accomplish this training, The Air Force Legal Operations Agency created a two-part distance learning course. The first part of this course covers the prosecution of sexual assault cases and discusses topics such as drug and alcohol facilitated sexual assault, rules of evidence and evolving case law, victim privacy matters, and working with Special Victims' Counsel. There is also a requirement for Staff Judge Advocates to do a local training that covers any local laws or policies. This may include laws or policies that affect the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program or reporting options. The second portion covers reporting options, the roles of the sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate, Special Victims' Counsel, Case Management Group, Military Rule of Evidence 514, deployment issues, the Victim and Witness Assistance Program, investigations, sex offender registration, and commander actions.

3.3 Describe your progress in ensuring those who are affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, special victims' counsel I victims' legal counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) receive specialized Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training for responding to allegations of sexual assault.

Judge advocates and paralegals have traditionally received Victim and Witness Assistance Program training through their respective military justice courses. However, in fiscal year 2014, The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School began offering a Victim Witness Assistance Program distance education course. This five-week course focuses on the following: teaches Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel how to discuss the military justice process with victims; enhances students' understanding of the neurobiology of trauma and counterintuitive behaviors; helps students understand their role in the special victim capability; and helps ensure every victim liaison is familiar with Air Force and civilian resources available to crime victims. The training supplements first responder training required by the fiscal year 2012 National Defense Authorization Act. The Victim Witness Assistance Program distance education course is offered twice per year, enhancing the installation level training and reach-back support for judge advocates and paralegals who serve as victim liaisons in base legal offices so that they will be prepared to support victims throughout the military justice process.

As discussed in question 3.2, the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School also provides annual training for judge advocates, paralegals, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel and legal assistance attorneys that are part of the Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability via First Responder training course. The two-part distance learning course covers a plethora of topics, including but not limited to reporting options, the roles of the sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate, trial counsel, paralegals, Victim and Witness Assistance Program liaison, such as drug and alcohol facilitated sexual assault, rules of evidence and evolving case law, victim privacy matters, and working with Special Victims' Counsel. This training is mandatory for all attorneys and paralegals.

All Special Victims' Counsel complete mandatory Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training. In addition to receiving Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training, Special Victims' Counsel regularly brief at Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training regarding Special Victims' Counsel services and representation. Sexual Assault Response Coordinators brief at the Special Victims' Counsel Course which was held twice in fiscal year 2015. Regularly, Special Victims' Counsel and Sexual Assault Response Coordinators in the field provide joint specialized training to paralegals and victim-witness assistance personnel.

3.4 Describe your progress in ensuring that if a service member is convicted by courtmartial or receives a non-judicial punishment or punitive administrative action for a sex-related offense, a notation to that effect is placed in the service member's personnel record and separation action is initiated (for court-martial convictions).

The Air Force has an Air Force Instruction that ensures any courts-martial convictions, nonjudicial punishment, or other administrative actions for sexual assault are placed into the service member's personnel file. Air Force Instruction 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems, paragraph 1.8.2.2, mandates that all "complaints of sex related offenses against a member, regardless of grade, resulting in conviction by court-martial, non-judicial punishment, or punitive administrative action require a mandatory notation" on the member's next Enlisted Performance Report, Officer Performance Report, or Training Report, and Promotion Recommendation Form (if not already documented on an evaluation or courtmartial in the officer's selection record). Additionally, paragraph 1.11.8 requires a comment on an Airman's next performance report if he or she is convicted at a court-martial of any offense. The comment must relate to the Airman's behavior. The Air Force also has an Air Force Instruction that requires initiation of administrative discharge processing for any sexual assault offense. Air Force Instruction 36-3208 Administrative Separation of Airmen, paragraph 5.55 states, "sexual assault and sexual assault of a child are incompatible with military service and members who commit these offenses are subject to discharge for misconduct." The Air Force Instruction mandates that a commander promptly initiate discharge proceedings in accordance with paragraph 5.55 if he or she has information indicating that the member has committed a sexual assault or a sexual assault of a child (as defined by paragraph 5.55.1). This requirement is not predicated on a court-martial conviction.

3.5 Describe your efforts to ensure sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate, Military Criminal Investigation Organization and commander knowledge of Military Rule of Evidence 514 (Victim Advocate-Victim Privilege).

The Senior Officer Legal Orientation course provides legal instruction to new wing, vice and group commanders. The course provides attendees with dedicated legal training to prepare commanders to exercise the authorities assigned to them under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Senior Officer Legal Orientation is taught in conjunction with the Air Force Wing and Group Commander's Course and covers military justice, ethics, First Amendment issues, common installation legal matters, restriction and reprisal and operations law. In fiscal year 2015, Senior Officer Legal Orientation was offered five times and was attended by 353 commanders.

When Special Victims' Counsel brief to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Victim Advocates and Military Criminal Investigations Organization, they often discuss the various privileges a victim is entitled to, including attorney-client privilege, victim-advocate privilege, and mental health privilege. Frequently, Special Victims' Counsel provide informal explanations about Military Rule of Evidence 514 when they work with Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Victim Advocates and Military Criminal Investigations Organization and Commanders in cases in which the privilege applies. In courts-martials, Special Victims' Counsel frequently argued to keep the communications privileged.

3.6 NGB, describe your efforts to ensure that all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault (both Title 10 and 32 status) are referred to the appropriate Military Criminal Investigative Organization, civilian law enforcement, or to the National Guard Bureau Office of Complex Administrative Investigation (NGB- JA/OCI).

Not Applicable

3.7 Describe your efforts to develop policy to ensure alleged offenders are provided due process rights.

Since its creation in 1974, the Trial Defense Division has been dedicated to providing Air Force members worldwide with independent criminal defense representation in a zealous, ethical, and professional manner. The men and women of Trial Defense Division operate independently of base leadership and that chain of command. They are dedicated to providing the best possible outcome for the individual Airman in each and every criminal or adverse administrative proceeding. Currently, there are 77 Defense Paralegals, 83 Area Defense Counsel, 20 Senior Defense Counsel, 3 Chief Senior Defense Counsel, as well as the Chief of Trial Defense Division and the Deputy Chief for policy and training. The military justice process includes a full spectrum of rights and due process. The Air Force has numerous safeguards to ensure that Airmen who are suspected of committing an offense are provided due process. A thorough investigation of allegations of wrongdoing is conducted to gather and preserve any evidence for appropriate command action. A commander may dispose of allegations against a member by several means, including no action, administrative action, nonjudicial punishment or trial by court-martial. Each commander exercises his or her own best judgment, after reviewing all relevant facts and considering victim input and legal advice, in determining how to appropriately handle a case. An Airman always has the right to seek legal advice from a Military Defense Counsel, provided free of charge. When interviewed for an investigation, Airmen are informed of their rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, and have the right to remain silent and consult with counsel.

If a member's commander decides to take administrative action such as a letter of reprimand, the member is given three duty days to speak with the Military Defense Counsel before responding. After consulting with his or her attorney, the member is given the opportunity to respond to the administrative action in writing. Once a commander makes a decision then the member is notified of the decision. The member will then be notified if the commander intends to place the action into an Unfavorable Information File or place the member on a

Control Roster, which could have adverse effects on their ability to go on temporary duties or make a permanent change of station (See Air Force Instruction 36-2907).

If a member's commander decides to offer nonjudicial punishment, the member is given three duty days to speak with the Military Defense Counsel before responding. After consulting with his or her attorney, the member is given the opportunity to respond to the administrative action in writing. The member is also entitled to speak in person with his or her commander. If the commander finds that the member did commit one or more of the offense and imposes punishment, the member has the right to appeal that decision. The member has five calendar days to submit matters on appeal. The member's commander will then consider the matter and decide if he or she is going to grant the appeal. If they deny the appeal in full or in part, it must go to the next higher commander for final decision. Once a final decision is made, the member is notified and must acknowledge the decision by signing the nonjudicial punishment form.

If a commander decides to prefer charges, there are additional due process considerations. Before charges may be referred to trial by general court-martial, a thorough and impartial investigation must be conducted into the subject matter of the charged offenses in accordance with Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice. After the Article 32 preliminary hearing has been completed, the convening authority must find that there are reasonable grounds to believe that an offense triable by a court-martial has been committed, that the accused committed it, and that the specification alleges an offense.

Any service member charged with an offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice is entitled to a presumption of innocence until proven guilty in court by legal and competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. Military Defense Counsel is provided free of charge. A service member also has the right to be represented by a military counsel of his own selection, provided the counsel selected is reasonably available. In addition to his military defense counsel, the service member has the right to be represented by a civilian counsel at no expense to the government.

If the member's case goes to trial, he is represented by his Military Defense Counsel and/or civilian defense counsel. After a trial is completed, if the service member is convicted, the court-martial convening authority is required to consider the results of trial, the recommendation of the staff judge advocate, and any matters submitted by the service member before taking action on the case. The convening authority's action may not change a finding of not guilty or increase any sentence adjudged by the court-martial.

After the convening authority's action, if the sentence includes a dishonorable discharge or bad conduct discharge or confinement for one year or more, the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals automatically reviews the case for error. In these cases, an appellate military defense counsel is appointed to represent the member at no expense to the member. Depending on what happens at the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals, the member may appeal to the Court of Appeal for the Armed Forces and potentially to the United States Supreme Court.

3.8 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.

In addition to the extensive accountability and training programs described above, the Air Force Judge Advocate General's Corps is in the process of reorganizing our military justice system into five circuits. Each circuit will cover a specific geographic region, and will involve the relocation of previously geographically isolated military judges, senior trial counsel, senior defense counsel, and senior special victims counsel into a central location within each circuit. As a result of this reorganization, senior trial counsel will now be collocated at one of five installations:

Joint-Base Langley-Eustis (Eastern Circuit – Contiguous United States)
Randolph Air Force Base (Central Circuit – Contiguous United States)
Travis Air Force Base (Western Circuit – Contiguous United States)
Kadena Airbase (Pacific Circuit)
Ramstein Airbase (European Circuit)

Senior trial counsel stationed at each circuit location will be supervised by a Chief Circuit Senior Trial Counsel. The Circuit Chiefs will maintain primary responsibility for providing reach back military justice expertise to the installations within their regions while still reporting to The Chief Senior Trial Counsel of the Air Force at Joint-Base Andrews. This arrangement will allow for increased familiarity and training opportunities between special victims unit senior trial counsel and Judge Advocate General's Corps personnel stationed at installations within their regions, while at the same time preserving the benefits of centralization, such as shared lessons learned and the ability to detail counsel across circuit boundaries should a specific case call for a specific skill set. Circuits will also allow for greater mentoring opportunities and interaction between co-located special victims unit senior trial counsel and newer senior trial counsel.

Moreover, a new circuit training initiative will accompany the standup of circuits. Beginning in August 2016, joint circuit training will be held annually at Joint Base Andrews. This training will allow senior trial counsel stationed at each circuit to come together and share lessons learned from the field and individually attended trainings. Certain joint training blocks will include senior trial counsel, senior defense counsel, senior special victims' counsel and military judges. The curriculum during these blocks will focus on communications and the resolution of common issues to promote efficiencies in bringing cases to trial and reduce unanticipated delays.

- 4. LOE 4-Advocacy Victim Assistance-The objective of advocacy/victim assistance is to "deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options."
- 4.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Advocacy Victim Assistance Endstate: "high quality services and support to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience, and inspire victims to report." Include how competency, ethical, and foundational standards established in Department of Defense Instruction 6400.07, enclosure 2, are met.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has focused on providing unrivaled victim care and services since its inception in 2005. In fiscal year 2015, victim confidence and trust were further strengthened, inspiring Airmen to report sexual assaults through either restricted or unrestricted avenues. When victims report sexual assault, the Air Force provides a safe environment, offers medical care, mental health counseling, specialized legal counsel, and victim witness assistance. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program aims to cultivate a culture which instills victim's confidence and trust in the response process, creating a necessary bridge to greater victim care and offender accountability. We met and exceeded competency, ethical, and foundational standards established in Department of Defense Instruction 6400.07, enclosure 2, as evidenced by the following:

Competency Standards: In order to ensure only the most competent individuals are interacting with victims the Air Force has established criteria that ensures education, training, and relevant experience qualification must be met to hold the position of sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate and volunteer victim advocate. These positions must meet the following criteria at all times as a condition of their employment:

- Military members must possess favorable designations on their previous three performance reports
- No open criminal investigation as an alleged offender
- No conviction for substance abuse, domestic violence, child abuse, a violent crime, felony offense or sexual assault
- No record of disciplinary action that resulted in an Article 15 or Unfavorable Information File for the previous five years
- Never been convicted by a General, Special or Summary court-martial
- No record of court-martial, non-judicial punishment, or administrative action (Letter of reprimand, Letter of Admonishment, negative Letter of Counseling, or negative Record of Individual Counseling:
 - -- Reflecting a lack of character, behavioral or emotional control
 - -- Based on sexual assault, sexual harassment, physical abuse, or unprofessional relationships as defined in Air Force Instruction 36-2909, Professional and Unprofessional Relationships
- Never been convicted by a civilian court of Category 1, 2, or 3 offenses, nor exceeded the accepted number of Category 4 offenses as defined in the Air Force Recruiting Service Instruction 36-2001.
- No record of a substantiated complaint against the sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate or volunteer victim advocate of reprisal, retaliation, or abuse of authority

within their personnel records

- Not have a qualifying conviction for a crime of sexual assault, or required to register as a sex offender
- No additional information from a Commander (or equivalent) that would preclude selection of or deem the individual unsuitable as a sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate and volunteer victim advocate.

The potential sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate and volunteer victim advocate must also submit a commander recommendation letter that endorses the applicant's qualifications as stated in the Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. The potential applicants as well as incumbents holding these positions are required to immediately self-report to the Commander, assigned sexual assault response coordinator, and Human Resources if investigated, charged, and/or convicted of any criminal activity. An evaluation of the individual's position qualifications will then be initiated.

The Department of Defense utilizes the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program to screen, approve, and certify that all sexual assault response coordinators, victim advocates, and volunteer victim advocate have met the requirements identified in the Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. Failure to meet the requirements outlined in the Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, as well as the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certification will prompt the removal of the individual from any sexual assault prevention and response duties.

Training requirements to satisfy competency standards are comprehensive as well:

- Sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates must complete an initial 64 hour Air University Sexual Assault Response Coordinator course prior to providing any victim care. This course covers a wide range of objectives to include effective communication techniques, small group discussions and presentations about responding to victimization, crisis support, stress reduction techniques, trauma physiology, program management, and Air Force policy. Volunteer victim advocates must complete the initial 40 hour Victim Advocate course that is similar to the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator course with reduced emphasis on program management.
- All sexual assault response coordinators, victim advocates, and volunteer victim advocates must also complete a minimum of 32 hours of continuing education training on a bi-annual basis. Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel at the installation attend a variety of local and national courses and working groups to fulfill this requirement.
- Ethical Standards: There are a number of tenants that Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel utilize to guarantee the strictest ethical standards.

- Communication establishes full understanding of services available to the victim. Services are voluntary, which allows the victim to customize the level of participation based on their personal needs and requirements.
- Privacy of information is paramount for instilling trust in the sexual assault prevention and response program. Unrestricted and restricted reporting gives victims options that determine the type and amount of information that is disseminated to various base agencies. The collection, maintenance, and dissemination of personally identifiable information are handled in accordance with the Department of Defense 5400.11, Department of Defense Privacy Program.
- A clear distinction is made by the sexual assault prevention and response personnel to the victim that the services provided will fall under victim care and advocacy. When victims elect to utilize the services of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, the Department of Defense form 2910 is used to document the report and explain the various reporting options and services available. Sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates are required to explain this form to the victim. This establishes responsibilities and limitations of the various support agencies and helps to avoid conflicts of interest, maintains objectivity, and ensures professional conduct with the victims. This policy of victim care and advocacy also creates an environment that is welcoming to victims while being free from discrimination, blame, and judgment. This is a conscious effort by the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program to increase the number of victims that feel comfortable coming forward to make a report.

In addition to ethics training sexual assault prevention and response personnel receive during their initial 64 or 40 hour course, 2 of the 32 hour continuing education requirement must be ethics based.

Additionally, the Air Force published Air Force Instruction 1-2, Commander's Responsibilities, establishing expectations and guidance to commanders to be morally and ethically above reproach and to exemplify Air Force Core Values and standards in their professional and personal lives. It directs commanders to establish and maintain a healthy command climate that fosters good order and discipline, teamwork, cohesion and trust that ensures members are treated with dignity, respect and inclusion and does not tolerate harassment, assault or unlawful discrimination of any kind.

Foundational Standards: The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has exceeded foundational standards and led the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2015 through the implementation of initiatives such as funding non-medical escorts for sexual assault victims traveling to testify in their sexual assault cases, authorizing non-rated periods on military performance reports for sexual assault victims experiencing trauma that may impact their duty performance, and expanding sexual assault prevention and response services to civilian employees.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office drove a change to the Joint Travel Regulation authorizing an escort or attendant for a sexual assault victim who must travel to testify as a witness at a court martial or Article 32 hearing, pre-trial interviews,

hearing or panel (including Congressional) related to the sexual assault. The Air Force had received a number of inquiries for authority to have someone accompany the victim, but there was no authority for an escort or attendant other than for the victim advocate or sexual assault response coordinator to attend as part of their job function. The change, effective 12 June 2015, provided victims of sexual assault a stronger support system by allowing someone of their choosing to travel with them when they testify as a witness in connection with the sexual assault.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office also drove a change authorizing non-rated periods on military performance reports for sexual assault victims experiencing trauma that may impact their duty performance. There is no defined 'timeline' when a sexual assault victim becomes a survivor. Every victim's recovery process is dependent on many variables, and therefore, time needed for recovery will vary. Airmen may present with a variety of symptoms after a trauma that may impact duty performance. Some of these primary symptoms include effects on sleep and concentration. Responses to a trauma will vary among Airmen because the nature and intensity of a current trauma occurs in the context of an Airman's past history. As a result, effective 24 August 2015, commanders can authorize an initial non-rated period of 120 calendar days. Additional periods (60-day increments) may be requested for the Airman's recovery. Commanders have been instructed that the presumption will be in favor of the Airman requesting the non-rated period. Commanders have also been instructed to counsel the Airman, directly, to ensure he or she is fully informed regarding the reasonably foreseeable career impacts (re-accomplish counseling prior to 60-day extensions). Finally, commanders have been directed to make every reasonable effort to minimize disruption to the Airman's normal career progression. If the commander recommends disapproval of the request for a non-rated period, justification must be provided and the request will be forwarded to the Airman's wing commander/equivalent (may be delegated no further than the vice commander/equivalent) for final approval/disapproval.

Air Force Surgeon General partnered with the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to update Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate training to clarify the role of medical providers in providing care to victims. This information includes information regarding the role and responsibilities of medical responders and availability of resources at the military treatment facilities. The intent is to ensure clear communication at the installation level between sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates and medical providers to ensure seamless care to victims.

Finally, on 24 August 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office was the catalyst for yet another monumental change when they sought, and was granted, a one year exception to policy by the Department of Defense allowing all Air Force civilian employees the ability to file an unrestricted or restricted report of sexual assault and receive advocacy services from a sexual assault response coordinator or victim advocate. After a year, the Air Force will report its findings on this initiative to the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. These fiscal year 2015 initiatives are shining examples of how the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has continued to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience and inspire victims to report.

4.2 Describe your efforts to establish processes for reviewing credentials, qualifications, and continuing education for victim-sensitive personnel positions. Describe your Service's process to address inappropriate behavior demonstrated by those in victim-sensitive personnel positions. Include process for revocation of certification, if appropriate.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has implemented a number of processes to monitor personnel credentials and qualifications. Major command program managers are required to update personnel rosters for all of their programs. The rosters include installation names, sexual assault prevention and response personnel's name, rank, grade, and email addresses, Department of Defense certification, their primary title and role in support of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, initial training date (i.e. Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course), background check and security clearance information, and up-to-date contact info. Quarterly training reports document continuing education units and are uploaded into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Major command program managers ensure that all sexual assault prevention and response personnel obtain the appropriate training and number of continuing education units to maintain their credentials.

Certification suspension and revocation guidelines are clearly annotated in Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. Identified misconduct is immediately reported to the installation or host commander. The commander is charged with conducting a timely inquiry and providing the sexual assault prevention and response personnel with written notification of the complaint. Duties are suspended until the inquiry is complete and depending on the finding are reinstated or credentials are revoked. Criteria for conducting inquiries are carefully explained in Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. The instruction includes a template that describes what must be included in the written report of facts surrounding the complaint. This is followed by Headquarter Air Force notification to the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office and the certifying agency. Reinstatement of suspended personnel whose complaint is unfounded will be accomplished in writing by the installation or host commander.

The processes for reviewing credentials, qualifications and training for medical providers in victim-sensitive personnel positions is codified in Air Force Instruction 44-119, *Medical Quality Operations, Aug 16, 2011*. These processes implement the requirements of the Department of Defense Memorandum 6025.13, Medical Quality Assurance and Clinical Quality Management in the Military Health System and civilian accrediting agencies. The processes ensure providers meet established criteria of professional competence, moral character, ethical conduct and screens for potential mental health and substance abuse that could impact the provision of safe patient care. Information on the required credentials, to include primary source verification of the credentials, is maintained in the Department of

Defense Centralized Credential Quality Assurance System.

Once granted permission to practice, providers within a facility undergo an initial and ongoing comprehensive peer review process in accordance with Air Force Instruction 44-119, *Medical Quality Operations*. This instruction outlines actions in response to a threat or potential threat to patient safety/staff or to the integrity of the Air Force Medical Service related to clinical incompetence, professional misconduct, or impairment. In addition, providers are held accountable to the Air Force Clinical Code of Conduct for unprofessional and disruptive behaviors that threaten the provision of safe, high quality care.

Medical Personnel Revocation: In accordance with the Air Force Instruction, the Air Force Surgeon General may direct reporting to the National Practitioner Data Bank, state(s) of licensure, and other certifying bodies when a provider's practice has been adversely impacted due to clinical incompetence, professional misconduct, or impairment. Non-privileged providers are also expected to maintain high levels of professionalism, integrity, and standards of conduct when assisting victims of domestic abuse or assault. Breaches in professional behavior are initially addressed by the supervisor, commander, and contract representative in the case of contractors. Initial efforts focus on correcting the inappropriate behavior. If education and re-training efforts fail, or if individual actions are of such nature to discredit the individual's ability to effectively perform the designated duties, removal or termination from the position remains an option. Active duty or government civilians may be reassigned, while contract staff members are more commonly terminated.

4.3 Describe your progress in ensuring all sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates are Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program certified prior to performing their duties.

All initial Air Force sexual assault response coordinator and sexual assault victim advocate training includes a module on roles and responsibilities and required credentialing. Furthermore, commanders who have oversight of the sexual assault prevention and response program are informed of this requirement and to date there have been no violations. The certification process begins immediately after sexual assault prevention and response personnel are hired. Education and training of these personnel are planned with the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Certification Program in mind.

4.4 Describe your Service efforts to encourage sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates to renew their certification at a higher level in order to increase the quality of victim assistance providers.

Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program is invested in providing personnel with the best possible training to prepare them for their role of response coordinators and advocates. The focus is therefore on ensuring that they have the appropriate skills to meet the demands of the job. The criteria for obtaining the levels of certification is already pre-established by the recertifying process. Sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates will reach higher levels of certification as they accumulate victim services and support hours.

4.5 Describe any challenges that sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates may be having in obtaining continuing education in advance of emerging issues and victim- focused traumainformed care.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office has no data to suggest any challenges sexual assault response coordinators or sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates may be having obtaining their 32 hour bi-annual continuing education training requirements.

4.6 Describe your continued efforts to ensure that the 24/7 DoD Safe Helpline has accurate contact information for on-base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response resources (i.e., Chaplains, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Military Police, and Medical Personnel).

The Department of Defense initiates semi-annual phone audits of the Safe Helpline to verify contact numbers contained in the Responder Administration website are correct. These audits focus on the contact information for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Victim Advocates, and responders (Chaplains, Legal Assistance, Medical Resources, and Military Police). The Military Services, National Guard Bureau, and U.S. Coast Guard provide this contact information to Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Response Office per Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Response Office's Guidelines for the Department of Defense Safe Helpline.

The audit itself is conducted by the Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network via a contract with the Department of Defense. This database is a public tool that can be accessed directly by victims and the public on www.safehelpline.org. There is ongoing maintenance of the information contained in this website. Major command program managers are tasked with accomplishing self-inspections of their installations' contact information. Updates and/or deletions are required to be reported back to Headquarters Air Force for reconciliation. All personnel inputs are then updated via the Responder Administration website. Upon completion of the audit, a report is generated on the 'success rate' based on positive and/or confirmed contact with an organization. Discrepancies from the audit are reconciled by the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office and the Safe Helpline is updated accordingly. The final audit report reflects phone verifications and reconciliation results. The final verification results from the March 2015 audit are shown below:

- Sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates 112 contacts/112 attempts = 100% success rate
- First Responders (Chaplains, legal assistance, medical resources, and military police) 437 contacts/437 attempts = 100% success rate
- Air Force overall 549 contacts/549 attempts = 100% success rate

Headquarters Air Force recognizes the importance of accurate and up-to-date- information as part of the response capability of its program. These audits are critical to this effort as

installation information and services change.

4.7 Describe your efforts to publicize various Sexual Assault Prevention and Response resources, such as Department of Defense Safe Helpline - to include recent revisions related to privileged communication (Executive Order 13696), to all Service members.

Publicizing sexual assault prevention and response resources is critical in establishing an easily accessible response and prevention program for all Air Force personnel. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response office works closely with Public Affairs to provide a comprehensive approach to information dissemination. Information containing contact phone numbers, hours of operation, and website links are located on each Air Force installation home page. These home pages also contain links and contact information to the Department of Defense Safe Helpline. Both the websites and Safe Helpline are required per Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.

Additionally, sexual assault response coordinators provide training to installation personnel to include members of leadership. This training incorporates resource information for the various services provided both on and off base related to sexual assault prevention and response.

Finally, communicating policy changes to the field is essential to ensuring accurate and effective responses for victims. There are a number of methods the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response office incorporates to accomplish this goal. Policy changes are communicated to the field via websites, teleconferences, webinars, Public Affairs announcements, emails, Air Force Instruction releases, and guidance memorandums. Program management policy changes are often relayed to the field via monthly teleconferences with major command program managers and the Air Force Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Office. Information that requires direct Air Force leadership attention is often sent by email directly from the Director of the Air Force Sexual Assault Response and Prevention office to major command commanders for dissemination.

4.8 Describe your efforts to institutionalize the solicitation of both male and female victim input into the development of Service Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy.

The 2015 Survivor Experience Survey is an anonymous survey that was created by the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office and the Services to assess satisfaction with the support and resources survivors of sexual assault have recently received or used. It was designed by the Defense Manpower Data Center and the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in response to the Secretary of Defense's tasking to the Department to improve the services provided to survivors of sexual assault. The Secretary of Defense's Directive requires that a standardized and voluntary survey for survivors be developed and regularly administered to "provide the sexual assault victim/survivor the opportunity to assess and provide feedback on their experiences with sexual assault prevention and response victim assistance, the military health system, the military justice process, and other areas of support." This survey was divided into the following topic areas for evaluation; background knowledge/information,

awareness of resources, the reporting process, the reporting experience and overall general experience. The survey is ongoing beginning August 6, 2015.

Additionally, the Defense Manpower Data Center created the Military Investigation and Justice Experience Survey for the Office of the Secretary of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office as a follow-on survey to the Survivor Experience Survey. This survey is designed to gather data on a victim's experiences with the military justice process. The ongoing survey opened on August 31, 2015 to sexual assault victims who made an unrestricted report and completed the military justice process.

Furthermore, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office began a retaliation data collection event in March 2015 to gauge the prevalence of social and professional retaliation among sexual assault victims. To support the data collection effort, we developed a Victim Experience Interview form. Sexual assault response coordinators are responsible for interviewing each victim with an open, unrestricted report and offering them the opportunity to have the results discussed at the monthly Case Management Group meeting. Victims have the option to decline the interview or to have the details of the interview kept private. This process has been established as an ongoing effort.

The results of the above mentioned surveys will be used to institutionalize both male and female victim input into the development of Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy in an effort to continuously improve services and support.

4.9 Describe your efforts to improve response to male victims, to include implementing and monitoring methods to improve reporting of male sexual assault.

The Air Force continues to provide effective support and response to all victims regardless of gender. To improve response to male victims the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office collaborates with subject matter experts and highly qualified experts:

- Mr. Simon Weinberg (Co-Producer/Marketing Director and Outreach Strategist, Big Voice Pictures) As a result of his work over the years working directly with male survivors of sexual violence, Mr. Weinberg provides guidance and insight on how to connect with and reach out to men. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office utilizes Mr. Weinberg's expertise through consultations and workshops. Mr. Weinberg demonstrates his approach to sexual violence in general and sexual violence against men in particular. Specifically, he identifies that communication concerning male assaults is critical, how to positively impact the military culture on sexual violence against males, and how to create healthy environments in which males victimized by sexual violence can feel safe coming forward. Finally Mr. Weinberg has provided the Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office with his video "Boys and Men Healing" which has been utilized as a training tool.
- Dr. Jim Hopper (Harvard Medical School Associate Professor, Researcher, and Therapist) Dr. Hopper provides research based information on sexual violence as it pertains to male victims in the greater society as well as in the military environment. One of the areas Dr. Hopper continues to stress pertains to certain negative aspects of military culture which serve to further alienate male victims of sexual violence thereby inhibiting reporting. In response,

the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office directly address culture change and the building of healthier environments in our training.

- Sexual Assault Response Coordinators Information garnered through our communications with the above subject matter expert's has been utilized to enhance aspects of the training curriculums that address male victims. This information has also been utilized to influence our approach to address aspects of culture that inhibit male reporting i.e. identifying and addressing hostile masculinity, identifying and addressing violent subcultures, and identifying and addressing the toxic effects of hazing.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office hosted a focus group in 2015 to address the unique concerns of male victims:

- 2015 Prevention Summit Male Survivors Focus Group
In January 2015 the Air Force conducted a Prevention Summit at which a focus group
consisting of Air Force Male Survivors was held. The primary center of this group's
discussion pertained to increasing male reporting of sexual violence. The group
acknowledged the importance of publicizing male survivor stories in relation to increasing
male reporting.

Current Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner training for providers who will conduct sexual assault Medical Forensic examinations includes a module that covers unique needs of male victims. Also the annual Sexual Assault Prevention and Response First Responder Training has modified language to be gender neutral and sensitive to gender specific needs.

Although all Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response services and policies are standardized for all Airmen, reporting data and surveys suggests that male reporting is trailing female reporting. Coordinating with highly qualified experts and subject matter experts has provided the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office with a roadmap to improve response to male victims including active funding requests for pilot programs that incorporate lessons learned from the experts and events listed above.

4.10 Describe your progress in developing and issuing guidance for facilitating requests from sexual assault victims for accommodations (such as an alternate setting) in accomplishing mandatory Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training requirements to ensure confidentiality for victims who filed Restricted Reports.

Victim sensitivity and care are top Air Force priorities when providing any sexual assault prevention and response training. Therefore, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Policy Team included guidance in the 2015 update to Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, directing trainers and curriculum developers to include a disclaimer at the beginning of all sexual assault prevention and response trainings allowing victims the option to receive training individually from the sexual assault prevention and response office as applicable. Additionally, sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates inform victims of this option.

Annual Sexual Assault Prevention and Response First Responder Training covers reporting

types and discusses actions to be taken to ensure victim confidentiality. This includes advising command of duty restrictions related to medical treatment through the medical profiling process without disclosing that a sexual assault has occurred or the medical diagnosis. Medical records are protected according to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and medical documentation that occurs related to sexual assault are identified as sensitive, with access to these records monitored to ensure only those with a need to know have accessed the record.

4.11 Describe your progress to improve victim care services at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and for the Reserve Components. For the Reserve Components, describe Service actions to promote timely access to Sexual Assault Response Coordinators by members of the National Guard and Reserves. Describe any recurring challenges (if any) your Service may have in this area.

Active Component: Currently, there are twelve Joint Bases across the Department of Defense: Six are Air Force led (Joint Base Charleston, Joint Base McGuire – Dix –Lakehurst, Joint Base Andrews, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Joint Base San Antonio, Joint Base Langley-Eustis); four are Navy led (Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Joint Base Guam, Joint Base Norfolk); and two are Army led (Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall).

Joint Bases are similar to Air Force installations in the sense that Airmen at Joint Bases are trained to receive sexual assault prevention and response services and annual sexual assault prevention and response training from their Service. However, in accordance with Department of Defense policy, a service member can access sexual assault prevention and response services from any branch of service regardless of affiliation.

The Air Force provides victim care at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and at Reserve Component installations using a multi-faceted approach employing sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates. The sexual assault prevention and response staff, regardless of service affiliation, collaborate on prevention, outreach and training efforts at their installations.

Medical supplemental instructions are required at each Joint Base location. A medical supplemental instruction directs in detail the coordination and provision of services and care to medical beneficiaries at each Base Closure and Realignment Commission location. Additionally, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs has oversight of the services and, therefore, the military treatment facilities on each installation. Medical support and response to victims of sexual assault include: emergency services, primary care services, mental health services, alcohol/drug abuse prevention and treatment services and family advocacy program services. Over 55 Air Force military treatment facilities have memorandums of understanding with civilian centers of excellence for emergency services to ensure sexual assault victims are provided the highest quality care.

Reserve Component: To facilitate victim care the Air Force has a full-time civilian sexual assault response coordinator and a dedicated reserve officer victim advocate assigned to each of its 11 host installations (Dobbins Air Reserve Base, Grissom Air Reserve Base,

Homestead Air Reserve Base, March Air Reserve Base, Minneapolis-St. Paul International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth (formally known as Carswell), Niagara Falls International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Pittsburgh International Air Port Air Reserve Station, Pope Army Airfield, Westover Air Reserve Base, and Youngstown Air Reserve Station). At each of these locations, Air Force Reserve Command assigns a traditional reserve officer in the rank of Major to the installation sexual assault prevention and response office with the job title of sexual assault prevention and response victim advocate.

The Reserve Component facilitates care for its Airmen by referring sexual assault victims to medical and mental health treatment centers. To expedite care and meet a victim's needs, Reserve victims are generally referred to the nearest medical treatment facility or Veterans Administration facility. Coordinating care with Veteran's Affairs enables the Reserve Component to meet a victim's needs when they are geographically separated from a military treatment center.

The Air National Guard discusses their progress in improving victim care services at Joint Bases and in Joint Environments in their annual report. Please reference the National Guard Bureau Submission for more detailed information.

4.12 Provide an assessment of the implementation of your expedited victim transfer request policy. Include measures taken to ensure victims are informed in a timely manner of their right to request an expedited transfer, and challenges to the implementation of the policy. Documentation should be included as an appendix.

While Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program personnel must provide victims with the information required for this service, victims take the lead on initiating this process. An expedited transfer is a voluntary request and information is introduced to victims with a range of other available services. Expedited transfers may not be initiated by the sexual assault response coordinator, victim advocate, or victim's chain-of-command without the victim's consent. The expedited transfer is implemented to provide victims with an option to obtain a permanent or temporary change of station in order to facilitate immediate support that can assist with their healing, recovery and rehabilitation that is not otherwise available in the current location. During Fiscal Year 2015, sexual assault prevention and response personnel continued to encourage victims to discuss the expedited transfer decision with their assigned Special Victims Counsel and others who have the appropriate expertise to provide advice regarding potential impact on their career.

Victims interested in requesting an expedited transfer are informed that they must begin the process by utilizing the virtual Military Personnel Flight and may elect to do so with or without the assistance of the sexual assault response coordinator. This lengthy discussion may take place over several meetings to ensure that victims are comfortable with the amount of information they are provided on the subject. Detailed instructions are provided to sexual assault prevention and response personnel in an expedited transfer info document which is made available on the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response SharePoint. It includes the following instructions:

- When the member has completely filled out the application in virtual Military Personnel Flight the application will be provided to the unit commander.
- After the victim submits the expedited transfer in virtual Military Personnel Flight, the Unit Commander or equivalent will receive the request.
- Wing commander (or equivalent) will approve or disapprove the member's request within 72 hours (continuous hours, this includes weekends and holidays).
- If approved, wing/vice commanders provides the unit commander and member a letter indicating the approval.
- If denied, the expedited transfer request is subject to general officer review following an appeal request by the victim. The General Officer must review and provide a decision within 72 hours of receipt of the appeal request.
- Member must upload the approval letter in virtual Military Personnel Flight.
- Humanitarian assignments will then work the new assignment.
- It is the member's responsibility to request a join spouse assignment if a spouse will accompany them on the reassignment.
- While it is possible to terminate the expedited transfer request once it is in progress, it is important to be thoughtful about this decision to minimize the loss of time and effort for all parties.
- Cross-training may also be one option to consider when there are narrow career fields. Fully knowledgeable of the expedited transfer process, sexual assault prevention and response personnel also explain the initial intent and purpose of an expedited transfer (support, recovery, rehabilitation and prevention of retaliation) and walk victims through the procedures for submitting a request when/if they choose. SARCs inform victims that they are not required to make an immediate decision regarding an expedited transfer. Victims may request an expedited transfer at any time. When the weekly expedited transfer report was initiated sexual assault response coordinators began tracking the 72 hour timeline (72 consecutive hours includes weekends and holidays) which begins when the commander received the request.

Sexual assault prevention and response personnel use the initial meeting with the victims to help victims sort through life events that may be impacted (i.e. unexpected consequences) by the expedited transfer request. This may include treatment which may not be readily available at the new location. Many victims report that they want a fresh start and they are made aware that they may continue with sexual assault prevention and response support, if they choose. When victims elect to move closer to family support they have honest discussions with sexual assault prevention and response personnel about how to gain family support without disclosing details of their experience. A range of other issues are discussed (e. g. medical, financial and academic needs, etc.) and the sexual assault prevention and response

personnel's ability to provide a "warm handover" to personnel at the new location if they desire.

4.12.1 Pertaining to temporary and/or permanent unit/duty expedited transfers (NOT involving a PCS), provide:

- The number requested
- The number approved as the victim requested
- The number approved different than the victim requested
- The number denied and a summary of why
- The number moved within 30 days of approval
- The number moved after 30 days of approval

According to the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, there were four individuals who requested a unit/duty expedited transfer. All four requests were approved within 30 days.

4.12.2 Pertaining to permanent requested installation expedited transfers (involving a PCS), provide:

- The number requested
- The number approved as the victim requested
- The number approved different than the victim requested
- The number denied and a summary of why
- The number moved within 30 days of approval
- The number moved after 30 days of approval

According to the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, there were 108 victims who requested an installation expedited transfer during fiscal year 2015. 100 of the 108 requests were either approved or disapproved within 72 hours. Of these 108 requests, five were initially disapproved. Among these five disapprovals, two requested a senior level decision maker to review the expedited transfer request. In both cases, the request was approved by the senior level decision maker. Therefore, a total of three victims did not receive an installation expedited transfer as requested. The reasons for these disapprovals are:

- The victim was also the subject in a separate criminal investigation. Per Air Force policy, an individual is not granted an expedited transfer while they are the subject of a criminal investigation.
- The commander first wanted more information from the investigation performed by the Office of Special Investigations. Therefore, they disapproved the request and communicated that it would be reconsidered as soon as they had more information. In the meantime, the victim's unit was very supportive. Consequently, the victim no longer wanted to pursue the expedited transfer.
- The investigation concluded that there was a significant lack of evidence that a sexual assault took place.

4.13 In consultation with your Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, list the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination kits or timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measure(s) you took to remedy the situation.

According to Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, there were no reports in fiscal year 2015 where a forensic exam was not completed because a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Kit and/or other needed supplies were not available.

A survey of all Air Force military treatment facilities did not identify any concerns related to timely access of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination kits or any hindrance of appropriate laboratory testing. This survey included those military treatment facilities that were conducting exams within their facility as well as those that rely on community resources as the most competent resource to conduct exams.

4.14 Provide information about any problems or challenges you have encountered with assigning Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel to handle Unrestricted or Anonymous reports of sexual assaults made by prisoners in a Military Confinement Facility and establishing your Prison Rape Elimination Act Anonymous Reporting Hotlines in the Department of Defense Safe Helpline Responder database.

We have no data to indicate that Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel have experienced problems or challenges handling unrestricted or anonymous reports of sexual assault made by prisoners in a military confinement facility.

Implementation of the Air Force Prison Rape Elimination Act program is ongoing. Prison Rape Elimination Act guidance is established in Air Force Instruction 31-105, Air Force Corrections System, published on 15 June 2015. The Air Force has Level I Confinement Facilities and has an August 2017 deadline to hire an Air Force Coordinator. Currently, the coordinator has been classified as a GS-12 and applicant interviews are being planned.

Hiring the Air Force Coordinator will accelerate the Air Force's push to be compliant with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (compliance will be achieved before August 2017 as required for confinement facilities with less than 50 beds). Installing designated phone lines in confinement facilities and loading the phone numbers on the Department of Defense Safe Helpline is the first action of the Air Force Prison Rape Elimination Act Coordinator. Additionally, training must be provided to staff and prisoners on procedures. Finally, facility audits will be conducted in accordance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

4.15 Describe your future plans and challenges for delivering consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office continues to strive for improvements to its already robust program. Fiscal year 2015 has been a foundational year for a number of policies and practices that are being implemented and planned for the future. Retaliation and reprisal following a report of sexual assault has been found to be an impediment to creating an environment and culture that is supportive of victims. In an effort

to eliminate fears of retaliation and reprisal and increase the likelihood of a victim coming forward to make a report the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office has worked with the Department of Defense to establish the Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy. This strategy has established and standardized definitions. This ensures consistency in data collection and standardizes the response to retaliation allegations for military members. Reprisal against civilian employees is governed by separate and much more complex statutory frameworks (including both Equal Employment Opportunity reprisal and whistleblower reprisal) which have different procedures, rules, and definitions.

Fiscal year 2015 welcomed increased sexual assault response and prevention services for Air Force civilians. The response strategy clarifies how civilian reprisal or retaliation will be addressed using civilian Equal Employment Opportunity procedures. The Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy also established that the Air Force has personnel and procedures already established to provide adequate response to reports of retaliation or retribution. The sexual assault response coordinators, Special Victim's Council, Inspector General, and Equal Opportunity personnel are trained, capable, and tasked with providing the necessary support and guidance to victims reporting retaliation and/or retribution. Data related to this subject will be collected and reported via the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database for analysis. This data will be used to increase the effectiveness of the Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy.

Additional plans to improve victim support, response, and reporting option include the incorporation of Air Force civilian support and services. Prior to fiscal year 2015 civilian employees were provided with abbreviated services in relation to military members. To help establish a comprehensive culture of support the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention Office incorporated the availability of full sexual assault prevention and response services to Air Force civilians to include the reporting options, and crisis intervention and advocacy services of a sexual assault response coordinator and victim advocate.

Future plans for improving communication and training to sexual assault prevention and response personnel include monthly newsletters developed by the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office. These newsletters will include new and upcoming policy updates, feedback from the victims concerning response services, questions and answers from the field, etc.

The three Service Surgeons General signed a Memorandum of Agreement establishing the Inter-service Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner course at Ft. Sam Houston, San Antonio, Texas. This Inter-service course is based on the current Army course at the Army Medical Department Center and School and will continue to meet Department of Justice protocols and International Association of Forensic Nursing standards. This Inter-Service course will increase the number of courses available to the three Services with a certification process pending by end of calendar year 2016. Additionally, the three Services are working with Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to establish a process for forensic toxicology for restricted reports of sexual assault. This will require a change to Department of Defense Form 2911, which began in the fall 2015, is in process now and anticipated to be implemented by fall 2016.

Finally, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is interested in analyzing data relating to the expedited transfer policy. Specifically the percentage of cases that meet the 72 hour requirement, the number of expedited transfer requests approved versus disapproved, and any information causing a rejection of a request. Establishing a basis for analysis, the data required, and the process of collection (such as data from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database) will allow for higher quality service to victims.

- 5. LOE-Assessment-The objective of assessment is to "effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress."
- 5.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Assessment Endstate: "responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of measurement and evaluation into every aspect of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program."

Overview: Over the past year, the Air Force has made substantial changes in its efforts to standardize assessment methodologies and to effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report the progress of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. Assessment is an enduring process of data collection and analytics designed to improve program effectiveness and is embedded within each of the other lines of effort. This effort includes surveys from commanders, victims, victim advocates, and Airmen across all echelons within the Air Force. The Air Force incorporates a responsive, meaningful, and accurate measurement and evaluation system to determine impact on eliminating sexual assault. The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office serves as the single point of authority, accountability, and oversight for Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program policy. As the program lead, this office created standard analytical support systems and survey instruments to assess the efforts to eliminate sexual assault.

SAS Analytic Tool: At the start of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office relied only on Microsoft Excel for conducting analysis. To create a more robust analysis capability, we acquired SAS licenses and provided training for all analysts. Analysts have successfully used SAS software in a variety of ways, such as sampling populations in support of surveys, providing decision support reports for leadership, developing automated reports for prevention initiatives, and developing products to improve the accuracy of data in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. SAS will be the standard analysis tool in the future.

Surveys: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office increased its emphasis on surveys to assess Airmen's understanding of sexual assault prevention and response topics in its efforts achieve the assessment end state. Surveys are one of the most effective tools used to provide leaders specific statistical information to tell a story about the health and welfare of a large population or environment. The Air Force uses surveys to provide commanders and leaders with relevant and necessary information.

- Qualtrics Survey Platform: At the start of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office had no survey system capability for conducting sexual assault survey research. We rely on extensive survey data to inform program decisions and are currently seeking a multi-year contract for the Qualtrics survey system to fulfill in-house survey

development needs. We have successfully used the Qualtrics survey system in a proof-of-concept test supporting an assessment of annual training, and anticipate using Qualtrics as our standard survey tool in the future.

The following surveys were fielded to expand the understanding of sexual assault prevention and response related issues.

- Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course Content Survey: The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course Content Survey in fiscal year 2015. On this voluntary survey, 91 sexual assault response coordinators and 54 sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates rated both their capability and the importance of skills taught in the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course. Additionally, 48 vice wing commanders rated their sexual assault response coordinators and 91 sexual assault response coordinators rated their sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates on their capability to apply skills learned in the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office used survey results to update the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Refresher Course held in August 2015.
- Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training Assessment Survey: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed a pre-training and post-training survey to assess knowledge and comfort levels of sexual assault prevention and response training topics. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office invited a random sample of 3,002 Airmen consisting of officer, enlisted, and civilian employees to participate in this voluntary survey. The pre-training survey was provided to total force members prior to receiving required 2015 annual sexual assault prevention and response training, and the post-training survey was provided to the same sample of total force members after receiving required 2015 annual sexual assault prevention and response training. Survey participants self-assessed their knowledge of sexual assault prevention and response topics and services, and also stated their comfort levels dealing with a victim of sexual assault. The survey also included a fact-based scenario with a male victim to assess required training knowledge. A comparison of pre-training and post-training survey results will provide insight on the effectiveness of our annual training and provide a measure of how well annual training learning objectives are being met.

Air Force Medical Service will continue to track to ensure compliance with annual Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office First Responder Training for Medical. Additionally, those medical providers who complete Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner /Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner training and certification processes will have this information updated in the Centralized Credentials Quality Assurance System. Early actions are being taken to establish a Special Experience Identifier for all providers certified in forensic medical examination either through Inter-Service Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner once established, or civilian Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner certification through International Association of Forensic Nurses.

5.2 Describe your oversight activities that assess the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program effectiveness. Include frequency, methods/metrics used, findings and recommendations, corrective action taken (e.g., program management review and Inspector General compliance inspections), and other activities.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office utilizes a number of practices in order to determine program effectiveness. Major commands submit quarterly training reports that identify the types and number of activities that sexual assault prevention and response personnel are delivering to their communities. Included in these reports are training and briefing activities, outreach and prevention efforts, as well as personnel manning metrics.

Coordination with the Air Force Audit Agency continues to identify findings and recommendations pertaining to program effectiveness. In addition to standard audits the Air Force Audit Agency and the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office formalized a virtual audit plan for fiscal year 2015. The initial virtual audit sampled 40 installations to ensure accurate documentation of program efforts. These installations provided over 500 documents to the Audit Agency for review. The audit aims to determine required qualifications are met by sexual assault prevention and response personnel. These requirements include: background investigations, training, statements of understanding, and Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Program Certification.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office continues to use the Management Internal Control Toolset as an integral tool to assist in making data driven decisions; providing clarity to policy; and identifying program concerns. Airmen at the program level complete the self-assessment communicators, which provide real-time information relevant to decision makers throughout the chain of command.

To meet the continuous compliance requirements set forth in Air Force Instruction 90-201, The Air Force Inspection System, the sexual assault prevention and response program is inspected by certified personnel annually. This report is provided to the base's major command and to Headquarters Air Force.

5.3 Describe your efforts to employ comparative civilian research in metrics reporting in support of commanders.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office employs civilian research in metrics reporting in support of commanders. Civilian research used in 2015 included information from the following:

RAND Perpetrator Study: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office and the Air Force Recruiting Service worked with the RAND Corporation on a study called Enhancing Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Efforts Through a Better Understanding of Perpetrator Behaviors and Risk Factors. The project had four main tasks which included the following: a comprehensive review of scientific literature on perpetrator characteristics and behaviors, a case analysis of alleged and convicted sexual assault offenders within the Air Force, an examination of the risk factors and their incorporation into an enlisted recruiting and accession screening process, and

recommendations for advancing sexual assault prevention efforts.

Institute for Defense Analyses Alcohol Study: In August 2015, a contract was awarded to the Institute for Defense Analyses by the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, and the Army Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention Program Office to look at the link between alcohol, harmful behaviors, and undesirable outcomes including sexual assault. The project, Reducing Alcohol's Impact on Sexual Assault and Other Harmful Behaviors, Phase One: Understanding Service Member's Alcohol Purchasing Behavior, and Designing a Rigorous Causal Evaluation of Interventions, looks at the effects of alcohol price on the drinking behaviors of light, moderate, and heavy drinkers. During fiscal year 2016, researchers will conduct a literature review and an Alcohol Landscape Study to look at the spending patterns of military members to include the types of alcohol purchased and whether the purchase was made on a military installation. With insights from the Alcohol Landscape Study, alcohol interventions looking at price and availability will be designed, and if awarded, Phase Two will be tested on selected military installations.

Installation Profile Reports: The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed installation profile reports for each of the major commands for fiscal years 2014 and 2015. The sexual assault prevalence rates utilized came from the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study for Active Duty. Additional data was sourced from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. The reports include the following data:

- Air Force Active Duty populations by installation and gender
- Air Force Active Duty sexual assault reporting numbers by gender and installation
- Estimated Air Force Active Duty sexual assault prevalence by gender and installation
- Reports by military/civilian and gender
- Incidents that occurred during military service
- Alcohol involvement for military on military assaults
- Drug involvement for military on military assaults
- Incident location for military on military assaults
- Time of day for military on military assaults where the victim is Air Force affiliated
- Victim relationship to subject for military on military assaults

Development and Evaluation of Interventions to Reduce Risk of Sexual Assault Victimization and Perpetration Study: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the United States Department of Agriculture began developing a study with RTI International to reduce sexual assault in the

Air Force. The study is a randomized control trial targeting three specific groups:

- Victimization prevention
- Re-victimization prevention
- Perpetration prevention

This year's study efforts focused on formative research that included officer and enlisted focus groups and individual interviews at Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas. RTI International held focus groups with active duty general population males and females. The Sheppard Air Force Base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office recruited active duty female and male sexual assault survivors for individual interviews conducted by RTI International. In fiscal year 2016, upon completion of formative research, study implementation will be conducted with Air Force technical trainees. Currently, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is working with RTI International on developing and implementing a similar study to reduce the risk of sexual assault at the United States Air Force Academy and 19th Air Force.

5.4 Describe your efforts to ensure integrity of data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

The sexual assault response coordinators are responsible for entering data into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. The sexual assault response coordinators will have had a favorable background investigation, are certified through the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program and have completed Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training before being granted access.

The Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office conducted a 2 hour block of Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training during Air Force annual refresher training held in July 2015, led several Defense Connect Online training sessions and scheduled one on one meetings with any installation that required assistance.

The Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office makes the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database an agenda item on an as needed basis during its monthly major command teleconference meeting. This 60-90 minute meeting allows the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to conduct training, relay information, and ensure the Air Force sexual assault prevention and response community is informed.

Quality control oversight and review of the data is monitored daily by the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database Technical Manager along with the major command program managers. Using the quality assurance tool provided by Depart of Defense Sexual Assault and Prevention Office a monthly report is sent to the field to identify missing data, conduct data validation to ensure accurate entry and perform cross-checks to identify potential data conflicts. They also work to verify the data integrity and ensure the investigative information in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database matches the data available in the Investigative Information Management System.

The database manager collects concerns from the field and suggests improvements to the system at a monthly Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database Change Control Board meeting.

Air Force Office of Special Investigations policy requires agents to notify their servicing Sexual Assault Response Coordinator as soon as possible upon the initiation of a sexual assault investigation. The Sexual Assault Response Coordinator is required to then annotate the assault within the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, regardless of whether the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator is providing services to the victim. However, these cases are sometimes not entered directly into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, as required by policy. The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed a tool to compare the Office of Special Investigations database to the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Sexual assault cases that appear in the Office of Special Investigations database but not in the Defense Sexual assault Incident Database are added to the latter upon identification.

In addition, the Research and Analysis Branch of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office has developed programs using the SAS analytic tool to find required data missing from Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database records. Data available from the Military Personnel Data System and the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System are cross-referenced using either the victim or subject social security numbers. Missing data fields completed using these methods include the victim type, gender, date of birth, grade for victim or subject. Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database records are subsequently updated with the known data.

5.5 Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities conducted in fiscal year 2015. Include documentation in the appendix.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office conducted a variety of research and data collection activities in fiscal year 2015; including studies, focus groups, and surveys.

Topics of study by the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office include sexual harassment and retaliation.

Sexual Harassment Report: It is widely accepted that sexual harassment and sexual assault coexist on a continuum of harm. Therefore, in an effort to better understand the broader scope of the problem the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office compiled a sexual harassment report. This report did not involve any new research or data. Rather, it collected data from disparate sources to provide a more comprehensive picture of the problem, including:

- Unit climate
- Range of inappropriate workplace behavior

- Prevalence of sexual harassment
- Reporting of sexual harassment
- Outcome of official reports of sexual harassment
- Perceived barriers to reporting
- Incident details
- Impact on individual, unit, and mission
- Correlation between sexual harassment and sexual assault

Retaliation Report: The fiscal year 2014 Annual Report highlighted the problem of retaliation against victims of sexual assault who file a report. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office conducted a review of data and compiled a report in order to better understand the problem. Data was gathered from the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute Organizational Climate Survey, the Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, focus groups, the Survivor Experience Survey, and the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. This report assessed the following topics:

- Perceptions of the Air Force in general
- Perceptions of victims of sexual assault

Topics of study by contractors supporting the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office include the RAND Perpetrator Study and the Institute for Defense Analyses Alcohol Study. See Line of Effort 5.3 for additional information on these studies.

The Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office began retaliation data collection in March 2015 to gauge the prevalence of social and professional retaliation among sexual assault victims. To support the data collection effort, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed a Victim Experience Interview form. Sexual assault response coordinators are responsible for meeting with each victim with an open, unrestricted report and sharing the results at the monthly Case Management Group. Victims have the option to decline the interview or to have the details of the interview kept private. This process has been established as an ongoing effort.

The Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office conducted focus groups and follow-on surveys on the subjects of prevention and a restoration center.

Restoration Center: The Air Force is committed to leading the nation in providing care and recovery services to Airmen who experience sexual trauma. During 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the Air Force Surgeon General's Office are exploring options to create a center that develops best practices and provides support services to survivors of sexual trauma. In the summer of 2015, Kansas

State University conducted individual interviews with sexual assault survivors and domestic abuse sexual trauma survivors to determine preferences on what support is needed (e.g., perception of need, preferred model for support, timing during recovery, and the role of the family). Kansas State University developed a survey from the individual interviews. Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Family Advocacy Program personnel disseminated the survey in October and November of 2015. The Air Force will conduct an analysis of the survey results in December of 2015. The Air Force will use survey results to determine next steps regarding how the Air Force can improve support and recovery to victims after an assault.

Furthermore, during the spring of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office Director, in addition to two representatives from the Air Force Surgeon General's Office, visited the Israeli Defense Forces' Mahut Center. The purpose of the visit was to observe partner nation practices in an effort to improve sexual assault victim support services. The Mahut Center is an outpatient clinic that is centrally located and in close proximity to other medical clinics. In an effort to duplicate a similar center, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office held a violence response center working group in July of 2015. Experts from several key Air Force agencies were represented (i.e., surgeon general, sexual assault prevention and response, equal opportunity, resiliency, chaplains, Special Victims Counsel). Working group out briefs indicated a need for the Air Force to develop infrastructure that improves coordination between support agencies. In October of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office created a violence response center cell. The purpose of this cell is to develop, pilot, and potentially implement the new infrastructure to assist survivors.

Development and Evaluation of Interventions to Reduce Risk of Sexual Assault Victimization and Perpetration Study: During fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office in collaboration with the United States Department of the Agriculture began developing a study with RTI International to reduce sexual assault in the Air Force. The study is a randomized control trial targeting three specific groups:

- Victimization prevention
- Re-victimization prevention
- Perpetration prevention

This year's study efforts focused on formative research that included officer and enlisted focus groups and individual interviews at Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas. RTI International held focus groups with active duty general population males and females. The Sheppard Air Force Base Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office recruited active duty female and male sexual assault survivors for individual interviews conducted by RTI International. In fiscal year 2016, upon completion of formative research, study implementation will be conducted with Air Force technical trainees. Currently, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is working with RTI International on developing and implementing a similar study to reduce the risk of sexual assault at the United States Air Force Academy and 19th Air Force.

Additionally, the Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office conducted surveys to assess the effectiveness of annual training and the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator course. See Lines of Effort 1.17 and 4.2 for additional information on the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training Assessment Survey and Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course Content Survey respectively.

Finally, the Air Force Sexual Assault and Prevention Office researched and prepared major command installation profile reports, which are meant to provide prevention insights to major commands based on their unique reports. See Line of Effort 5.3 for additional information on the installation profile reports.

5.6 Describe your efforts to explore the feasibility of a Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Military Occupational Specialty Additional Skill Identifier or restructuring of military table of organization.

The Air Force explored the feasibility of creating a sexual assault response coordinator Air Force specialty code, but found it more appropriate to establish a special experience identifier code assignable to any career field. Since the inception of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, the Air Force used a combination of full-time military officers and full-time civilian employees as sexual assault response coordinators. Military sexual assault response coordinators responsibilities are part of the 38P (Force Support) core competencies.

Both Active Duty military and civilian sexual assault response coordinators receive a training code of —QBX upon completion of formal training. Additionally, special experience identifiers are assigned to all trained military sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates. Military sexual assault response coordinators have their personnel record updated to reflect proper special experience identifier code of Y0Y. Following successful completion of training, military sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates have their personnel record updated to reflect the proper special experience identifiers, 0V for officers or 003 for enlisted. Due to the by-law requirement for sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates to be trained and credentialed prior to providing advocacy services, special experience identifiers for military members provide tracking after training is completed and credentials are received.

5.7 Describe your plans for fiscal year 2016 that pertain to synchronizing and standardizing the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program across the Joint Force (from Joint/Service basing to forward stationed and deployed units worldwide).

Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, was rewritten and published on 21 May 2015. Chapter 12 in the new instruction was created and dedicated to joint basing to ensure that our Airmen receive training, appropriate sexual assault prevention and response coverage and reporting requirements. Additionally, it provides guidance on how the joint base programs interface with our sister service programs in executing their responsibilities, in an effort to further synchronize and standardize services

as well as alleviate confusion regarding the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program at joint bases.

Furthermore, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office will be hosting numerous meetings and teleconferences with our deployed sexual assault response coordinators as well as our sister service Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Offices to discuss, evaluate and improve Sexual Assault Prevention and Response support services in deployed environments and joint bases.

5.8 Describe your efforts to develop and implement a survey, or leverage existing military training surveys that will provide more comprehensive and detailed information to decision makers about sexual assault and other sexual misconduct that occurs during initial military training, including basic and subsequent career-specific military training.

In response to several high-profile incidents of sexual misconduct by military training instructors during Basic Military Training, the U.S. Air Force's Air Education and Training Command partnered with RAND Project AIR FORCE to develop an integrated survey system to better understand the conditions and perspectives within the Basic Military Training environment from trainees and instructors. The goal of the system was to help detect incidents of abuse and sexual misconduct in the training environment and provide metrics to help leaders understand what actions to take to reduce these behaviors. Based on an extensive review of relevant materials including internal Air Education and Training Command investigations of these incidents, Air Force and Department of Defense policies and the scientific literature, RAND developed two complementary surveys, one for trainees and one for military training instructors. The trainee survey assesses trainee experiences and related reporting behaviors for the following abuse and misconduct categories: trainee bullying, maltreatment and maltraining, unprofessional relationships, sexual harassment, and unwanted sexual experiences. The survey also measures individual perceptions of the squadron climate and Basic Military Training feedback and support systems. The military training instructor survey assesses the extent to which military training instructors were aware of trainees experiencing abuse, as well as their perceptions of the related squadron climate and military training instructor reporting behaviors. The military training instructor survey also includes a section on quality of life, including job attitudes, the work environment, and job stressors. The Basic Military Training Survey was launched on 7 Oct 2013. In fiscal year 2015, 26,940 trainees and 382 instructors participated in the survey.

The Air Force has also employed an anonymous, random student climate survey and an end-of-course misconduct survey for Second Air Force technical training students since 29 August 2013. Climate surveys are administered by training group evaluations staff and require students to evaluate the training climate. Students rate and comment on how the training culture tolerates/rejects sexual assault, sexual harassment, bullying, hazing, and inappropriate relationships. Students also provide ratings and comments on command accessibility. Climate surveys are administered randomly to one class per squadron per month to enable commanders to detect trends within the training environment. All students are required to complete the misconduct end-of-course questionnaire. This survey asks students if they had experienced faculty-on-student sexual assault, physical or verbal abuse,

unprofessional relationships, inappropriate social contact, or discrimination. Responses from these surveys are collected and tracked at each Technical Training Group and included in a semiannual trend analysis report. Through the use of comment boxes located in technical training schoolhouses, students may also report instances of unprofessional behavior.

Air Education and Training Command has also extended its partnership with RAND in fiscal year 2016 to leverage the Basic Military Training Survey system for portability and standardization into a better understanding of the flight training and technical training environments. Air Education and Training Command expects a pilot delivery in spring fiscal year 2016, with a final instrument available for full implementation in fiscal year 2017.

5.9 Describe progress in sustaining the Council on Recruit Basic Training (CORBT). Include how your Service is collaborating with other Services and sharing lessons learned for better prevention, investigation, and response to sexual assault and misconduct during initial military training and subsequent career-specific training. Identify your Service's key stakeholders who are participating in the forum.

The Council on Recruit Basic Training initially operated under a Memorandum of Understanding between the Commander, Second Air Force, the Deputy Commanding General, Initial Military Training Center of Excellence, and the Commander, Naval Service Training Command. Formed in 2013, the Council on Recruit Basic Training sought to address common issues of recruit basic military training with the goal "sharing ideas, experiences and lessons learned on tactics, techniques and procedures . . . to improve entrylevel service enlisted training programs." A modified Memorandum of Understanding was approved in 2014 to add the Commander, Force Readiness Command and to expand Council on Recruit Basic Training's goals to include "discussions on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and general misconduct best practices for the services' technical training pipeline." As identified in the Memorandum of Understanding, the O-6 council continues to meet on a quarterly basis via teleconference, and the executive board just conducted its annual meeting on 1 - 2 Dec 15, hosted by the Army at Fort Jackson, South Carolina. Executive board members from the Air Force, Navy, Army and Coast Guard attended, as well representatives from Office of the Secretary of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office. The specific key stakeholders attending for the Air Force were:

Maj Gen Mark Anthony Brown CMSgt Michael Lemond Brig Gen Trent Edwards CMSgt Richard Sutherland Commander, Second Air Force Superintendent, Second Air Force Commander, 37th Training Wing Chief Master Sergeant, 737 Training Group

While the minutes are still being drafted for approval, the Executive Board determined a charter must be developed to ensure future sustainment of Council on Recruit Basic Training. The board agreed a draft charter should be completed by March 2016 and that once approved, the Office of the Secretary of Defense must be engaged to gain Department of Defense-level recognition and approval. The attendees agreed that the board meeting was valuable, informative and worthy of the cost and time, and efforts to guarantee Council on Recruit Basic Training's sustainment must take priority in the near term.

During the board meeting, a broad range of topics relevant to entry-level training were briefed by each service. The Air Force, however, specifically discussed its efforts to reduce incidents of sexual assault, committed by both instructors and students, through its improved education methods as well as a robust selection process that seeks to ensure the right Airmen are chosen to lead our trainees and students. In addition, in order to advance Council on Recruit Basic Training's goal to include sexual assault response and prevention in initial skills training as an enduring topic of discussion, the board recommended that Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office become a permanent member of the O-6 Council. This will ensure the consistent and timely dissemination of trends and information related to current Sexual Assault Prevention and Response issues and efforts.

5.10 Describe your progress in assessing healthcare provider training effectiveness. Include actions taken to implement training enhancements.

The Air Force Medical Service ensures that victims of sexual assault receive care from the most competent available resource, be that in an Air Force Military Treatment Facility, another service Military Treatment Facility or within the local community. Medical care provided through any of these resources is guided by national protocols established by the Department of Justice. Training is provided for three levels of engagement with victims: First Responders; Trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners; and Providers who will conduct forensic exams and provide direct medical care to victims. Annual training is provided for anyone employed at an Air Force Military Treatment Facility that may have contact with a victim reporting a sexual assault to ensure emergent, victim centered response and prompt referral to the most competent resource. The "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office First Responder Training" is an annual computer based training requirement which is tracked for completion by all staff working within an Air Force Military Treatment Facility. In addition, a revision to Air Force Instruction 44-102, Medical Care Management was published in March 2015, which outlines requirements for Military Treatment Facilities to have a trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner training is tracked and approved to ensure it meets all requirements, to include a minimum of 40 hours and is in compliance with the Department of Justice, "April 2013, A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations - Adult/Adolescents, second edition". Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner training is accomplished either through the International Association of Forensic Nurses 40 hour on-line or International Association of Forensic Nurses facilitated inperson courses or through the US Army's Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course. The Military Treatment Facility trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner provides oversight of the care provided to victims either in the Military Treatment Facility or through Memorandums of Understanding with community partners. The Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner also facilitates any necessary follow up care either in the Military Treatment Facility or within the purchased care network. In addition, Army, Navy and Air Force Surgeons General established a Memorandum of Agreement in May 2015, which set the foundation for establishing an Inter-service Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course. The Interservice Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course will begin in early fiscal year 2017 and will bring the Services in line with National Defense Authorization Act 2015, section 539 which states the three Services support the Secretary of Defense's requirement to develop a uniform training and certification for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners -A, similar

to the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-A program, while protecting Service equities under 10 United States Code to organize, man, train and equip the force to meet mission requirements. The Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners Leading Standard, established initially by the United States Army, follows Department of Justice Protocols and expands training and soon certification opportunities for non-Registered Nurse Providers. In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force sent seven Air Force providers (registered nurse and physician) to the United States Army's Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course, with an additional 11 students scheduled to attend either initial or refresher training for the first quarter of fiscal year 2016.

5.11 Describe your future plans for effectively standardizing, measuring, analyzing, assessing, and reporting program progress.

The Air Force is making steady progress to standardize assessment methodologies and to effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report the progress of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. The future of Air Force assessments will include research efforts on understanding and defining useful prevention approaches, identifying high risk subgroups, identifying the characteristics of assaults that are more prevalent as well as identifying common characteristics of perpetrators. The Air Force believes that understanding the perpetrator risk factors and assault behaviors will aid in creating a more robust and effective prevention program. The RAND Perpetrator Study is a first step in this area completed in fiscal year 2015. See Line of Effort 5.3 for additional information on the RAND Perpetrator Study.

Prevention Strategy: In March 2015, the Air Force hired a senior scientist from the Centers for Disease Control for the purpose of operationalizing the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention strategy for the Air Force. In April, the Air Force developed a Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy and logic model which includes inputs, activities, outputs, and outcomes in addition to identifying risk factors at each level of the social ecological model. From June through September the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office communicated the strategy to all leadership, major commands, and installations. In August the strategy was implemented, which included a consistent evidence-based approach across the Force as well as measures to ensure that installation activities reflect the Air Force strategy. The Air Force is in the process of developing an evaluation plan and performance measures, with the baseline measure expected to be collected in November 2015. The Air Force is also currently reviewing policies to ensure they reflect the new prevention strategy.

Leadership Kneeboard: Following the standup of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, kneeboard information presentations from the various sexual assault data sources were created to inform leadership decisions. These kneeboards provide leaders a snapshot of sexual assault prevention and response metrics and trends which include information such as the number of reports, alcohol involvement, victim and subject demographics and the type of offense being investigated. This information helps commanders maintain a culture of dignity and respect by helping them make informed decisions regarding local guidance and/or policies. Furthermore, this kneeboard provides the Director with current statistics and talking points when interacting with Air Force and Department of Defense senior leaders. Finally, this data is incorporated into Air Force

performance metrics reported quarterly as part of the 'Make Every Dollar Count' initiative.

Qualtrics Survey Platform: At the start of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office had no survey system capability for conducting sexual assault survey research. We rely on extensive survey data to inform program decisions and are currently seeking a multi-year contract for the Qualtrics survey system to fulfill in-house survey development needs. We have successfully used the Qualtrics survey system in proof-of-concept testing to support an assessment of annual training, and anticipate using Qualtrics as our standard survey tool in the future.

SAS Analytic Tool: At the start of 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office relied on Microsoft Excel for conducting analysis. We acquired SAS licenses and provided training for all analysts to create a more robust analysis capability. Analysts have successfully used SAS software in a variety of ways, such as sampling populations in support of surveys, providing decision support reports for leadership, developing automated reports for prevention initiatives, and developing products to improve the accuracy of data in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. SAS will be the standard analysis tool in the future.

Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Inspection Checklist: In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office developed two Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Self-Assessment Communicators for incorporation into the newly created Air Force Inspection System. One Self-Assessment Communicator is for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program to ensure that installation wing commanders are provided a comprehensive assessment tool to measure installation compliance with Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program policies and procedures. The second Self-Assessment Communicator is for the individual unit commanders and provides the installation wing commanders with an assessment tool that tracks the unit's compliance with annual Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training.

6. Overarching Tenet: Communication and Policy

6.1 Describe your efforts to post and widely disseminate sexual assault information (e.g., Department of Defense Safe Helpline, hotline phone numbers, male victim sexual assault prevention and response, and internet websites) to Service members, eligible dependents, and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.

In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office through collaboration with Air Force Public Affairs, took the lead on many communications fronts to include national media engagements, social media, and internal information. The Public Affairs team utilized extensive engagements with commercial media throughout the year to disseminate sexual assault information. In addition to responding to routine queries, Public Affairs organized media availabilities following the publication of both the 2014 Annual Report on Sexual Assault to Congress and the 2014 Report to the President on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response leadership also participated in Air Force Magazine Day, in which magazine editors were invited to the Pentagon to learn about Air Force policies and programs. During the 2015

Prevention Summit, Public Affairs invited media to attend portions of the summit to increase their understanding of sexual assault prevention efforts in the Air Force. Finally, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response leadership engaged with VICE Media to collaborate on a 30-minute documentary on the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. These opportunities engaged media to explain both metrics and efforts to the general public as well as the internal Department of Defense audience.

In addition to engaging media to communicate to Department of Defense personnel, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office facilitated five video teleconferences between the Vice Chief of Staff of the Air Force and 130 Airmen at installations across the service to engage Airmen directly at a senior level to convey information, as well as solicit feedback about the program. Feedback regarding the engagements has been largely positive and has enabled Airmen to provide valuable input shaping training and other Sexual Assault Prevention and Response messages.

The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office continued to partner with Public Affairs to publish stories and information on the official Air Force website, as well as maintaining a dedicated Sexual Assault Prevention and Response website with information and resources including a list of sexual assault convictions across the Air Force on Air Force Judge Advocate General's Corps website.

Sexual Assault Prevention and Response and Public Affairs also partnered to produce talking points and infographics to assist sexual assault response coordinators and unit leadership in explaining various elements of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program and current statistics regarding sexual assault in the Air Force. This effort has empowered leaders with less knowledge of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program to speak more confidently and assist in disseminating information at the lowest levels.

Sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates routinely use various briefings as opportunities to educate Airmen on sexual assault prevention and response contact numbers, reporting options, and eligibility information. Fliers, pamphlets and posters are distributed across installations with contact information and eligibility information. Briefings include annual training, commander's calls, base newcomer's orientations and other venues as requested by installation leaders. Additionally, sexual assault prevention and response programs at the base level routinely distribute promotional items that have contact information. Department of Defense Safe Helpline along with hotline phone numbers are listed on installation homepages as well as on installation sexual assault prevention and response office websites. Furthermore, these numbers can be acquired by contacting installation phone operators.

6.2 Provide updates on your development and implementation of new certification standards for sexual assault forensic examiners.

In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force Medical Service had nine registered nurses certified as Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners. The limitation of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner certification is that only Registered Nurses (to include advanced practice), can apply for this civilian certification. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner training is focused on local and state

requirements and does not cover the unique requirements of providing care for victims in the military environment. Due to the limitations of civilian training and certification, the Interservice Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course is being established, which will include Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner certification. This certification, which will be available no later than Fiscal Year 2017, will follow International Association of Forensic Nurses standards and be available to Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner course attendees. It expands certification opportunities to Physicians and Physician Assistants, who are not able to complete certification through the International Association of Forensic Nurses. The Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners course and certification includes military unique requirements and ensures consistency across the services and clinical specialties. The future Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners Certification requirements follow International Association of Forensic Nurses and Department of Justice standards, to include successful completion of proctored forensic examinations, exposure to court room testimony, and passing a written exam. Maintaining certification also follows International Association of Forensic Nurses and Department of Justice recertification guidelines of every three years, with required continuing education and case exposure on live patients and/or simulated models to ensure competence and credibility.

6.3 Describe your ongoing efforts to review, revise, update, and issue policy pertaining to:

- Expedited transfers
- Sexual Assault Incident Report Oversight (SAIRO) Report
- Safety Assessments
- High-Risk Response Teams

Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, was rewritten and published on 21 May 2015, implementing Air Force Policy Directive 90-60, 2 October 2014, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, and Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures , 28 March 2013, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures.

Additionally, an interim change to Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, is currently being coordinated with all appropriate agencies and should be published in the near future. The interim change incorporates Change 2 to Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures, Department of Defense Instruction 6495.03, Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program, and provisions from the fiscal year 2015 National Defense Authorization Act.

Expedited transfers, Sexual Assault Incident Report Oversight Reports, Safety Assessments and High-Risk Response Teams were all reviewed, revised and updated two times in 2015 during the above mentioned publication changes.

6.4 Describe your methods for effectively factoring accountability metrics into commander performance assessments.

There are a number of requirements regarding the rater's responsibilities to consider when

evaluating the ratee's contribution to ensure a healthy organizational climate, and also special considerations to consider for those in command. A climate assessment survey is a tool commanders use to measure the climate; however, there is no explicit requirement to document its use in the evaluation. Specifically, the policy states evaluators must take this special responsibility and authority into consideration when evaluating a commander's effectiveness in ensuring a healthy command climate. Raters must ensure that every commander knows they are responsible and will be held accountable for ensuring their unit has a healthy command climate. Also, raters must consider the ratee's success in contributing to a healthy organizational climate, or command climate if the ratee is a commander.

Commanders at every level have an even greater responsibility to create a healthy climate in their command. Additionally, they are responsible for ensuring adherence to Sexual Assault Prevention Program directives. Command climate, just like organizational climate, is the perception of a unit's environment by its members. Commanders are ultimately responsible for the good order and discipline in their unit and have unique responsibility and authority to ensure good order and discipline. Therefore, evaluators must take this special responsibility and authority into consideration when evaluating a commander's effectiveness in ensuring a healthy command climate.

6.5 Describe your policies for ensuring sexual assault prevention and response performance assessment extends below unit commanders to include subordinate leaders.

The Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute Organizational Climate Survey is a Commander's Tool for commanders at all levels. Commanders of each military command and subordinate units of 50 or more persons are required to initiate a climate assessment within 120 days after assumption of command and every 12 months while retaining command. Units with less than 50 people will be surveyed with their parent organization to ensure anonymity and provide the opportunity for all military and civilian personnel to participate in the survey each year.

Organizational climate is defined as the way in which members in a unit perceive and characterize their unit environment. All Airmen are responsible for creating an organizational climate in which every member is treated with dignity and respect, and one that does not tolerate unlawful discrimination, sexual harassment, or sexual assault in any form. Non-Commissioned Officers and officers are not only responsible for creating this environment but are also accountable for it. Non-Commissioned Officers and officers can build a healthy organizational climate by: communicating clear direction at all levels of supervision; adhering to and enforcing standards; not tolerating and, when necessary, appropriately responding to any form of sexual harassment, sexual assault, hazing, unlawful discrimination, or any other conduct harmful to the good order and discipline of the unit; being accountable for their actions; and cultivating an environment where teamwork, unity, and cohesiveness are the standard practice.

Changes were made to Air Force Instruction 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluation System, and performance evaluation and performance feedback forms. These changes

codified the organizational and command climate as well as how every Airman is responsible for building and maintaining a healthy organizational climate. Performance feedback forms require early and frequent discussions of each Airman's responsibility to promote a positive organizational climate. Performance evaluation forms force consideration of ratee's impact toward organizational climate for all Airmen.

7. Secretary of Defense Initiatives

7.1 Develop Collaborative Forum for Sexual Assault Prevention Methods: Provide an update on your methods for establishing a community of practice and collaboration forum to share best and promising practices and lessons learned with external experts, Federal partners, Military Services, National Guard Bureau advocacy organizations, and educational institutions.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office team includes two highly qualified experts from the field. The experts hail from the Center of Disease Control and Johns Hopkins University. The incorporation of these highly qualified experts has greatly expanded the opportunities for community partnerships and involvement. For example, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is staying in tune with activities conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Rape Prevention and Education program that funds prevention activities in every state and territory. In addition, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office consults with a group of sexual assault prevention experts and researchers, in order to ensure that the Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy reflects cutting edge prevention science and practice. Intra-agency and community partnerships with the Center for Disease Control, the Department of Agriculture, John Hopkins University, and the Montgomery County Police Department have allowed the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to benefit from some of the latest science pertaining to sexual assault. Additionally, these partnerships opened the door for ongoing research as the Air Force continues to seek additional prevention and response techniques with proven benefits. The 2015 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategy incorporates evidenced-base programs that have shown to reduce sexual assault by as much as 50% in randomized, controlled trials. This could not be possible without the intraagency and community partnerships.

7.2 Improving Response and Victim Treatment: Provide an update on efforts to improve overall victim care and trust in the chain of command: Include updates or initiatives undertaken by your Service to reduce the possibility of ostracizing victims, to increase reporting, and measures your Service has taken to account for victim input in these efforts.

As stated in 4.1, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has led the Department of Defense regarding response and victim care in fiscal year 2015 through the implementation of initiatives such as funding non-medical escorts for sexual assault victims traveling to testify in their sexual assault cases, authorizing non-rated periods on military performance reports for sexual assault victims experiencing trauma that may impact their

duty performance, and expanding sexual assault prevention and response services to civilian employees. These initiatives are shining examples of how the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has continued to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience and inspire victims to report.

Specifically pertaining to reducing ostracism of victims, Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Air Force Instruction 36-2909, Professional and Unprofessional Relationships directs commanders to protect sexual assault victims, witnesses, bystanders, responders or other parties to the incident from coercion, retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisal. Furthermore, the case management group chair will require that any complaints received from a victim concerning these violations be discussed during the victim's case review in the monthly case management group meeting and remain on the agenda until the victim's case has reached final disposition or the complaint has been appropriately addressed according to the case management group chair.

7.3 Improving Victim Legal Support: Provide an update on the special victim's counsel program that affords legal advice and representation for victims of sexual assault. Include your Service's metrics for measuring the success of the program, as well as efforts made to collaborate and share best practices with other Services.

During fiscal year 2015, the Special Victims' Counsel Program grew from 28 special victims' counsel in 19 locations to 35 special victims' counsel in 32 locations, including five O-4 senior special victims' counsel. The senior special victims' counsel located in the Eastern, Central, Western, European and Pacific Judicial Circuits supervise and mentor special victims' counsel within their circuit, interface with intermediate levels of leadership within legal offices, Office of Special Investigations and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Offices. They also assist Special Victims' Counsel Program leadership in responding to numerous requests for information from Congress, Headquarters Air Force and the President. Additionally, the Special Victims' Counsel Program gained an O-5 Deputy Chief who assists with the administration and policy development of the program. Finally, the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School added a GS-14 Attorney-Advisor for Special Crimes and Assistance to Victims, who works closely with the Special Victims' Counsel Program leadership team to develop effective training for new and current special victims' counsel and special victims' paralegals, as well as ensuring all Air Force Judge Advocate General's School curriculum includes the most recent changes in the law pertaining to victims' issues.

Special victims' counsel have represented 1,905 victims over the life of the program as of the end of fiscal year 2015. The current Special Victims' Counsel Program caseload is 874 active clients, 47 of which are children. In fiscal year 2015, special victims' counsel appeared in 166 courts-martial and 210 preliminary hearings, filing 231 motions and arguing 221 motions on behalf of their clients. Additionally, special victims' counsel represented clients in 665 interviews with investigators, defense counsel and trial counsel in fiscal year 2015.

Special victims' counsel continued to develop and define the scope of representation in legal assistance, courts-martials, and retaliation resolution. In the practice area of legal assistance, special victims' counsel continue to find creative solutions to clients' problems using existing Department of Defense and Air Force regulations, and federal and state law.

For example, an Airman-client requested to move to an off-base apartment to alleviate her post-assault safety concerns. Unfortunately, the apartment setting exacerbated her post-traumatic stress disorder and she returned to base housing; however, in doing so she lost her security deposit and one-month's rent. The special victims' counsel reached out to the state Housing and Urban Development Agency's Fair Housing division for assistance. The special victims' counsel not only assisted the victim in obtaining her security deposit, but also was able to convince the apartment complex to only charge the Airman prorated rent for the few days she actually lived in the apartment.

Inside the courtroom, special victims' counsel routinely receive accolades for their exceptional advocacy on behalf of their clients. In one case, the special victims' counsel successfully convinced the military judge to allow the special victims' counsel to direct the examination of the witness in a motion hearing on the victim's spousal privilege. In this unprecedented request, the special victims' counsel argued he was in the best position to elicit the factual basis necessary to support the motion. Not only did the military judge allow the special victims' counsel to conduct the examination, but the judge also ruled in favor of the special victims' counsel's motion to limit questioning regarding issues related to the spousal privilege. In several cases, special victims' counsel have proved invaluable to ensure a victims' privacy was not compromised at the preliminary hearing. Occasionally, trial counsel submits the entire Report of Investigation as evidence for consideration by the preliminary hearing officer. Often when this is done, excludible evidence under Military Rule of Evidence 412 and 513 pertaining to the victim is inadvertently included. Special victims' counsel have effectively advocated for removal of this information from consideration—safeguarding the victim's privacy.

Special victims' counsel provided robust representation resolving victims' experiences with retaliation and ostracism. In one case, an Airman in a critically-manned career field was sexually assaulted in the workplace. After requesting an expedited transfer to be moved away from the ostracism, the Airman found the ostracism followed him to his new base due to the extremely small size of his elite career field. The victim wanted to continue to serve in the Air Force, but wanted to leave his career field so he would not have to be subjected to the continued ostracism. The special victims' counsel, working with the command and the Air Force Personnel Center, obtained authority for the Airman to retrain into a new and unrelated career field. In another instance, a commander expressed his intent to deny a request for an expedited transfer because the accused had been separated from the Air Force and was no longer in the unit. The victim had been performing well, but many of the accused's friends remained in the unit. The special victims' counsel persuaded the commander to consider the victim's perspective and the expedited transfer was granted.

Special victims' counsel leadership regularly collaborates with the Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel Program leadership of the other services and National Guard. Meetings are held monthly and topics discussed include policy matters, training, legislative proposals, and best practices. Additionally, as the Army and the Air Force provide both basic special victims' counsel training and advanced instruction in child victim representation, the services work closely together to ensure the curriculum thoroughly covers issues necessary for competent legal representation. The Air Force provides instructors for every Army Special Victims' Counsel Course and the Air Force has sought sister-service

special victims' counsel to instruct at Air Force Judge Advocate General's School courses.

In the February 2015 Joint Proceeding Panel's first report, the committee commended the Air Force for its methodology in measuring program success. Since its inception, victims have been asked to provide feedback through an anonymous survey on their satisfaction with their special victims' counsel's representation. Their response has been overwhelmingly positive and special victims' counsel have maintained an overall satisfaction rate of 99%, with 85% being "extremely satisfied" with special victims' counsel representation at Article 32 Uniform Code of Military Justice hearings (preliminary hearings) and at courts-martial. Additionally, 99% would recommend other victims request special victims' counsel representation. In addition to satisfaction ratings, the survey includes requests for victim feedback. Their insights provide wise advice and influence program development on policy matters and scope of representation issues.

7.4 Enhance First Line Supervisor Skills and Knowledge: Describe your first line supervisor training for all junior officers, enlisted supervisors, and civilian employees who supervise military members that advances a climate of dignity and respect and supports the prevention of potential retaliation associated with reporting. Address the frequency of the training; new policy updates in support of the training; and, how the curriculum emphasizes to first line supervisors the importance of engaging subordinates on sexual assault prevention and response; instructs them on recognizing the signs of possible acts of retaliation; and, provides an opportunity to practice leadership skills to promote a healthy command climate.

A 2014 Research and Development Survey revealed 62% of service members who made an unrestricted report of sexual assault also experienced retaliation. As a result, the Secretary of Defense directed military departments to augment all first line supervisor training to address the role of the supervisor in sexual assault programs. In January 2015, the Secretary of the Air Force directed the Air Force to develop training for first line supervisors to help them actively engage with subordinates on sexual assault related issues, to recognize signs or possible acts of retaliation, and to practice leadership skills to promote a healthy climate. Therefore, in the summer of 2015, the Air Force developed the First Line Supervisor Training to be given by commanders or designated facilitators to current supervisors, both military and civilian. The format is for small group discussion of no more than 25 supervisors with the primary focus on support to victims and understanding, recognizing and preventing retaliation and reprisal. The training also includes information on the Inspector General Whistleblower Complaints Program, the role of the supervisor when one of their Airmen reports sexual assault, and the importance of advancing a climate where reprisal and ostracism are not tolerated. The key aspects of this First Line Supervisor Training will be integrated into existing supervisor training courses in 2016. Courses would include the United States Air Force Supervisor Course, the United States Air Force Civilian Personnel Management Course, the United States Air Force Military Personnel Management Course, Airman Leadership School and Officer training.

7.5 Engage Command to Prevent Retaliation: Describe your policies and procedures requiring installation commanders who serve as the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Case Management Group Chairs to regularly assess, and refer for appropriate corrective action, all reports from a victim, witness, or first responder of retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisal in conjunction with a report of sexual assault.

The Air Force has three instructions that address retaliation or reprisal complaints that result from an Airman reporting a sexual assault: Air Force Instruction 90-301, Inspector General Complaints Resolution; Air Force Instruction 36-2909, Professional and Unprofessional Relationships; and Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. Air Force Instruction 90-301 identifies specific rights, protections and complaint processing when an Airman communicates violations of law, regulation or policy. Allegations of sexual assault would typically constitute a protected communication. In those instances where the Airman is then subjected to an unfavorable personnel action(s), the Inspector General is charged with investigating the allegation(s). Air Force Instruction 90-301 is a mature document that has been in existence for quite some time. It is updated on a recurring cycle in accordance with Air Force guidance.

Additionally, command is responsible for complying with guidance as contained in Air Force Instruction 36-2909, which codifies the prohibition on retaliation stating that, "Military members shall not retaliate against an alleged victim or other military member who reports a criminal offense," and members that violate this prohibition can be prosecuted under either Article 92 or Article 134 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, or both.

Furthermore, Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, directs commanders to protect sexual assault victims, witnesses, bystanders, responders or other parties to the incident from coercion, retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisal. Moreover, the case management group chair will require that any complaints received from a victim concerning coercion, retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisal be discussed during the victim's case review in the monthly case management group meeting and remain on the agenda until the victim's case has reached final disposition or the complaint has been appropriately addressed according to the case management group chair.

7.6 Provide Feedback to the Force: Describe your progress for providing the results of the President of the United States Report to all Service members in an interactive manner.

Results from the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Progress Report to the President of The United States are disseminated to all service members in a number of ways. The Director of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, in coordination with Public Affairs, utilizes facilitator guidebooks, fact sheets, and Public Affairs Guidance papers to ensure that key information from the report is available and presented to all service members. These products are provided directly to major command leadership for distribution. Additionally, the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office is available to answer questions from the field regarding the report. Following publication of the President of the United States report, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office hosted a

media roundtable to brief members of the press on the report's findings and engaged the media in informing service members and the public about the report. Sexual assault response coordinators and sexual assault prevention and response victim advocates routinely use various briefings as opportunities to educate Airmen on sexual assault prevention and response contact numbers, reporting options, and eligibility information. Fliers, pamphlets and posters are distributed across installations with contact information and eligibility information. Briefings include annual training, commander's calls, base newcomer's orientations and other venues as requested by installation leaders. Additionally, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Programs at the base level routinely distribute promotional items that have contact information. Department of Defense Safe Helpline along with hotline phone numbers are listed on installation homepages as well as installation sexual assault prevention and response office websites. Finally, these numbers can be acquired by contacting installation phone operators.

7.7 Improve Organizational Culture to Address Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault and Retaliation Associated with Reporting: Describe how your Service incorporated insights derived from the "2014 RAND Military Workplace Study" into prevention training for sexual harassment, sexual assault, and reporting- related retaliation.

In January 2015, the Air Force hosted a Sexual Assault Prevention Summit which resulted in an updated prevention strategy and became the foundational guidance for developing prevention training Air Force wide. The Air Force is committed to providing the necessary support to any person coming forward to report a sexual assault and to continue to educate and train the force on unacceptable behavior. The Air Force has diligently worked to educate commanders on removing barriers to reporting and has provided enhanced protection for victims and their peers from retaliation after making a report. The Air Force ensures all wing and squadron commander courses include training on sexual harassment, sexual assault and retaliation. These courses specifically address the topic of retaliation based upon the research and analysis study results which indicated that 62% of women who reported a sexual assault experienced retaliation. Retaliation is further explained as professional and/or social. Social retaliation includes being ignored by coworkers, being blamed for the situation, and being made to feel responsible for changes in the unit. Professional retaliation includes loss of privileges, denial of promotion or training, getting transferred to a less favorable job, and unwanted increased supervision. Commanders receive training on sexual harassment and the continuum of harm and are advised that there is an increased risk for sexual assault when leaders allow sexual harassment to exist in their environment.

The Air Force continues educating officer, enlisted, and civilian Airmen on the continuum of harm so they can better identify and eliminate behaviors that may lead to sexual harassment, sexual assault, and retaliation related conditions. That commitment is further reinforced when Department of Defense reissued the Military Whistleblower Protection directive in April 2015 which protects military Service members from reprisal when making a protected communication. Bottom line, "No person may take or threaten to take an unfavorable personnel action or withhold or threaten to withhold a favorable personnel action in reprisal against any Service member for making or preparing to make, or being perceived as making or preparing to make a protected communication." Department of Defense Directive Number 7050.06, April 17 2015. Additionally, the definition of protected communication in Air Force

Instruction 90-301, Inspector General Complaints Resolution was updated as of 27 August 2015 to reflect the language found in the Department of Defense Directive Number 7050.06.

The Air Force will continue to focus on training and education to eliminate Racial/Ethnic Harassment, Sexual Harassment, and Discrimination; with heavy emphasis on the reporting process. The Air Force will continue to train all Air Force personnel leveraging Full-time Equal Opportunity Directors and Specialist to communicate and execute existing Sexual Harassment Zero-tolerance policies.

United States Air Force Fiscal Year 2015 Report on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Statistical Analysis

1. Analytic Discussion

All fiscal year 2015 data provided in this analytic discussion tabulation are based upon data available in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database as of 23 December 2015 (established by Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Response Office). Fiscal year 2015 is the first year that annual report data derives exclusively from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. All comparative data reflects previous annual report numbers.

The numbers of restricted and unrestricted reports made to the Air Force for fiscal years 2008 through 2015 are shown in Chart 1.1. NOTE: The 931 unrestricted reports shown in Chart 1.1 include 912 unrestricted reports made in fiscal year 2015 and 19 conversions from restricted to unrestricted for reports made prior to fiscal year 2015.




Chart 1.1 - Annual Reports of Sexual Assault

The number of reports made (both restricted and unrestricted) started increasing noticeably in fiscal year 2012. That increase leveled off in fiscal year 2014. The percentage increase from fiscal year 2011 to 2014 was 108%. The total number of reports decreased by 3% from fiscal year 2014 to 2015. Of further note is the decreased proportion of restricted reporting. The percentage of total reports that are restricted for fiscal year 2015 is 29%. Although the Air Force fully supports the restricted reporting option, this proportional decrease in restricted reporting may indicate

increased confidence in the military justice system and the overall Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.

The Air Force believes that the increase in reporting starting in fiscal year 2012 is an indication that a larger percentage of victims are coming forward to receive victim care and to report the crime so that an investigation can take place and commanders can hold assailants appropriately accountable.

The most effective way to understand the actual prevalence of this crime is through surveys because it is so underreported. The past Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys and the fiscal year 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study provides a basis for making an estimate of the number of unwanted sexual contact incidents experienced by active duty Airmen in the year prior to the survey. A prevalence survey is conducted every two years. Therefore, there is not an estimate of the prevalence for fiscal year 2015. Chart 1.2 shows the total number of reports, represented as bars, versus the estimated prevalence of the crime, represented as points. Estimated prevalence is based on reporting rates for unwanted sexual contact made on the surveys taken in the same year as the reports for active duty Air Force personnel. The figure below reflects a gap in the estimated crime prevalence and victim reporting practices.




Chart 1.2 – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault

On the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey 3.1% of Active Duty Air Force women and 0.5% of Active Duty Air Force men reported experiencing unwanted sexual

contact. In the 2014 RAND Workplace Study, 2.28% of Active Duty Air Force women and 0.43% of Active Duty Air Force men reported experiencing unwanted sexual contact. Therefore, based on those reporting rates, the estimated total number of Airmen reporting that they experienced unwanted sexual contact decreased from approximately 3,200 based upon the fiscal year 2012 Workplace Gender Relations Survey to 2,400 based upon the fiscal year 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study Survey. This decrease may indicate positive progress for the prevention of sexual assault within the Air Force. The Air Force will monitor follow-on data to confirm the trend and will continue to stress all aspects of the sexual assault prevention campaign.
Detailed analysis regarding data on various parts of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program are presented in the following sections.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1 Victim Data Discussion and Analysis

This section summarizes statistical data specific to sexual assault victims associated with investigations that completed in the given fiscal year. For example, the number of fiscal year 2015 victims are those associated with fiscal year 2015 reports whose investigations concluded before the end of the year combined with those associated with investigations from previous fiscal years which concluded during fiscal year 2015. The number of investigations completed and the break out by type of offense are shown in Table 2.1.1.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	<u>FY13</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Victims	403	-	521	-	775	-	795	-
Type of Offense								
Penetrating Offenses	255	63.3%	298	57.2%	386	49.8%	404	50.8%
Contact Offenses	145	36.0%	217	41.7%	338	43.6%	346	43.5%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	3	0.7%	6	1.2%	35	4.5%	20	2.5%
Unknown Type	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	2.1%	25	3.1%

Table 2.1.1 – Type of Sexual Assault Offenses for Unrestricted Reports

From fiscal year 2012 to 2014, the percentage of reports associated with penetrating offenses decreased while the percentage of reports associated with non-penetrating offenses increased. These percentages remained fairly consistent from fiscal year 2014 to 2015. This shift in reporting from fiscal year 2012 to 2014 may indicate that victims are coming forward to report sexual assaults earlier in the continuum of harm.

A demographic breakout of victims in completed investigations is provided in Table 2.1.2.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	%
Total Victims	403	14.733	521	67	775	13	795	5
Gender								
Male	28	6.9%	65	12.5%	86	11.1%	131	16.5%
Female	375	93.1%	456	87.5%	637	82.2%	654	82.39
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	52	6.7%	10	1.3%
Age								
0-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	4	0.5%
16-19	50	12.4%	38	7.3%	78	10.5%	127	16.09
20-24	221	54.8%	135	25.9%	230	31.1%	323	40.69
25-34	103	25.6%	62	11.9%	80	10.8%	127	16.09
35-49	12	3.0%	18	3.5%	11	1.5%	37	4.7%
50-64	4	1.0%	2	0.4%	3	0.4%	1	0.1%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	13	3.2%	266	51.1%	337	45.5%	176	22.19
Military Affiliation								
Military	280	69.5%	408	78.3%	604	77.9%	608	76.59
Non-military	123	30.5%	113	21.7%	116	15.0%	176	22.19
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	7.1%	11	1.4%
Duty Status (Military Victims)								
Active Duty	235	83.9%	378	91.1%	578	95.7%	547	90.09
Reserve	18	6.4%	18	4.3%	16	2.6%	32	5.3%
National Guard	7	2.5%	12	2.9%	5	0.8%	5	0.8%
Cadet/Prep School Student	19	6.8%	7	1.7%	5	0.8%	24	3.9%
Unknown	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rank (Military Victims)								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	19	6.8%	7	1.7%	5	0.8%	24	3.9%
E-1 to E-4	208	74.3%	288	70.6%	452	74.8%	442	72.79
E-5 to E-9	36	12.9%	85	20.8%	110	18.2%	109	17.99
O-1 to O-3	17	6.1%	19	4.7%	30	5.0%	24	3.9%
O-4 to O-10	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	7	1.2%	9	1.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%	6	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 2.1.2 – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

(associated with investigations completed during the respective fiscal year)

Women consistently represent a disproportionate majority of victims making unrestricted reports of sexual assault. While male victims are still the minority, there may be a slow increase occurring in the proportion of reports coming from male victims since fiscal year 2012. If this trend continues and becomes more pronounced it may suggest that the social barriers for reporting among male victims are beginning to come down. It is a trend that will be monitored. While the number of unrestricted reports among the active duty members dropped from 578 to 547 from fiscal year 2014 to 2015, the unrestricted reports from the reserve members grew from 16 to 32, while the number of unrestricted reports from cadets and/or prep school students grew from 5 to 24. The majority of Air Force victims are disproportionately enlisted members, making up roughly 87% - 93%, while the enlisted corps represented approximately 80% of the total force during fiscal years 2012 through 2015.

Victim data for completed investigations occurring in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 2.1.3.

Total Victims	<u>FY12</u> 8	<u>%</u> -	<u>FY13</u> 27	<u>%</u> -	<u>FY14</u> 8	<u>%</u> -	<u>FY15</u> 7	<u>%</u> -
Gender								
Male	1	12.5%	8	29.6%	1	12.5%	3	42.9%
Female	7	87.5%	19	70.4%	7	87.5%	4	57.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 2.1.3 - Victims in Combat Areas of Interest for Unrestricted Reports

The total number of unrestricted reports in the combat areas of dropped from eight to seven from fiscal year 2014 to 2015. Three of the seven (43%) unrestricted reports came from male victims; the largest proportion to date. However, there are too few unrestricted reports in this population to make any statistically relevant observations.

A summary of military protective orders is provided in Table 2.1.4.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Military Protective Orders Issued	124	-	14	-	142	-	85	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	9	6.8%	12	85.7%	3	2.1%	1	1.2%

Table 2.1.4 - Military Protective Orders for Unrestricted Reports

The number of military protective orders dropped from 142 to 85 (40% decrease) from fiscal year 2014 to 2015. NOTE: Prior to fiscal year 2014 there was not a consistent mechanism for capturing the number of military protective orders issued and/or violated. Therefore, the values in Table 2.1.4 represent the best data available at the time; however, it may not reflect the actual numbers of military protective orders issued and/or violated in fiscal years 2012 and 2013.

A summary of expedited transfers is provided in Table 2.1.5.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Expedited Transfer Requested	40	-	118	-	125	-	112	-
Expedited Transfer Approved	40	100.0%	109	92.4%	117	93.6%	109	97.3%
Expedited Transfer Denied	0	0.0%	9	7.6%	8	6.4%	3	2.7%

Table 2.1.5 - Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports

The number of expedited transfer requests grew 195% from fiscal year 2012 to 2013 and remained relatively stable through 2015. In fiscal year 2015 there were a total of 3 requests that were denied. The reasons for these denials are summarized below:

- 1. The victim was also the subject in a separate criminal investigation. Per Air Force policy, an individual is not granted an expedited transfer while they are the subject of a criminal investigation.
- 2. The commander first wanted more information from the investigation performed by the Office of Special Investigations. Therefore, they disapproved the request and communicated that it would be reconsidered as soon as they had more information. In the meantime, the victim's unit was very supportive. Consequently, the victim no longer wanted to pursue the expedited transfer.
- 3. The investigation concluded that there was a significant lack of evidence that a sexual assault took place.

A summary of victim participation in the military justice process is provided in Table 2.1.6.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	<u>FY13</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Victims Eligibile to Participate	356	-	411	-	439	-	517	-
Victims Declining to Participate	24	6.7%	23	5.6%	47	10.7%	74	14.3%

Table 2.1.6 – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process

The proportion of subjects where the victim, both represented and unrepresented, declined to participate in the military justice process continued to increase in fiscal year 2015, growing to 14.3%.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis

This section summarized statistical data specific to the subjects (i.e. assailants) of sexual assault for those cases where investigations occurred. NOTE: The number of subjects is based on the number associated with investigations that completed in the given fiscal year. For example, the number of fiscal year 2015 subjects are those associated with fiscal year 2015 reports whose investigations concluded before the end of the year combined with those associated with investigations from previous fiscal years which concluded during fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the number of subjects will not necessarily match the number of cases reported during a given year. The demographic breakout of subjects in completed investigations is summarized in Table 2.2.1.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Subjects	399	-	521	-	800	-	750	-
Gender								
Male	373	93.5%	482	92.5%	674	84.3%	642	85.6%
Female	8	2.0%	18	3.5%	40	5.0%	33	4.4%
Unknown	18	4.5%	21	4.0%	86	10.8%	75	10.0%
Age								
0-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
16-19	13	3.3%	31	6.0%	83	10.8%	62	8.3%
20-24	184	46.1%	190	36.5%	281	36.4%	265	35.3%
25-34	132	33.1%	155	29.8%	201	26.0%	238	31.7%
35-49	31	7.8%	56	10.7%	79	10.2%	83	11.1%
50-64	3	0.8%	8	1.5%	11	1.4%	9	1.2%
65+	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	3	0.4%	1	0.1%
Unknown	35	8.8%	81	15.5%	114	14.8%	92	12.3%
Military Affiliation								
Military	348	87.2%	452	86.8%	604	75.5%	608	81.1%
Non-military	24	6.0%	34	6.5%	33	4.1%	43	5.7%
Unknown	27	6.8%	35	6.7%	163	20.4%	99	13.2%
Duty Status (Military Subjects)								
Active Duty	311	89.4%	415	91.8%	563	93.2%	555	91.3%
Reserve	13	3.7%	22	4.9%	22	3.6%	33	5.4%
National Guard	7	2.0%	15	3.3%	4	0.7%	2	0.3%
Cadet/Prep School Student	16	4.6%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	14	2.3%
Unknown	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	13	2.2%	4	0.7%
Rank (Military Subjects)								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	16	4.6%	6	1.3%	2	0.3%	14	2.3%
E-1 to E-4	211	60.6%	245	54.2%	370	61.3%	342	56.3%
E-5 to E-9	94	27.0%	159	35.2%	165	27.3%	201	33.1%
WO-1 to WO-5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
O-1 to O-3	17	4.9%	24	5.3%	31	5.1%	29	4.8%
O-4 to O-10	8	2.3%	13	2.9%	21	3.5%	17	2.8%
Unknown	2	0.6%	5	1.1%	15	2.5%	4	0.7%

Table 2.2.1 - Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

The majority of subjects were male. Subjects of cases investigated based upon unrestricted reports of sexual assault disproportionately come from the enlisted ranks during the reporting period. Enlisted representation ranged from 87%-89% during fiscal years 2012 to 2015 while enlisted personnel represented roughly 80% of the total force during this time.

A summary of subject dispositions is provided in Table 2.2.2. NOTE: The percentages are based on the eligible pool of subjects.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Subjects Receiving Command Action	110	-	283	-	285	-	319	-
Type of Command Action								
Courts-Martial (Sexual Assault Offense)	42	38.2%	169	59.7%	134	47.0%	145	45.5%
Proceeded to Trial	23	54.8%	121	71.6%	83	61.9%	97	66.9%
Convicted of any Charge	20	87.0%	74	61.2%	47	56.6%	65	67.0%
Received Confinement	16	80.0%	62	83.8%	38	80.9%	54	83.1%
Non-Judicial Punishment (Sexual Assault Offense)	14	12.7%	29	10.2%	35	12.3%	38	11.9%
Courts-Martial (Non-Sexual Assault Offense)	4	3.6%	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	6	1.9%
Proceeded to Trial	3	75.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%
Convicted of any Charge	3	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	N/A	4	100.0%
Received Confinement	2	66.7%	1	100.0%	0	N/A	3	75.0%
Non-Judicial Punishment (Non-Sexual Assault Offense)	24	21.8%	36	12.7%	50	17.5%	55	17.2%
Other Adverse Administrative Action	26	23.6%	47	16.6%	64	22.5%	75	23.5%
Discharged (via any Command Action)	17	15.5%	83	29.3%	71	24.9%	75	23.5%

Subject dispositions for unrestricted reports made in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 2.2.3. NOTE: Courts-martial outcomes are not available for these cases.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	%	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Subjects Receiving Command Action	6	-	13	-	3	-	2	-
Type of Command Action								
Courts-Martial (Sexual Assault Offense)	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Non-Judicial Punishment (Sexual Assault Offense)	2	33.3%	4	30.8%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Courts-Martial (Non-Sexual Assault Offense)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Non-Judicial Punishment (Non-Sexual Assault Offense)	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other Adverse Administrative Action	3	50.0%	4	30.8%	2	66.7%	2	100.0%

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis

This section summarizes descriptive information surrounding the incidents involved in ALL unrestricted reports made within each given fiscal year. NOTE: In some cases where investigations have not yet occurred, the incident details are based upon the report provided by the victim. Descriptive information about all unrestricted reports is summarized in Table 2.3.1.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	449	-	635	-	932	-	912	-
Assault Location								
On-Base	207	46.1%	299	47.1%	469	50.3%	376	41.29
Off-Base	238	53.0%	286	45.0%	409	43.9%	386	42.39
Unidentified	4	0.9%	50	7.9%	54	5.8%	150	16.4
Subject-Victim Service Affiliation								
Member on Member	267	59.5%	416	65.5%	457	49.0%	426	46.7
Member on Non-Member	131	29.2%	144	22.7%	159	17.1%	140	15.4
Non-Member on Member	21	4.7%	36	5.7%	41	4.4%	45	4.99
Unidentified on Member	30	6.7%	39	6.1%	15	1.6%	55	6.09
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	260	27.9%	246	27.0
Subject-Victim Gender								
Male on Female	390	86.9%	531	83.6%	576	61.8%	483	53.0
Male on Male	20	4.5%	50	7.9%	61	6.5%	76	8.3
Female on Male	7	1.6%	21	3.3%	21	2.3%	16	1.89
Female on Female	2	0.4%	6	0.9%	8	0.9%	19	2.1
Unknown on Male	2	0.4%	4	0.6%	0	0.0%	10	1.19
Unknown on Female	20	4.5%	18	2.8%	1	0.1%	29	3.2
Mutiple Mixed Gender	8	1.8%	5	0.8%	14	1.5%	8	0.9
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	251	26.9%	271	29.7
Reporting Delay								
Within 3 days	163	36.3%	183	28.8%	284	30.5%	236	25.9
4-30 days	115	25.6%	117	18.4%	178	19.1%	199	21.8
31-365 days	115	25.6%	172	27.1%	257	27.6%	227	24.9
> 1 year	55	12.2%	75	11.8%	194	20.8%	154	16.9
Unknown	1	0.2%	88	13.9%	19	2.0%	96	10.5
Assault Time of Day								
6AM - 6PM	53	11.8%	52	8.2%	154	16.5%	180	19.7
6PM - Midnight	102	22.7%	153	24.1%	253	27.1%	248	27.2
Midnight - 6AM	224	49.9%	178	28.0%	465	49.9%	375	41.1
Unknown	70	15.6%	252	39.7%	60	6.4%	109	12.0
Assault Day of Week				/-		2		
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	272	60.6%	293	46.1%	411	44.1%	351	38.5
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	134	29.8%	153	24.1%	501	53.8%	438	48.0
Unknown	43	9.6%	189	29.8%	20	2.1%	123	13.5

Table 2.3.1 – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports

Descriptive information about unrestricted reports in combat areas of interest is summarized in Table 2.3.2.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	10	-	19	-	17	-	11	-
Assault Location								
On-Base	7	70.0%	19	100.0%	14	82.4%	10	90.9%
Off-Base	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	1	9.1%
Unidentified	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation								
Member on Member	10	100.0%	16	84.2%	5	29.4%	2	18.2%
Member on Non-Member	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Non-Member on Member	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	1	5.9%	1	9.1%
Unidentified on Member	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.9%	3	27.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	58.8%	5	45.5%
Subject-Victim Gender								
Male on Female	9	90.0%	14	73.7%	7	41.2%	3	27.3%
Male on Male	0	0.0%	3	15.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%
Female on Male	1	10.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Female on Female	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown on Male	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%
Unknown on Female	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mutiple Mixed Gender	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	52.9%	5	45.5%
Reporting Delay								
Within 3 days	3	30.0%	4	21.1%	5	29.4%	4	36.4%
4-30 days	1	10.0%	6	31.6%	5	29.4%	2	18.2%
31-365 days	5	50.0%	5	26.3%	5	29.4%	2	18.2%
> 1 year	1	10.0%	2	10.5%	1	5.9%	3	27.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%
Assault Time of Day	ŭ	0.070	_	. 0.0 70	·	0.070	ŭ	0.070
6AM - 6PM	2	20.0%	2	10.5%	6	35.3%	6	54.5%
6PM - Midnight	5	50.0%	3	15.8%	7	41.2%	2	18.2%
Midnight - 6AM	1	10.0%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%
Unknown	2	20.0%	12	63.2%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%
Assault Day of Week	_	20.070	12	JU.2 /0	7	20.070	J	0.070
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	4	40.0%	6	31.6%	10	58.8%	5	45.5%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	5	50.0%	7	36.8%	6	35.3%	6	54.5%
Unknown	1	10.0%	6	31.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%

Table 2.3.2 – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

Of the unrestricted reports made in combat areas of interest, a notable difference from the larger population is in incident location. In combat areas of interest, a larger proportion of sexual assaults occur on-base (between 70%-100% in the combat areas of interest vs. 46%-50% for the full population of unrestricted reports). This is not surprising since the amount of time spent off the military installation is limited in combat areas of interest.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion

This section summarizes statistical data specific to the victims of sexual assault who made restricted reports. NOTE: Since there are no investigations with restricted reports, the numbers of victims associated with a given fiscal year are based on the number of reports made in that year. The demographic breakout of victims in restricted reports is summarized in Table 3.1.1.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY13</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Victims	399	-	488	-	406	-	381	-
Gender								
Male	49	12.3%	60	12.3%	67	16.5%	87	22.8%
Female	350	87.7%	407	83.4%	338	83.3%	292	76.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	21	4.3%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%
Age								
0-15	0	0%	0	0%	49	11.9%	35	9.2%
16-19	89	22.3%	105	23.6%	93	22.6%	85	22.3%
20-24	196	49.1%	187	42.1%	166	40.4%	150	39.4%
25-34	91	22.8%	91	20.5%	89	21.7%	80	21.0%
35-49	21	5.3%	20	4.5%	14	3.4%	19	5.0%
50-64	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	1	0.3%	41	9.2%	0	0.0%	12	3.1%
Military Affiliation								
Military	380	95.2%	453	92.8%	395	97.3%	366	96.1%
Non-military	19	4.8%	34	7.0%	10	2.5%	13	3.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%
Duty Status (Military Victims)								
Active Duty	310	81.6%	400	88.3%	357	90.4%	317	86.6%
Reserve	21	5.5%	22	4.9%	16	4.1%	23	6.3%
National Guard	10	2.6%	4	0.9%	7	1.8%	3	0.8%
Cadet/Prep School Student	39	10.3%	24	5.3%	15	3.8%	23	6.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rank (Military Victims)								
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	39	10.3%	25	5.6%	15	3.8%	23	6.3%
E-1 to E-4	249	65.5%	309	69.3%	250	63.3%	213	58.2%
E-5 to E-9	61	16.1%	56	12.6%	94	23.8%	101	27.6%
O-1 to O-3	22	5.8%	29	6.5%	33	8.4%	21	5.7%
O-4 to O-10	7	1.8%	5	1.1%	3	0.8%	8	2.2%
Unknown	2	0.5%	22	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 3.1.1 – Victim Demographics for Restricted Reports

As with unrestricted reports, women disproportionately compose the majority of victims making restricted reports. However, the proportion of restricted reports coming from male victims has increased the last two years in a row (12.3% to 16.5% to 22.8%). Of military victims making restricted reports, the active duty component make up the majority, accounting for 81.6% - 90.4% of reports.

A summary of victims in restricted reports occurring in combat areas of interest is provided in Table 3.1.2.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Victims	14	-	13	-	15	-	13	
Gender								
Male	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	2	13.3%	4	30.8%
Female	14	100.0%	8	61.5%	13	86.7%	9	69.2%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 3.1.2 – Victims for Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

Due to the small number of victims, statistically relevant conclusions cannot be made.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion

This section summarizes descriptive information surrounding the incidents involved in restricted reports. NOTE: The numbers associated with incident details are based on the report given by the victim. Therefore, the totals match the number of restricted reports made for each fiscal year. Descriptive information about restricted reports is summarized in Table 3.2.1.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY13</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	399	-	488	-	406	-	381	-
Incident Location								
On-Base	134	33.6%	141	28.9%	116	28.6%	88	23.1%
Off-Base	260	65.2%	275	56.4%	235	57.9%	183	48.0%
Unidentified	5	1.3%	72	14.8%	55	13.5%	110	28.9%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation								
Member on Member	252	63.2%	303	62.1%	166	52.0%	172	45.1%
Member on Non-Member	19	4.8%	35	7.2%	102	32.0%	13	3.4%
Non-Member on Member	126	31.6%	69	14.1%	10	3.1%	75	19.7%
Unidentified on Member	2	0.5%	81	16.6%	41	12.9%	56	14.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	87	27.3%	65	17.1%
Reporting Delay								
Within 3 days	127	31.8%	94	19.3%	87	21.4%	55	14.4%
4-30 days	78	19.5%	96	19.7%	66	16.3%	56	14.7%
31-365 days	92	23.1%	93	19.1%	66	16.3%	59	15.5%
> 1 year	89	22.3%	148	30.3%	96	23.6%	78	20.5%
Unknown	13	3.3%	57	11.7%	91	22.4%	133	34.9%
Assault Time of Day								
6AM - 6PM	43	10.8%	70	14.3%	55	13.5%	42	11.0%
6PM - Midnight	162	40.6%	165	33.8%	128	31.5%	124	32.5%
Midnight - 6AM	147	36.8%	162	33.2%	163	40.1%	115	30.2%
Unknown	47	11.8%	91	18.6%	60	14.8%	100	26.2%
Assault Day of Week								
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	239	59.9%	170	34.8%	204	50.2%	137	36.0%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	108	27.1%	57	11.7%	115	28.3%	77	20.2%
Unknown	52	13.0%	261	53.5%	87	21.4%	167	43.8%

Table 3.2.1 – Incident Details for Restricted Reports

Descriptive information about restricted reports occurring in combat areas of interest is summarized in Table 3.2.2.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	14	-	13	-	15	-	13	-
Incident Location								
On-Base	12	85.7%	10	76.9%	14	93.3%	13	100.0%
Off-Base	2	14.3%	3	23.1%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%
Unidentified	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation								
Member on Member	12	85.7%	10	76.9%	9	60.0%	9	69.2%
Member on Non-Member	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%
Non-Member on Member	2	14.3%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%
Unidentified on Member	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	6.7%	1	7.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	20.0%	2	15.4%
Reporting Delay								
Within 3 days	2	14.3%	1	7.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%
4-30 days	4	28.6%	5	38.5%	3	20.0%	2	15.4%
31-365 days	7	50.0%	3	23.1%	1	6.7%	4	30.8%
> 1 year	1	7.1%	4	30.8%	3	20.0%	4	30.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	40.0%	3	23.1%
Assault Time of Day								
6AM - 6PM	4	28.6%	2	15.4%	3	20.0%	5	38.5%
6PM - Midnight	9	64.3%	5	38.5%	4	26.7%	5	38.5%
Midnight - 6AM	1	7.1%	4	30.8%	3	20.0%	1	7.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	5	33.3%	2	15.4%
Assault Day of Week								
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	6	42.9%	1	7.7%	4	26.7%	8	61.5%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	5	35.7%	3	23.1%	4	26.7%	3	23.1%
Unknown	3	21.4%	9	69.2%	7	46.7%	2	15.4%

Table 3.2.2 – Incident Details for Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

As with unrestricted reports, experiences in combat areas of interest show that the majority of incidents occurred on-base, which is a notable difference from the larger population. However, the population of victims is too small to draw conclusive statistical inferences.

The number of restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted reports are summarized in Table 3.2.3.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	824	-	1,149	-	1,338	-	1,293	-
Initially Restricted	399	48.4%	488	42.5%	505	37.7%	471	36.4%
Converted to Unrestricted	58	14.5%	76	15.6%	99	19.6%	90	19.1%

Table 3.2.3 – Conversions of Restricted Reports

From fiscal year 2012 to 2015 the percentage of reports that are initiated as restricted reports out of the total number of reports decreased from 48.4% to 36.4%. In addition, the percentage of restricted cases which converted to unrestricted cases has increased from 14.5% to 19.1%. This results in the ratio of restricted to unrestricted reports decreasing over the reporting period as seen in Chart 1.1. These results may be indicative of growing confidence on the part of victims coming forward to make unrestricted reports.

The number of restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted reports in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 3.2.4.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY13</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Reports	24	-	32	-	32	-	24	-
Initially Restricted	14	58.3%	13	40.6%	17	53.1%	15	62.5%
Converted to Unrestricted	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	2	11.8%	2	13.3%

Table 3.2.4 – Conversions of Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

This section summarizes data specific to the number and type of referrals given by the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator to the victims of sexual assault. NOTE: A change in counting methods occurred in fiscal year 2014. Prior to this year, every time a victim received a referral for services, the tally for that particular service type was increased. However, starting in fiscal year 2014, the tally was based simply on whether or not a victim received a certain type of referral. For example, if a victim received five referrals to see a mental health provider, it would have counted as five referrals in fiscal year 2013 but only as one referral in fiscal year 2014. For this reason, the percentages may be somewhat skewed in the following analysis. The number of service referrals for unrestricted reports are summarized in Table 4.1.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	1,556	-	2,741	-	1,103	-	1,394	-
Type of Service								
Medical	349	22.4%	332	12.1%	100	9.1%	92	6.6%
Mental Health	741	47.6%	598	21.8%	276	25.0%	307	22.0%
Legal	327	21.0%	460	16.8%	202	18.3%	288	20.7%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	32	2.1%	246	9.0%	148	13.4%	223	16.0%
Rape Crisis Center	33	2.1%	139	5.1%	22	2.0%	41	2.9%
Victim Advocate	65	4.2%	672	24.5%	217	19.7%	277	19.9%
DoD Safe Helpline	4	0.3%	212	7.7%	63	5.7%	93	6.7%
Other	5	0.3%	82	3.0%	75	6.8%	73	5.2%

Table 4.1 – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports

The most prevalent service referrals for unrestricted reports were mental health, legal, victim advocate, and chaplain/spiritual support. In fiscal year 2015 the number of referrals for medical has decreased while the number for chaplain/spiritual has increased.

The numbers of service referrals for unrestricted reports in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 4.2.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	14	-	92	-	33	-	17	-
Type of Service								
Medical	5	35.7%	16	17.4%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%
Mental Health	8	57.1%	18	19.6%	6	18.2%	3	17.6%
Legal	1	7.1%	12	13.0%	7	21.2%	2	11.8%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	0.0%	12	13.0%	5	15.2%	3	17.6%
Rape Crisis Center	0	0.0%	6	6.5%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%
Victim Advocate	0	0.0%	20	21.7%	7	21.2%	6	35.3%
DoD Safe Helpline	0	0.0%	6	6.5%	2	6.1%	3	17.6%
Other	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%

Table 4.2 Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

The number of service referrals for restricted reports are summarized in Table 4.3.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	940	-	2,741	-	603	-	727	-
Type of Service								
Medical	259	27.6%	332	12.1%	52	8.6%	62	8.5%
Mental Health	386	41.1%	598	21.8%	179	29.7%	194	26.7%
Legal	60	6.4%	460	16.8%	52	8.6%	108	14.9%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	73	7.8%	246	9.0%	124	20.6%	126	17.3%
Rape Crisis Center	8	0.9%	139	5.1%	29	4.8%	28	3.9%
Victim Advocate	118	12.6%	672	24.5%	96	15.9%	131	18.0%
DoD Safe Helpline	24	2.6%	212	7.7%	35	5.8%	50	6.9%
Other	12	1.3%	82	3.0%	36	6.0%	28	3.9%

Table 4.3 – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports

Similar to unrestricted reports, the most prevalent service referrals for restricted reports were mental health, legal, victim advocate, and chaplain/spiritual support.

The numbers of service referrals for restricted reports in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 4.4.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	14	-	18	-	19	-	35	-
Type of Service								
Medical	5	35.7%	5	27.8%	2	10.5%	3	8.6%
Mental Health	8	57.1%	5	27.8%	9	47.4%	10	28.6%
Legal	1	7.1%	1	5.6%	2	10.5%	4	11.4%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	2	10.5%	7	20.0%
Rape Crisis Center	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Victim Advocate	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	4	21.1%	6	17.1%
DoD Safe Helpline	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	4	11.4%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%

Table 4.4 – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

The numbers of service referrals for non-military victims are summarized in Table 4.5.

	FY12	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	<u>FY14</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>FY15</u>	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	705	-	494	-	188	-	142	-
Type of Service								
Medical	145	20.6%	96	19.4%	20	10.6%	13	9.2%
Mental Health	299	42.4%	89	18.0%	36	19.1%	30	21.1%
Legal	122	17.3%	61	12.3%	24	12.8%	25	17.6%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	32	4.5%	52	10.5%	17	9.0%	12	8.5%
Rape Crisis Center	33	4.7%	35	7.1%	10	5.3%	9	6.3%
Victim Advocate	65	9.2%	123	24.9%	41	21.8%	32	22.5%
DoD Safe Helpline	4	0.6%	21	4.3%	8	4.3%	10	7.0%
Other	5	0.7%	17	3.4%	32	17.0%	11	7.7%

Table 4.5 – Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims

The most prevalent service referrals for non-military victims were mental health, legal, and victim advocate.

The numbers of service referrals for non-military victims in combat areas of interest are summarized in Table 4.6.

	<u>FY12</u>	<u>%</u>	FY13	<u>%</u>	FY14	<u>%</u>	FY15	<u>%</u>
Total Service Referrals	0	-	58	-	2	-	1	-
Type of Service								
Medical	0	-	7	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mental Health	0	-	12	20.7%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Legal	0	-	5	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	-	9	15.5%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Rape Crisis Center	0	-	6	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Victim Advocate	0	-	12	20.7%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
DoD Safe Helpline	0	-	6	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	-	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 4.6 – Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims in Combat Areas of Interest

5. Additional Items

5.1. Military Justice Process/Investigative Process Discussion

This section summarizes data associated with the timeline involved in the military justice process. Chart 5.1 shows the time from when a victim makes an unrestricted report (i.e. signs the DD 2910) to the completion of the courts-martial process, sentence or acquittal. NOTE: This measure was first developed in fiscal year 2014.




Chart 5.1 – Days from Report to Court Outcome

Chart 5.2 shows the average time period between victim reporting and completion of non-judicial punishment action. NOTE: this was also a new measure for fiscal year 2014.




Chart 5.2 – Days from Report to Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome

contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAIRST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during P15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case. # FY15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report) # FY15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report) # Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject # Relevant Data Not Available # Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Ron-Service Member on Service Member # Non-Service Member on Service Member # Non-Service Member on Service Member # Ron-Service Member on Service Member # Victims in Investigation in Service Member # Victims in Investigation in Service Member # Victims in Investigations in Service Member # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations pending completio	AIR FORCE		
# Service Member Victims 763 # Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject 140 # Relevant Data Not Available 9 # Unrestricted Reports in the following categories 912 # Service Member on Service Member 426 # Service Member on Service Member 426 # Service Member on Service Member 440 # Non-Service Member on Service Member 445 # Service Member on Service Member 445 # Unrestricted Reports on Service Member 445 # Understricted Subject on Service Member 45 # Unrestricted Reports of Sexual assault occurring 912 # Unrestricted Reports of Sexual assault occurring 912 # Or military installation 376 # Orf military installation 376 # Victims in Investigations initiated during FY15 766 # Victims in Investigations initiated during FY15 766 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 181 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims - Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 585 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other en investigation call and to be pended by DoD or Civilian Law 46 # Wictims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Wictims - Other en investigation of the Unrestricted Report 12 # Victims - Other en investigation of the Unrestricted Report 16 # Wictims - Other en investigation of the Unrestricted Report 16 # Wictims - Other en investigation of the Unrestricted Report 16 # Wictims - Other en Restricted Report 17 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault 17 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault 17 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault 17 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after se	A. FY15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY15 Totals	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject # Relevant Data Not Available # 992 # Gervice Member on Service Member # 426 # Service Member on Service Member # 426 # Service Member on Service Member # 426 # Non-Service Member on Service Member # 446 # Non-Service Member on Service Member # 45 # Unidestricted Reports on Service Member # 45 # Unidestricted Reports on Service Member # 45 # Unidestricted Reports of Sexual assault occurring # 246 # Unidestricted Reports of Sexual assault occurring # 912 # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # 246 # Uninestricted Reports of Sexual assault occurring # 912 # Unidentified Invasibilation # 376 # On military installation # 386 # Unidentified Invasibilation # 386 # Unidentified Invasibilation # 386 # Unidentified Invasibilation # 386 # Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # 912 # Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # 912 # Victims in Investigations initiated during PY15 # Victims with Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation sat end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation bata Forthcoming # 100 # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Other # 12 # Victims - Other # 12 # Victims - Other # 18 # All Restricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # 14 # Victims - Other # 18 # All Restricted Reports received in PY15 (one Victim per report) # 71 # Converted five year) # 90 # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # 236 # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # 236 # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # 27 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # 27 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # 912 # Reports made within 1 to 3	# FY15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	912	
# Relevant Data Not Available # Unrestricted Reports in the following categories # Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Service Member # Non-Service Member on Service Member # Non-Service Member on Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # Unidentified Subject on Service Member # Unidentified Installation # Victims with Investigations initiated during FY15 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation pending completion on the opened by DoD or Civilian Law # Victims with Investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Other # Wictims - Other # All Restricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Wictims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Prior Totals # Converted fine Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report with Investigation assault # Converted fine Restricted Report and Service # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports	# Service Member Victims	763	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	140	
# Service Member on Service Member		9	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member			
# Non-Service Member on Service Member			4
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member			
# Relevant Data Not Available # Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring # On military installation # Off military installation # Off military installation # Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # Victim in Unrestricted Reports pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted Tom Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 236 257 1715 Totals # Reports made within 12 to Jdays after sexual assault 257 268 279 270 270 2715 Totals * Reports made within 12 to Jdays after sexual assault 270 271 271 272 273 274 275 276 276 277 277 277 277 277			
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring # On military installation # Off military installation # Unidentified location # Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # Victims in investigations initiated during FY15 766 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Cirime was beyond statute of limitations Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 FY15 Totals For Service Member Viction of this between sexual assault 236 1 # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault 236 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 237 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	·		
# On military installation			
# Off military installation			1
# Unidentified location # Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # Victims in investigations initiated during FY15 766 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations are and of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 10 day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4
# Victims in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation # Victims in investigations initiated during FY15 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 \$ 181 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 \$ 585 # Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 \$ 585 # Victims with Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 \$ 585 # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2	'		4
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015 181 # Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 585 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming 100 # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement 46 # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ 12 # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ 12 # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other 18 # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) 471 # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) 90 # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 331 FY15 Totals	# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	912	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015 # Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCM1 # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other 18 # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 # Reports made within 10 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made under than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # All Restricted Reports and to 6 pm 180 11 # Relevant Data Not Available 191 # Relevant Data Not Available 9 5 Pay of sexual assault 9 10 # Relevant Data Not Available 9 5 Pay of sexual assault 9 10 # Relevant Data Not Available 9 5 Pay of sexual assault 9 10 # Wednesday 9 10 # Wednesday 9 10 # Wednesday 100 # Friday 9 107 # Friday 9 107 # Friday	# Victims in investigations initiated during FY15	766	1
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other 18 # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report * (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Elength of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault 236 1 # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available 112 # Midnight to 6 am 1375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 114 # Converted from Restricted Agency # Unknown 144 # Relevant Data Not Available 154 # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report # Sunday 106 # Windresday 117 # Wednesday 118 # Wednesday 119 # Truesday # Truesday # Friday 107	# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015	181	1
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement # Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 2 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. Partial Service Member Victic Cases Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available 114 # Relevant Data Not Available 115 # 6 am to 6 pm 116 # 6 pm to midnight 117 # 6 pm to midnight 118 # Cases Day of sexual assault 119 # Sunday 110 # Sunday 110 # Wednesday 1112 # Wednesday 112 # Wednesday 113 # Trussday 114 # Trussday 115 # Trussday	# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015	585	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCM] 12 # Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations 22 # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service 14 # Victims - Other 18 # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) 471 # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) 331 # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 FY15 Totals FS ervice Member Viction Cases Length of time between sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 1 to 10 days after sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 112 # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 127 # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 154 # Relevant Data Not Available 154 # Relevant Data Not Available 154 # G pm to midnight 154 # G pm to midnight 154 # C pm to midnight 154 # Relevant Data Not Available 154 # Sunday 154 # Sunday 156 # Wednesday 157 # Wednesday 157 # Wednesday 150 # Trusday 150 # Friday 150	# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	100	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations # Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 FY15 Totals for Service Member Viction Cases Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available # 6 pm to midnight # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 8 elevant Data Not Available # 6 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Unknown # Sunday # Monday # Sunday # Monday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Trusday # Trimady # Friday # Wednesday # Trimady # Friday # Wednesday # Trimade Sexual assault # Priday # Friday # Friday # Friday # Friday # Friday # Friday # Priday # Prid		46	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service # Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 FY15 Totals for Service Member Viction Cases Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Reports made with Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Unknown # Sunday # Unknown # Wednesday # Unswady # Universiday # Wednesday # Universiday # Wednesday # Trussday # Wednesday # Trussday #	# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	12	
# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available 1 me of sexual assault # Midright to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midright # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Sunday # Wednesday # Unknown # Sunday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Wednesday # Titusday 101 # Friday # Titursday 101 # Friday	# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	2	
# All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 13 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Sop to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available # Sop to midnight # Sop to sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available # Sop to midnight # Converted this year of the Service Member Victio Cases # Sunday # Sunday # Sunday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Thursday # Tiling friday # Triday		1	1
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Ength of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 0 midnight # 0 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Sunday # Sunday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Thing and the sexual assault # Triday # Thing and the sexual assault # Thing and the sexual assault # Triday			
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 FY15 Totals for Service Member Victic Cases Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available 96 Time of sexual assault # 6 pm to midnight to 6 am # 6 pm to midnight # 6 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Thursday # Thursday # Friday	# Victims - Other	18	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Ength of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # 248 # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available # Sunday # Sunday # Monday # Monday # Monday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Friday # Friday	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report)	18 471	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Service Member Victor Cases Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report 912 70 # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault 236 1 # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 87 # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 96 1 **Immed sexual assault 96 7 **Immed sexual assault 912 7 **# Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 **# Unknown 14 4 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 5 **Day of sexual assault 912 7 **# Sunday 106 4 **# Sunday 96 4 **# Tuesday 112 4 **# Wednesday 101 1 **# Triesday	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	18 471 90	
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault 236 1 # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault 112 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault 87 # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 154 1 # Relevant Data Not Available 96 Time of sexual assault 912 70 # Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 112 # Wednesday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	18 471 90	
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 pm to midnight # 6 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Sunday # Sunday # Wednesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Tribay # Friday # Friday # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # 12 # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # 227 # 1 # 87 # 87 # 87 # 912 # 70 # 7	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	18 471 90 381	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Relevant Data Not Available # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Sunday # Wednesday # Tuesday # Thursday # Thursday # Friday # Friday # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # 87 # 227 1 1 # 87 # 227 1 1 # 87 1 154	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault 227 1 # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 154 1 # Relevant Data Not Available 96 Time of sexual assault 912 70 # Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 763
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault 154 1 # Relevant Data Not Available 96 Time of sexual assault 912 70 # Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 763
# Relevant Data Not Available 96 Time of sexual assault 912 70 # Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 763 199 90
Time of sexual assault 912 70 # Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 4 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 5 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 6 # Monday 96 6 # Tuesday 112 112 # Wednesday 101 101 # Friday 107 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 236 112 87 227	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9
# Midnight to 6 am 375 2 # 6 am to 6 pm 180 1 # 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 9106 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6
# 6 am to 6 pm	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 96 18 13
# 6 pm to midnight 248 2 # Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 9106 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6 18 13
# Unknown 14 # Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6 18 13 9 76:
# Relevant Data Not Available 95 Day of sexual assault 912 70 # Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6 18 13 9 76: 29 15
# Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6.6 18 13 9 76: 29
# Sunday 106 # Monday 96 # Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown	188 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 766 19 9 6 18 13 9 766 29 15
# Tuesday 112 # Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available	188 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 766 19 9 6 18 13 9 766 29 15 20
# Wednesday 129 1 # Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault	188 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76: 19 9 6 18 13 9 76: 29 15 20 1
# Thursday 101 # Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday	188 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95 912	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76 19 9 6 18 13 9 76 29 15 20 1
# Friday 107	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday	188 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95 912 106 96	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76 19 9 6 18 13 9 76 29 15 20 1 9 76 8 8
	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday # Wednesday	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95 912 106 96 112 129	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76 19 9 6 18 13 9 76 29 15 20 1 9 76 8 8 8
# Saturday 138 1	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday # Wednesday # Thursday	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95 912 106 96 112 129	FY1.5 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76 19 9 6 18 13 9 76 29 15 20 1 9 76 8 8 8 8 10 7
# Relevant Data Not Available 123 1	# Victims - Other # All Restricted Reports received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year) # Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15 B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY15 Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday # Wednesday # Truesday # Wednesday # Thursday # Friday	18 471 90 381 FY15 Totals 912 236 112 87 227 154 96 912 375 180 248 14 95 912 106 96 112 129	FY15 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases 76 19 9 6 18 13 9 76 29 15 20 1 9 76 8 8 8 8 10 7 9 9

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault		FY15 Totals		
,	483	76		19		29	8				
# Service Member on Service Member	313	61	12	14	1	0	8	17	426		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	128	6	0	4	0	0	0	2	140		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	27	7	3	1	0	1	0	6	45		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	14	2	1	0	9	28	0	1	55		
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	246		
FY15 UNREST	RICTED REPORT	TS OF SEXUAL AS	SAULT BY MATTE	R INVESTIGATE	D TYPE (May not	reflect what crir	nes can be charg	ed upon complet	ion of investigati	on)	
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY15		Penetratin	ng Offenses			Contact	Offenses				
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
D1.	176	6	186	6	27	324	. 0	2	24	161	912
# Service Member on Service Member	52	4	124	0	9	203	0	0	17	17	426
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	41	1	34	2	4	53	0	0	3	2	140
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	9	0	9	3	1	18	0	0	1	4	45
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	26	1	9	1	4	9	0	0	1	4	55
# Relevant Data Not Available	48	0	10	0	9	41	0	2	2	134	246
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY15 Reports	134	5	150	4	23	266	0	2	21	158	763
# Service Member Victims: Female	123	4	127	0	21	194	0	1	20	124	614
# Service Member Victims: Male	11	1	23	4	2	72	. 0	1	1	34	149
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR L				DE IN FY15							
D3. Time of sexual assault	176		186	6	27			2	24		912
# Midnight to 6 am	89	6	89	2	10	140	0	0	12	27	375
# 6 am to 6 pm	22	0	33	2	5	97	0	1	7	13	180
# 6 pm to midnight	62	0	61	2	12	87	0	0	5	19	248
# Unknown	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	95
D4. Day of sexual assault	176	6	186	6	27	324	. 0	2	24	161	912
# Sunday	26	1	14	0	2	54	. 0	1	2	6	106
# Monday	14	1	39	0	2	25	0	0	6	9	96
# Tuesday	18	0	21	3	2	55	0	0	3	10	112
# Wednesday	27	0	44	1	3	42	0	1	3	8	129
# Thursday	22	0	25	0	6	41	0	0	4	3	101
# Friday	24	1	21	1	1	46	0	0	3	10	107
# Saturday	38	3	20	1	8	53	0	0	2	13	138
# Relevant Data Not Available	7	0	2	0	3	8	0	0	1	102	123

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY15	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY15	659
# Investigations Completed as of FY15 End (group by MCIO #)	442
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY15 End (group by MCIO #)	217
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY15	719
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	13
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	13
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	472
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	458
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	14
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	11
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	30
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim	55
supported by your Service.	
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a	2
Victim supported by your Service. # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim	_
supported by your Service	16
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	5
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	118
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY15 Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY15. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY15 or any prior FY.	
	676
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	676
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	63
· ·	38
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	3
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	7 33
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	11
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	11 0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	11 0 11
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	11 0 11 14
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	11 0 11 14 0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	11 0 11 14 0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	11 0 11 14 0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	11 0 11 14 0 14 582
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	11 0 11 14 0 14 582
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # On-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10 8
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10 8 2 12
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10 8 2 12
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Vour Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10 8 2 12 8 44 569
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	11 0 11 14 0 14 582 572 10 31 49 46 778 10 8 2 12 8 4 5 572 10 2 5 7 8 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject # Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject # Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Non-Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service # Subject Relevant Data Not Available # Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations # Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	1: 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY15 Totals
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY15 Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	16
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	17
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	12
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	3
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service	17
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	17
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	17
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by	
your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service. Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security	
Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID. # Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
	_
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations # Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0

Victims in Investigations Completed in	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY15										
FY15		Penetrating Offenses Contact Offenses									
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY15 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
F1. Gender of Victims	190	17	191	6	22	320	4	0	20	25	795
# Male	16	4	23	5	2	72	2	0	2	5	131
# Female	172	13	166	1	. 19	243	2	0	18	20	654
# Unknown	2	0	2	(1	5	0	0	0	0	10
F2. Age of Victims	190	17	191	6	22	320	4	0	20	25	795
# 0-15	2	0	0	1	. 0	0	0	0	0	1	4
# 16-19	36	3	27	C	3	53	1	0	2	2	127
# 20-24	81	8	93	4	9	115	2	0	8	3	323
# 25-34	28	3	32	(2	54	0	0	5	3	127
# 35-49	8	0	8	(2	17	0	0	0	2	37
# 50-64	0	0	0	(0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	35	3	31	1	6	80	1	0	5	14	176
F3. Victim Type	190	17	191	6	22	320	4	0	20	25	795
# Service Member	131	16		5	15		3	0	17		608
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	(0	11	1	0	0	0	12
# DoD Contractor	1	0	1	(0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Other US Government Civilian	1	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	54	1	37	1	. 6	56	0	0	3	0	158
# Foreign National	1	0	1	(0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	0	2	(1	6	0	0	0	0	11
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	131	16	150	5	15	246	3	0	17	25	608
# E1-E4	94	7	118	3	11	179	2	0	14	14	442
# E5-E9	26	6	19	1	4	40	1	0	2	10	109
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 01-03	2	1	4	1	. 0	14	0	0	1	1	24
# O4-O10	1	1	1	C	0	6	0	0	0	0	9
# Cadet/Midshipman	6	1	8	(0	7	0	0	0	0	22
# Academy Prep School Student	2	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	131	16	150	5	15	246	3	0	17	25	608
# Army	1	0	0	(0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Navy	0	0	2	(0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Marines	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	130	16	148	5	15	241	3	0	17	25	600
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	131	16	150	5	15	246	3	0	17	25	608
# Active Duty	116	14	136	5	15	218	3	0	17	23	547
# Reserve (Activated)	7	1	5	(0	17	0	0	0	2	32
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	1	(0	4	0	0	0	0	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	6	1	8	(0	7	0	0	0	0	22
# Academy Prep School Student	2	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Subjects in Investigations Completed in	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY15										
FY15		Penetratin	g Offenses			Contact	Offenses				
G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY15 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	(Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	205	17	181	8			4	0			
# Male	170	14	161	5	16		4	0	17	9	642
# Female	6	1	9	0	0	13	0	0	1	. 3	33
# Unknown	24	2	4	0		2	0	0	1	. 2	36
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	0	7	3	,	10		0	1	. 10	
G2. Age of Subjects	205	17	181	8	20	271	4	0	20	24	750
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	17	3	14	0	4	21	0	0	2	1	62
# 20-24	76	6	88	1	5	76	2	0	7	4	265
# 25-34	62	5	53	2	4	98	2	0	9	3	238
# 35-49	17	1	12	0	1	52	0	0	0	0	83
# 50-64	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	9
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	29	2	5	0	2	2	0	0	1	4	45
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	8	5	4	13	0	0	1	. 12	47
G3. Subject Type	205	17	181	8	20	271	4	0	20	24	750
# Service Member	152	13	161	3	13	235	4	0	18	9	608
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# DoD Contractor	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	11	1	4	1	1	12	0	0	0	2	32
# Foreign National	0		1	0	0		0	0	0	0	1
# Foreign Military	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	30	2	7	0	3	6	0	0	1	. 3	52
# Relevant Data Not Available	11		5	4	3	13	0	0	1	9	47
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	152	13	161	3	13	235	4	0	18	9	
# E1-E4	90		107	2	10			0	11		342
# E5-E9	46		36	1	3			0	6		201
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	·	1
# 01-03	9	2	8	0		9	0	0	1	0	29
# 04-010	3	0	4	0	0	10	0	0		0	17
# Cadet/Midshipman	4	0	4	0	, ,	10	0	0	0		14
# Academy Prep School Student		0	,	0	, ,		0	0	0		0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	· ·	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	2	0	_	-	0	0	0	·	4
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	152	13	161	3	ŭ	-	4	0	18		608
# Army	3	15	101	0			0	0	0		12
# Navv	1	0	4	0			0	0	0	· · · · · ·	11
# Marines	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
# Air Force	145	13	153	3	13	217	0	0	18	1	573
# Coast Guard	145	13	153	3			4	0	10		5/3
	Ů	0	0		ŭ		0	0	_	°	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	-		0	0	0		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0					0			_	4
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	152	13	161	3			4	0	18		608
# Active Duty	135	13	149	3	19			0	18	+	555
# Reserve (Activated)	13	0	5	0	, ,	1.	0	0	0	<u> </u>	33
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	1	0			0	0	0	-	2
# Cadet/Midshipman	4	0	4	0		6	0	0	0	-	14
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY15 INVESTIGATIONS	FY15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY15 INVESTIGATIONS	FY15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	9		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ # Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	3 2		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military	3		
Service # Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	750	# Victims in investigations completed in FY15	795
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY15	381	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY15	411
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	59	# Carries Member Vietims in substantiated Unknown Offender	
# Unknown Offenders	39	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	6
# Officion Officials		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	29
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the	18	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	5
исмз		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	12
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
,		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	139		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	62	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	46
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	74	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	51
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	2	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	2
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	1	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	3
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	311	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	432
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2015	233		
# FY15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	233	# FY15 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	201
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	84	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	60
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	32	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	33
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	7	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	5
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	34	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	31
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	7
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense	44	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	39
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non- sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	3
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	25	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	23

outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY15	FY15 Tota
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY15	
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY15	1
* Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed # Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 22 heaving officer.	
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer # Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15	
unishment	
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15	
cquittal	
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	
Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	
# Subjects with unknown punishment	
# Subjects with no punishment	
# Subjects with pending punishment	
# Subjects with Punishment	
# Subjects receiving confinement	
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfaitures	
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	
# Subjects receiving a parliate discharge (bishororable, bad conduct, or bishnissar)	
# Subjects receiving extra duty	
# Subjects receiving hard labor	
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	
NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of	FY15 Tot
njudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY15	
	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 * Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	F115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 * Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment	7715 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 * Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment	1115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 * Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty	115 100
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving estriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving in restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated rexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated rexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above. Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense # Subjects receiving uOTHC administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving ines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving axtra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	FY15 Tot
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was diminised Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was diminised Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was diminised Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual sault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated of sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above. Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	
Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the	
outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category	FY15 Totals
there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15	6
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY15	6
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	1
# Subjects whose courts-martial was distrissed # Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15	
punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15	
acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 panishment	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	1
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	4
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	4
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with he punishment # Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	4
# Subjects veceiving confinement	3
# Subjects receiving edimentals # Subjects receiving reductions in rank	3
# Subjects receiving freededors in runk # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	2
# Subjects receiving an punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	3
# Subjects receiving a pullate discharge (bisholorable, bad conduct, or bishissary) # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving restretion of some initiation of receions # Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving extra daty # Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving had labor # Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge of separation subsequent to conviction at that # Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving 60 Fric administrative discharge # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General authinistrative discharge # Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving nonorable administrative discharge # Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving oricharacterized administrative discharge	U
M NONTHIDICIAL DIINISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Savual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of	
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of	
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there	FY15 Totals
	FY15 Totals
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15	FY15 Totals
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15	56
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15	
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	56 1 55
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	56 1 55 1 54
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment	56 1 55 1 54
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment	56 1 55 1 54 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment	56 1 55 1 54 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment	56 1 1 55 1 54 0 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 41 37
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving feductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving feductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects withous nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects without nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving artra duty # Subjects receiving hard labor # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving feductions in rank # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a a reprimand # Subjects receiving a nadministrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	56 11 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with on punishment was dismissed # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving watra duty # Subjects receiving array and labor # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NIP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative disc	56 11 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects withose nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving aretriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving are administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge N OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense) in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 9 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NIP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Uncharacterized administrative disc	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects withose nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving are aduty # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NIP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by General administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NIP followed by	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 9 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for soubjects who see administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 1 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 51 FY15 Totals
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with pending punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving are administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge N OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects receiving unother administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects receiving unother administrative discharge or o	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 54 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 57 77 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with Punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who second assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above. # Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects receivin	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 5 FY15 Totals
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment was dismissed # Subjects with unknown punishment # Subjects with no punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving axtra duty # Subjects receiving are primand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense in Sections D and E above. # Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects receiving General administrative discharge # Subjects receiving	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 5 FY15 Totals 0 4 1 3 0
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects with one nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense # Subjects with nonjudicial punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving are primand # Subjects receiving are primand # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge # Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense or there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above. # Subjects rece	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 FY15 Totals
nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above. # Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY15 # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed # Subjects with nonpunishment was dismissed # Subjects with nonpunishment # Subjects with nonpunishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects with punishment # Subjects receiving correctional custody # Subjects receiving reductions in rank # Subjects receiving reductions or some limitation on freedom # Subjects receiving extra duty # Subjects receiving a reprimand # Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge # Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge # Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge * Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for subjects who were investigated for sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for non-sexual	56 1 55 1 54 0 0 0 54 0 41 37 2 11 0 51 9 0 0 5 FY15 Totals 0 4 1 3 0

AIR FORCE FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	471
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	443
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	15
# Relevant Data Not Available	13
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15*	90
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	77
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	11
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	381
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	366
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	381
# Service Member on Service Member	172
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	75
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	13
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	56
# Relevant Data Not Available	65
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	381
# On military installation	88
# Off military installation	183
# Unidentified location	25
# Relevant Data Not Available	85
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	381
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	55
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	28
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	28
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	59
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	78
# Relevant Data Not Available	133
Time of sexual assault incident	381
# Midnight to 6 am	115
# 6 am to 6 pm	42
# 6 pm to midnight	124
# Unknown	54
# Relevant Data Not Available	46
Day of sexual assault incident	381
# Sunday	29
# Monday	20
# Tuesday	16
# Wednesday	20
# Thursday	21
# Friday	39
# Saturday	69
# Relevant Data Not Available	167
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY15 Totals
# Service Member Victims	366
# Army Victims	
# Navy Victims	(
# Marines Victims	
# Air Force Victims	352
# Coast Guard Victims	(
# Relevant Data Not Available	(

AIR FORCE	
FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY15 Totals
Gender of Victims	381
# Male	87
# Female	292
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	381
# 0-15	35
# 16-19	85
# 20-24	150
# 25-34 # 35-49	80
* 55 1	19
# 50-64	(
# 65 and older	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	366
Grade of Service Member Victims	
# E1-E4	213
# E5-E9	101
# W01-W05	21
# 01-03 # 04-010	
	23
# Cadet/Midshipman	23
# Academy Prep School Student	
# Relevant Data Not Available	200
Status of Service Member Victims	366
# Active Duty	317 23
# Reserve (Activated) # National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	23
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10) # Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	23
# Academy Prep School Student # Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	381
Victim Type # Service Member	366
# Job Civilian	300
-# DoD Contractor	
-# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	13
- # Foreign National	13
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	TTIS Totals
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	77
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	46
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	29
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	FY15 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	33.33
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	48.06
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15	FY15 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in	19
the FY15	
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	19
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	C
# Relevant Data Not Available	C
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number	of
Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

AIR FORCE FY15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, r	egardless of
when the sexual assault report was made.	_
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY15 Total
Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	128
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	27
# Legal	28
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	22
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	27
# DoD Safe Helpline	9
# Other	5
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	11
# Medical	1
# Mental Health	3
# Legal	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	4
# Victim Advocate	
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted # Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	6
* Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	4
B. FY15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED	FY15
REPORTS	TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY15	8
# Reported MPO Violations in FY15	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	
In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report when there is a safety risk for the Victim.	annot be mad
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	T
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	
F Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	10
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	10
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	FY15
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	TOTALS
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	65
# Medical	5
# Mental Health	17
# Legal	10
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	12
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	13
# DoD Safe Helpline	5
# Other	1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	7
# Medical	
# Mental Health	2
# Legal	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	
# DoD Safe Helpline	
	1
# Other # Cases where SAFEs were conducted	2

0		
3		
41		
6		
15		
68		
0		
_		
43		
15		
ALS		
85		
1		
1		
0		
0		
e made	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were	FY15
	denied:	TOTALS
4	Total Number Denied	3
	Total Number Denied	3
0	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	3
108 3	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead	3 3 0
0 108 3	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	3 3 0
108 3	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 TALS	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request	3 3 0
108 3 715 ALS	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 FALS 656	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 ALS 656 56	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 7ALS 656 56 174 108	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 ALS 656 56 174 108 123	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request Investigation concluded there was a lack of evidence that sexual assault took place	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 *ALS 656 56 174 108 123	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request Investigation concluded there was a lack of evidence that sexual assault took place	3 3 0
0 108 3 15 ALS 656 56 174 108	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request Investigation concluded there was a lack of evidence that sexual assault took place	3 3 0
0 108 3 715 *ALS 656 56 174 108 123	Total Number Denied Reasons for Disapproval (Total) Moved Alleged Offender Instead Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead Victim a subject in a separate criminal investigation Victim rescinded the request Investigation concluded there was a lack of evidence that sexual assault took place	3 3 0

AIR FORCE FY15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS,	FY15 Totals
CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	161
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	19
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available	134
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	161
# Male # Female	10 106
# Relevant Data Not Available	45
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident # 0-15	161
# 16-19	7
# 20-24	15
# 25-34 # 35-49	12
# 50-64	4
# 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available	116
D4. Non-Service Member Type	161
# DoD Civilian # DoD Contractor	40
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	62
# Foreign National # Foreign Military	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	51
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories # MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	96
# Medical	10
# Mental Health # Legal	9 24
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	11
- # Rape Crisis Center # Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	28
# Victiff Advocate/Onliformed Victiff Advocate # DoD Safe Helpline	10
# Other	4
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical	46
# Mental Health	21
# Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	9
# Victim Advocate	4
# Other	7
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	
	12
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	0 FY15 Totals
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	0 FY15 Totals 73
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 13 51
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E. F115 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 13 51
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims in Restricted Report to Undestricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DOD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Male # Female	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 13 5 5 51 69 0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam F F115 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Male # Female # Relevant Data Not Available	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 13 5 51 69 0 28
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Condense of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available S. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Olio On-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	0 FY15 Totals 73 44 699 699 133 5 51 699 0 288 411 699
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Male # Female # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 69 13 51 69 00 28 41 69
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Condensed Frenale Relevant Data Not Available Sold Relevant Data Not Relevant Data	0 PY15 Totals 1 Total
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E1.# Non-Service Member Victims waking Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member Or Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Only 10.15 11.19 20.24 25.34 25.34 35.49	FY15 Totals FY35 T
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Condense of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Source of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Online Condense of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Online Online Source Member Victims at the Time of Incident Source Member Victims Available Source Member	FY15 Totals FY15 Totals 4 699 133 5 5 144 699 288 699 328 55 699 328 55 699 500 699 699 699 699 699
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam E1.# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available F Female Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident O15 16-15 16-16 16-1	© FY15 Totals 733
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Condense of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Source of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Online Condense of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Online Online Source Member Victims at the Time of Incident Source Member Victims Available Source Member	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 4 69 69 13 5 5 5 5 16 9 9 32 15 5 5 9 0 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Restricted Reports from Non-Service	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 4 69 69 13 5 5 5 5 16 9 9 32 15 5 5 9 0 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam in Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS in # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 * Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ** Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted ** Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: ** Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) ** Relevant Data Not Available 1** Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member ** Relevant Data Not Available 2** Relevant Data Not Available 3** Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident ** 0-15 ** 16-19 ** 20-24 ** 35-34 ** 35-49 ** 50-64 ** 65 and older ** Relevant Data Not Available 2** VICTIM Type ** VICTIM Type ** DOG Overwant	0 FY15 Totals 73 4 4 69 69 13 5 5 5 5 16 9 9 32 15 5 5 9 0 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # ST15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type - DOD Contractor - Other Use Soverment Civilia # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available	9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Male # Female # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 55-364 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # DoD Civilin # Dot Civilin # Dot Civilin # Dot Contracter # Dother US Government Civilin # Relevant Data Not Available E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	© FY15 Totals 733 4 4 699 699 13 5 5 515 699 0 288 411 699 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Nale # Female # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 33-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type - Dod Contractor # Cherry Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type - Bod Contractor # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # MILITARY Resources # Medical	C C C C C C C C C C
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam in Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS in Whon-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available Cander of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available A Sol-15 A Sol-16 A Sol-19 A Sol-04 A Sol	FY15 Totals 733 4 4 699 699 699 699 699 699 699 699 699 699
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # DoD Contracte # Other US Government Civilian # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # MILITARY Resources # MILITARY Resources # Melical # Medical # Medical # Medical # Chaplain/Spiritual Support	C C C C C C C C C C
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS B1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available # So-4 # 25-34 # 25-34 # 25-34 # 50-64 # 55 and older # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # So Sand older # Relevant Data Not Available # So-64 # So Sand older # Relevant Data Not Available # Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # DoD Contractor # DoD Contractor # Relevant Data Not Available # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Review Referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # Mallar Res	FY15 Totals 733 4 4 699 699 133 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS E1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # DoD Contracte # Other US Government Civilian # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # MILITARY Resources # MILITARY Resources # Melical # Medical # Medical # Medical # Chaplain/Spiritual Support	\$\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \begi
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available 2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available 3. Male Relevant Data Not Available 5. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident 0. 15 16-19	C C C C C C C C C C
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available B. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available B. Relevant Data Not Available Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Relevant Data Not Available Victim Kondon Relevant Data Not Available Non-Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Non-Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Mon-Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Service Member Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available Relevant Data Not Available Relevan	C C C C C C C C C C
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Yon-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available # Son-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # POG Orwine # POG Covine # POG Covine # POG Covine # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # POG Orwine # POG Sovine # Mon-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # POG Sovine # MILITARY Resources # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Relevant Data Not Available # Pog Sovine # MILITARY Resources # Military Resources # Military Resources # Military Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical # Mental Health	C FY15 Totals T T T T T T T T T
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS 1. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) Relevant Data Not Available E. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available E. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims Relevant Data Not Available E. Sender of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Old Policy Relevant Data Not Available E. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Old Policy Relevant Data Not Available E. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Relevant Data Not Available E. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident Old Policy Relevant Data Not Available E. YICTIM Type Deb Contractor Relevant Data Not Available E. Sepoport Service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # MILITARY Resources # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support F Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support F Urctim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate # DoD Safe Helpline # Other CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical # Mental Health # Legal	CFY15 Totals 733 4 4 699 699 699 131 5 5 51 699 699 228 321 5 5 60 609 609 609 609 609 609 609 609 609
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Yon-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available # Son-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # POG Orwine # POG Covine # POG Covine # POG Covine # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # POG Orwine # POG Sovine # Mon-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # POG Sovine # MILITARY Resources # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Relevant Data Not Available # Pog Sovine # MILITARY Resources # Military Resources # Military Resources # Military Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical # Mental Health	9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Yon-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: # Non-Service Member On Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available # So-0-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # So-0-40 # So-0-40 # So-0-40 # Relevant Data Not Available # Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # So-0-40 # DoD Contractor # DoD Contractor # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # Relevant Data Not Available # DoD Contractor # MILITARY Resources # MillITARY Resources (Referred by DoD) # Merital Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Crutinal Support # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Ty15 Totals
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS B. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available B. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available B. Age of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available B. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available B. ATTICTIM Type B. DOD Covilian B. DOD Covilian B. DOD Covilian B. DOD Covilian B. Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available B. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories # MILITARY Resources # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Caplain/Spiritual Support # Caplain/Spiritual Support # Caplain/Spiritual Support # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Caplain/Spiritual Support	9
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam # Y15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS B. # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) # Relevant Data Not Available E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims # Relevant Data Not Available E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 65 and older # Relevant Data Not Available E4. VICTIM Type # 95 O-64 # 9 POG-Cevilian # DOD Centractor # DOD Centractor # DOD Centractor # Non-Service Member # Relevant Data Not Available 8 Relevant Data Not Available 9 Relevant Data Not Relevant Data Not Relevant Data Not Relevant Data Not	FY15 Totals 73 4 69 69 69 133 5 5 51 69 08 288 41 699 322 155 00 00 00 33 69 266 433 111 133 177 9 4 30 30 33 44

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITAR' Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY15 Reports of Sexual Assault		
A. FY15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY15 Totals	
# FY15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	11	
# Service Member Victims	11	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0 11	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories		
# Service Member on Service Member # Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	3	
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	11	
# On military installation # Off military installation	10	
# Unidentified location	0	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	11	
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY15	9	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2015	2	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2015	7	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming # Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law	1	
Enforcement	1	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	1	
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY15 (one Victim per report) # Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and	15	
converted this year)	2	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY15	13	
		FY15 Totals for
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY15		Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	11	Member Victim Cases
		Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	11 4 2 0	Member Victim Cases 11
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	11 4 2 0 2	Member Victim Cases 11 2 2
# Reports made within 1 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	11 4 2 0 2 3	Member Victim Cases 11
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	11 4 2 0 2	Member Victim Cases 11
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am	11 4 2 0 2 3 3 0 11	Member Victim Cases 11 2 (() 11 11
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 1 11 3 6	Member Victim Cases 11 2 0 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am	11 4 2 0 2 3 3 0 11	Member Victim Cases 11 4 2 (0) 3 (1) 11 3 (2) 2 2 3 (2) 4 2 2 3 (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 11 3 6	Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Member Victim Cases 11 4 2 0 12 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 11 0 11 0	Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday	11 4 2 0 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 11 0 0 0 0	Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday # Wednesday # Thursday	11 4 2 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 0 11 0 0 4 11	Member Victim Cases 11 22 33 60 111 32 60 111 60 60 70 70 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 7
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report # Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault # Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault # Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault # Relevant Data Not Available Time of sexual assault # Midnight to 6 am # 6 am to 6 pm # 6 pm to midnight # Unknown # Relevant Data Not Available Day of sexual assault # Sunday # Monday # Tuesday # Wednesday	11 4 2 0 0 2 3 0 11 3 6 2 0 0 11 0 0 4 11	Member Victim Cases 11 4 2 2 3 6 11 3 6 6 7 11 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY15 Reports of Sexual Assault.										
C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT Male on Female Male on Male Female on Male Female on Male Female on Male Female Female Male Female M										
GENDER)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	11	
# Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	
	TRICTED REPORT	S OF SEXUAL AS	SAULT BY MATTE	R INVESTIGATE	D TYPE (May not	reflect what crir	nes can be charg	ed upon completi	on of investigat	

# Relevant Data Not Available	EDICTED DEBOR	TO OF CEVILAL AC		D INVESTIGATE	D TVDE (M						
	RICTED REPOR	TS OF SEXUAL AS		RINVESTIGATE	D TYPE (May not			ed upon complet	ion of investigati	on)	
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY15		Penetratir	g Offenses			Contact	Offenses				
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
D1.	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	11
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2	. 0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	. 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY15			0	0			•		0	,	11
Reports	3	U	U	0	-	3	U		U	2	11
# Service Member Victims: Female	1	. 0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	7
# Service Member Victims: Male	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR U	JNRESTRICTED I	REPORTS OF SEX	UAL ASSAULT IN	COMBAT AREA C	OF INTEREST MAI	DE IN FY15					
D3. Time of sexual assault	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	11
# Midnight to 6 am	1	. 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	. 0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	6
# 6 pm to midnight	1	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
# Relevant Data Not Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
D4. Day of sexual assault	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	11
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
# Tuesday	1	. 0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	4
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Friday	2	. 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		COMBA	AT AREAS OF INT	EREST - LOCATIO	ON OF UNRESTRI	CTED REPORTS B	Y TYPE OF OFFER	ISE			
FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120) (Oct07-Jun12)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	11
Afghanistan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	11

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY15 in Combat	
Areas of Interest Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the	
Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY15	8
# Investigations Completed as of FY15 End (group by MCIO #)	6
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY15 End (group by MCIO #) # Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY15	2 8
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	1
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim	2
supported by your Service. # Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a	0
Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by	_
your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	3
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY15 in Combat Areas of Interest Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY15. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY15 or any prior FY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	7
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service # Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	7
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID # Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI # Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service # Service Member Victims in CID investigations	7
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations # Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Vous Consider Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	6
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations # Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	6
# Non-Service Member Victims in Arosa investigations # Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY15 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY15 (Group by MCIO	0
Case Number)	
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	C
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	(
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	C
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	C
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	(
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	(
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim	,
supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	O
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	C
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by	
your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At	
Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as	
"MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section	
captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	C
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	C
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	C
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	(
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service # Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY15, supported by your Service	0
	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	(
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	(
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	(

Victims in Investigations Completed in				Victim Data	a From Investiga	tions completed	during FY15				
FY15 in Combat Areas of Interest		Penetratin	g Offenses			Contact	Offenses				
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
F1. Gender of Victims	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
# Male	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# 25-34	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F3. Victim Type	2	Ö	0	0	Ö	5	0	0	0	0	7
# Service Member	2	0	, and the second	0			0	0	-	-	7
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	,
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	Ů	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0		·		0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	Ů	, and the second	0	0	1	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	T v	, i	0	0	•	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	2	0	0	0	v	Ů	0	0	· ·	· ·	7
# E1-E4		0	0	0			0	0		_	2
# E5-E9	2	0	0	0	·	J	0	0	1	Ü	3
# WO1-WO5	2	0	0		0	1	0	0		0	3
# W01-W05 # 01-03	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 01-03 # 04-010	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	· ·	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown F5. Service of Service Member Victims	2	0		0	v	, and the second	0	0	· ·	· ·	0
	2	0	0	0		5	0	0	0	0	,
# Army	0	0	0	0	· ·	0	0	0) 0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	/
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	T v	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	2	0	0	0		_	0				7
# Active Duty	2	0	0	0	· ·	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	C	0	ŭ	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Subjects in Investigations Completed in				Subject Dat	a From Investiga	tions completed	during FY15				
FY15 in Combat Areas of Interest		Penetratin	g Offenses			Contact	Offenses				
G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY15 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	2	0	0	0		5	0				
# Male	0	0	0	(0	3	0	0	0	0	
# Female	C	0	0	(0	0	0		0	
# Unknown	2	0	0	(·	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	C	0	0	(U	2	0	0	0	0	
G2. Age of Subjects	2	0	0	0		5	0	0		_	
# 0-15	C	0	0	(-	0	0	C	0	0	
# 16-19	C	0	0	(· ·	0	0	C	0	0	
# 20-24		0	0	(·	1	. 0	0	, v	0	
# 25-34		0	0	(0	1	. 0	0	0	0	
# 35-49		0	0	(0	1	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	() 0	0	(-	0	0	0		0	
# 65 and older # Unknown	-	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	
		0	0	(0	2	0	0	-	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available		0	0	0			0		-	0	
G3. Subject Type # Service Member	2	2 0	0	(5	0	0		0	
		0	0	(·	3	0	0		0	
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants # Recruiters		0	0	(-	0	0	0		0	
# DoD Civilian		0	0	(Ů	0	0	0	, v	0	
# DoD Contractor		0	0	(Ů	0	0	0		0	
# Other US Government Civilian		0	0	(0	0	0		0	0	
# US Civilian		0	0		0		0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National) 0	0			0	0	0		0	
# Foreign Military		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	2	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available		0	0		0	2	0	0	0	0	
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects		0	0			3	0	0		0	
# E1-E4		0	0	(1	. 0	0		0	
# E5-E9	C	0	0	(0	2	0	C	0	0	
# WO1-WO5	C	0	0	(0	0	0	C	0	0	
# 01-03	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	(0	0	(·	0	0	0	~	0	
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
# Army	0	0	0	(0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Navy	0	0	0	(Ů	0	0	0	Ů	0	
# Marines	C	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force		0	0		0	2	0	C	0	0	
# Coast Guard		0	0	(U	0	0	0	, v	0	
# Unknown	C	0	0	(Ů	0	0	0	, v	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	C	0	0	(0	0	0		0	
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	0	0	0	0		3	0	0	0	0	
# Active Duty	C	0	0	(·	0	0	0	0	0	
# Reserve (Activated)	C	0	0	(3	0	0		0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student # Unknown		0	0	(-	0	0	0	, v	ŭ	
		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	(0	0		0		0		0	0	

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY15 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY15 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below. # Subjects - Not subject to the UCM3	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the OCM3 # Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military			
Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	7	# Victims in investigations completed in FY15	7
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY15	2	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY15	6
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	2	# Sarvice Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender	
# Unknown Offenders	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
# Official of Charles		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
UCMJ		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	1		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute		# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of	
of limitations	0	limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	2	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	2
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2015	2		
# FY15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	2	# FY15 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	2
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	against Subject # Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non- sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	1
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are	counted	with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI)	
FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	15
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	15
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15*	2
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	2
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	13
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted # Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	13
# Service Member on Service Member	9
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	13
# On military installation	13
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	13
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1 4
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault # Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
Time of sexual assault incident	13
# Midnight to 6 am	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	5
# 6 pm to midnight	5
# Unknown	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	13
# Sunday	2
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	1
# Friday	3
# Saturday	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
# Service Member Victims	13
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	13
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
Gender of Victims	13
# Male	
# Female # Relevant Data Not Available	9
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	13
# 0-15	
# 16-19 # 20-24	
# 25-34	
# 35-49	-
# 50-64 # 65 and older	
# 85 and older # Relevant Data Not Available	
Grade of Service Member Victims	13
# E1-E4	
# E5-E9 # W01-W05	
# 01-03	
# 04-010	-
# Cadet/Midshipman	
# Academy Prep School Student # Relevant Data Not Available	-
Status of Service Member Victims	13
# Active Duty	17
# Reserve (Activated)	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10) # Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	-
# Academy Prep School Student # Academy Prep School Student	
# Relevant Data Not Available	(
Victim Type	13 13
# Service Member # DoD Civilian	1.
-# DoD Contractor	
-# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member # Foreign National	(
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	-
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN	
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	C
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	(
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	(
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	(
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available	(
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	() () () () FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15	13.1 16.2(2) FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	(C)
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15	13.1 16.2(2) FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15	FY15 Totals 13.3 16.26 FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.20 FY15 Totals () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available	FY15 Totals 13.3 16.26 FY15 Totals
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.22 FY15 Totals () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti	FY15 Totals 13.9 (1) (2) FY15 Totals (3) (4) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Dijbouti Egypt	FY15 Totals 13.9 FY15 Totals 16.20 () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.2 FY15 Totals (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (4) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Ron-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.2: FY15 Totals (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwailt Kyrgyzstan Lebanon	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.2 FY15 Totals (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Ron-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan	FY15 Totals 13 FY15 Totals (10 FY15 Totals (10
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Oman Pakistan	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.2: FY15 Totals (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kwyats Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Oman Pakistan Qatar Saudi Arabia	FY15 Totals 13 16.20 FY15 Totals () () () () () () () () () (
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Oman Pakistan	FY15 Totals 13.1 16.2: FY15 Totals (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Interstricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # PY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Oman Pakistan Qatar Saudi Arabia Syria Uae Uganda	FY15 Totals (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST # Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18 # Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18 # Service Member Choosing Not to Specify # Relevant Data Not Available F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHIO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY15 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY15 # Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 # Relevant Data Not Available TOTAL # FY15 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti Egypt Iraq Jordan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Oman Pakistan Qatar Saudi Arabia Syria Uae	FY15 Totals 13.9 (1) (2) FY15 Totals (3) (4) (5) (7) (6) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9

AIR FORCE CAI FY15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST			
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, re when the sexual assault report was made.	gardless of		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	EVALE TO LO		
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	FY15 Totals		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	17		
# Medical	C		
# Mental Health	3		
# Legal	2		
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	3		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	6		
# DoD Safe Helpline	3		
# Other	0		
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	0		
# Medical	0		
# Mental Health	0	4	
# Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support			
# Rape Crisis Center			
# Victim Advocate	0		
# DoD Safe Helpline			
# Other	0		
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	2		
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0		
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0		
B. FY15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 TOTALS		
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY15	0		
# Reported MPO Violations in FY15	0		
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	C	1	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault # Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	4	
# Reported INFO Violations by Both *In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report of made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.	annot be	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	FY1! TOTA
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0	Total Number Denied	IOIA
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied		Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	1
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	C	. , ,	
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0		
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 TOTALS		
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories			
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	31		
# Medical # Mental Health	3		
# Herital Fleatur	/		
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7		
— # Rape Crisis Center			
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	6		
# DoD Safe Helpline	4		
# Other	0		
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD) # Medical	4		
		7	
	0		
# Mental Health	3		
# Mental Health # Legal	3		
# Mental Health	0 3 0 0		
# Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
# Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Rape Crisis Center # Victim Advocate # DoD Safe Helpine	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
# Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Rape Crisis Center # Victim Advocate # Dob Safe Helpline # Other	3 0 0 0 0		
# Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual Support # Rape Crisis Center # Victim Advocate # DoD Safe Helpine	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

D. UNRESTRICTED F	CIVILIAN DATA REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS,	FY15 Tota
CONTRACTORS, ETC	c) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY15 Tota
	ber on Non-Service Member	
	ect or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	
# Relevant Data No 2. Gender of Non-S		
# Male		
# Female # Relevant Data Not	Available	
	rice Members at the Time of Incident	
# 0-15 # 16-19		
# 20-24		
# 25-34 # 35-49		
# 50-64		
# 65 and older # Relevant Data Not	Δvailable	
4. Non-Service Me		
# DoD Civilian # DoD Contractor		
# Other US Governme	ent Civilian	
# US Civilian		
# Foreign National # Foreign Military		-
# Relevant Data Not		
	ce referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories ces (Referred by DoD)	
# Medical		
# Mental Health		_
# Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S	Support	-
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Ur # DoD Safe Helpline	iformed Victim Advocate	-
# Other		
# Medical	ces (Referred by DoD)	
# Mental Health		
# Legal	S	
# Chaplain/Spiritual S # Rape Crisis Center	support	
# VICUITI AUVOCALE		
# Victim Advocate # DoD Safe Helpline # Other		
# DoD Safe Helpline # Other # Cases where SAFE		
# DoD Safe Helpline # Other # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	OF
# DoD Safe Helpline # Other # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE I. FY15 RESTRICTED	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS	OF FY15 Tota
# DoD Safe Helpline # Other # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE INTEREST 1. # Non-Service M	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report	OF FY15 Tota
# DoD Safe Helpline # Other # Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE FY15 RESTRICTED THEREST 1. # Non-Service Membe F Non-Service Membe F Non-Service Membe F Non-Service Membe	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report er Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted	OF FY15 Tota
# Dob Safe Helpline # Other Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE FY15 RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb Non-Service Memb Restricted Report	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report er Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim Proprist remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	OF FY15 Tota
# Other # Other # Other # Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE IF 15 RESTRICTED NITEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb Non-Service Memb Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report er Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted	OF FY15 Tota
# Other # Other # Other # Other # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE # Cases where SAFE # FITT RESTRICTE # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Relevant Data Not	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report er Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE TASES TO A CASE CASES WHERE SAFE	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM MON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report ev Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	OF FY15 Total
# Onber ** Other ** ** Other ** ** Other ** ** Other ** ** Cases where SAFE* ** Cases where SAFE* ** FYLS RESTRICTE* ** I. # Non-Service Member ** ** Won-Service Memb	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Rember Victims making Restricted Report Fivictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available iervice Member Victims	OF FY15 Tota
# Other Cases where SAFE NTEREST I.# Non-Service Mem Non-Service Mem Unidentified Subject Mon-Service Mem Unide	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (witcims) DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member victims when the description of Non-Service Member victims Available Available	OF FY15 Tota
# Other Cases where SAFE NEREST I. # Non-Service Men P Non-Service Men # Non-Service Men # Unidentified Subjec # Relevant Data Case # Male # Relevant Data Not. 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Rember Victims making Restricted Report Fivictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: et or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available iervice Member Victims	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE FOR SAFE FYS RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb Non-Service Memb Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Ton-Safe # Relevant Data Not 2. Gender of Non-Safe # Male # Female # Relevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 16-19	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (witcims) DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member victims when the description of Non-Service Member victims Available Available	OF FY15 Tota
# Onber ** **Other ** **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **PIS RESTRICE* **PIS RESTRICE* **PIS RESTRICE* **Non-Service Membra* **Indicated Membra* **Non-Service Membra* **Indicated Membra* **Indicated Membra* **Non-Service Membra* **Indicated Membr	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (witcims) DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member victims when the description of Non-Service Member victims Available Available	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE FY3 RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Roman # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # On-Service # Relevant Data Not. 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (witcims) DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member victims when the description of Non-Service Member victims Available Available	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE **Other** Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE Cases where SAFE **Cases where SAFE **P13 RESTRICTE* **NTEREST **I # Non-Service Mem **Non-Service Mem **Non-Service Mem **I Won-Service Mem **Unidentified Subjec **#Unidentified Sub	Ekits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (wictims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (wictims) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member variable rervice Member Victims	OF FY15 Total
# Onber ** **Other **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **Cases where SAFE* **P1S RESTRICE* **NEREST* **I **# Non-Service Memb* **Non-Service Memb* **I **	it its or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FV15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member vervice Member Victims Available ice Member Victims at the Time of Incident	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE PY3 RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service # Non-Ser	it its or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FV15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member vervice Member Victims Available ice Member Victims at the Time of Incident	OF FY15 Tota
# Other Cases where SAFE PY3 RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service Memb # Toleration # Non-Service # Non-Ser	it its or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS lember Victims making Restricted Report Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FV15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (victims) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member vervice Member Victims Available ice Member Victims at the Time of Incident	OF FY15 Tota
# Other Cases where SAFE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FI	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Hember Victims making Restricted Report Evictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted 5 from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (witch to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undistosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available rervice Member Victims Available ice Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available Available	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE # SAF	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Evictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted Is from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available Available Available Available Available	OF FY15 Total
# Onber Cases where SAFE Other Cases where SAFE FY15 RESTRICTE NTRIEST # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Female # Felevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-34 # 35-34 # 35-34 # 55 and older # Relevant Data Not 4. VICTIM Type # 500-Contractor # Other Us Constructor # Other Us Constructor # Relevant Data Not 5. # Support Service # Relevant Data Not 5. # Support Service # Relevant Data Not 5. # Support Service # Service Memb # Relevant Data Not 5. # Support Service # Service Memb # Relevant Data Not 5. # Support Service # Support Se	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idem Victims making Restricted Report Wictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (whited to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available iervice Member Victims Available Available cert Gwillon Available er erferrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE PATA RESTRICTE NTEREST I. # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Description # Non-Service Memb # Relevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 55 and older # 66 and older # 68 Relevant Data Not 4. VICTIM Type # 50-64 # Other SAFE #	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idem Victims making Restricted Report Wictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (whited to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available iervice Member Victims Available Available cert Gwillon Available er erferrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE PY3 RESTRICTE NTEREST 1. # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Unidentified Subjec # Unidentified Subjec # Non-Service Memb # Unidentified Subjec # Relevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 35-49 # 35-49 # 35-40 # 55-30 # 35-40 # 55-30 # 50-64 # 50-64	kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam DREPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idem Victims making Restricted Report Wictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (critities in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member (whited to a RR by DoD Policy) t or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member Available iervice Member Victims Available Available cert Gwillon Available er erferrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FI	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Wichins who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available icre Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available er Cavilian er Cavilian	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FI	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Wichins who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available icre Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available er Cavilian er Cavilian	OF FY15 Tota
# Other Cases where SAFE Other Cases where SAFE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FILE FI	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Wichins who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available icre Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available er Cavilian er Cavilian	OF FY15 Total
# Onber Cases where SAFE **Cases where SAFE **Eases where SAFE **FASESTRAFE **ITASESTRAFE **ITASESTRAFE **TASESTRAFE **TASEST	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Fivictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Ber Victims who converted from Restricted so from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available available er Cavilian er cavilian in the following categories ces Support	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE For Safe #	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Fivictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 Ber Victims who converted from Restricted so from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available available er Cavilian er cavilian in the following categories ces Support	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE ** Other Cases where SAFE ** PYS RESTRICTE ** Non-Service Memb ** Unidentified Subjec ** Non-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** Age of Non-Serv ** Halle ** Female ** Relevant Data Not. ** Age of Non-Serv ** 16-19 ** 20-24 ** 25-34 ** 25-34 ** 25-34 ** 35-49 ** 25-34 ** 35-49 ** 25-34 ** 35-49 ** 50-64 ** 65 and older ** Relevant Data Not. ** A, VICTIM Type ** Bob Contractor ** Mon-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** A, VICTIM Type ** Dob Contractor ** Mon-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** Non-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** Non-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** Non-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not. ** HUITARY Resour ** Medical ** Michal Medical ** Michal Medical ** Chaplain/Spiritual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Dob Safe Helpline ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical ** Other Manual S ** Cityllain Advocate/Ur ** Medical	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Wichins who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available er of Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available er of Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories ces Support Support Support Support Support	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE ** Other Cases where SAFE ** PYS RESTRICTE ** NERSTI ** Non-Service Memb ** Restricted Report ** Roservice Memb ** Restricted Report ** Non-Service Memb ** Restricted Report ** Relevant Data Not ** All Male ** Female ** Relevant Data Not ** 3. Age of Non-Serv #* 0-15 ** 20-24 ** 25-34 ** 35-49 ** 35-49 ** 35-49 ** 35-49 ** 35-49 ** Son-Service Memb ** Relevant Data Not ** VICTIM Type ** Doo-Covinies ** Other US Covernm ** Non-Service Memb ** Tother US Covernm ** Non-Service Memb ** Tother US Covernm ** Non-Service Memb ** Other US Covernm ** Non-Service Memb ** UNITIM Type ** Other US Covernm ** Non-Service Memb ** Unitim Advocate/Ur ** Belevant Data Not ** Support service ** Wictim Advocate/Ur ** Doo Safe Helpline ** Other ** O	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS Idember Victims making Restricted Report Wichins who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted s from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available icre Member Victims Available er of Member Victims at the Time of Incident Available er of Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories ces Support Support Support Support Support	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE FIGURE # PARTICLE # Non-Service Memb # Other # Non-Service Memb # Other # Relevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 55 and older # 35-49 # 50-64 # 55 and older # 56 and older # 56 and older # 57 and older # 58 and older # 69 and older # 69 and older # 60 an	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS tember Victims making Restricted Report Evictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted Is from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available ice Member Victims Available available er Cruilen er er Cruilen er e	OF FY15 Total
# Onber Casses where SAFE Cases where SAFE # SAFE # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Restricted Report # Non-Service Memb # Female # Relevant Data Not. 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-9 # 30-64 # 25-34 # 35-64 # 35-64 # SERVENT DATA NOT. # VICTIM Type # Cool Controctor # Relevant Data Not. # VICTIM Type # Cool Controctor # Relevant Data Not. # VICTIM Type # Cool Controctor # Relevant Data Not. # WILLTARY Resour # Monda Health # Legal # Mental Health # Legal # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S # Reper Civil LiAN Resour # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S # Reper Civil LiAN Resour # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S # Reper Civil LiAN Resour # Medical # Mental Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S # Reper A Service Memb # # Reper A Service Memb # Reper A Service Memb # Resident Health # Legal # Chaplain/Spiritual S # Reper A Resident Service Memb # Reper A Resident Service Memb # Resident Service Memb # Memtal Health # Legal # Resident Service Memb # Resident Service M	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS tember Victims making Restricted Report Evictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted Is from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available ice Member Victims Available available er Cruilen er er Cruilen er e	OF FY15 Total
# Other Cases where SAFE FIGURE # PARTICLE # Non-Service Memb # Other # Non-Service Memb # Other # Relevant Data Not 3. Age of Non-Serv # 0-15 # 16-19 # 20-24 # 25-34 # 35-49 # 35-49 # 50-64 # 55 and older # 35-49 # 50-64 # 55 and older # 56 and older # 56 and older # 57 and older # 58 and older # 69 and older # 69 and older # 60 an	Exits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam D REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS tember Victims making Restricted Report Evictims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY15 ber Victim reports remaining Restricted Is from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories: er on Non-Service Member Victims Available ice Member Victims Available available er Cruilen er er Cruilen er e	OF FY15 Total

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Cornoleted	Hember Sexual Assa Case Disposition	uit Synopses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Meaning, if	2 Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For								Assault?	Accession?		Completed		Ontolise Charged			applicable					Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement: Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restrictor: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Largis (1092): 30; Reduction in rank! Yes; Pay Goods Resbuced To: E-1; Hand
la	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Lation: No. Notes: Subject Land 2 and Victim were derisking in Victim's down room. Victim remembers lissing one of the Subjects and notifing also. She wolks up the next morning partially raisket. When combinated the Subjects list the through III and see the When combinated the Subjects list the through III and see the When combinated the Subjects list the Hosp will be described to the commander preferred charges. Rape charges were doored after consultation with Why Chirch revie to that
																						honomer bis Subject was tread or other changes related to the depoted and examined an aemost Wichman end considered. Courts Meeting the second Wichman end countries. On the Subject was the second to the second to the American lamb Indiableon Meeting Lamps (Supr.) 62. American lamb Indiableon Meeting Lamps (Supr.) 62. Meeting Lamps (Supr.) 62. Meeting Lamps (Supr.) 62. Meeting Lamps (Supr.) 62. Meeting Subject 1 and 2 and Victim wave drinking in Victim's count. This last thing Victim remembers in saling a say of the Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr. (Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Meeting Supr.) 62. Me
1b	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Obstructing justice (Art. 134-35)	General		Both Victim and Subject	how. When Victim avoids the rand morning, Subjects were tools or developed to the contract of
2	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	woongfully learning his others in license. Subject was discharged. Courts-Mestal discharges; None; Confinement: Yee; Confinement: Pype: Less Thain Life; Confinement (Nonthi); 6; Forfishers of Pay and Allowances: No; Pinc: No; Restrictors: No; Reduction in ratio. Rey Reduction in ratio. Restriction of Nonthina Rey Reduction in Restriction with the Sulf Young Allowate the commission with the Sulf Young Allowate the commission with the Sulf Young Allowate the commission preferred charges against Sulpide. Sulpide was connected.
3	Abusive Sessal Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Coacts Mexical discharge Name, Conference He, Frefestrus He, Frefe
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Securid Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yee; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yee; Pig (reads Restuced for GE-2; Ente Duty: Yee; Estra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NLP Cety): No; Notes: Subriect orabbed the victims buttooks twice and
5	Second Assinst (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	sended her bithrides on a separate occasion. After enceiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff pulse abocate, the commander imposed monipolicial purishment. Botton: Victim and England comer at a party. Victim was otherwisely relocational. Subject the policy of the staff pulse and enceiver the contract of the pulse of
6	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force		Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									toposition in coats reasons. Notice: Victim, went on a plumbing job with Subject, a male Department of Defense civilian employee. While alone in the Cony., Subject old Victim he was having a difficult free in his marriage and asked Victim for a hog; Victim agreed. During the bug, Subject placed his right hard on Victims host. Victim terminated the hug. Subject asked Victim is she would go out.
																						neasist of the report of investigation, consultation with the SIGM Judge Advances the commander imposed a civilian disciplinary action. Courts Metall discharge; DO - Discharges (Do Conference: Exp. Conference: Exp. Loss Thas Life; Conference (Metallo), 200, Torislative of Pay and Albanacous, loy, Fire, Residencian; 50; Residencian in raric No; Hard Later: No; No; Hard Later: No;
7	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notice: Victim and Subject vorsible logisthms, and bocisions femical and "divising buddes". Victim and others were going in and cut of several domestory occess to get different activation because the victim became legisly intenticated and dicided to go to slean, Victim avoids to first Subject forming has greated over his papers. After receiving the report of investigation and coresulting with the staff judge advocation, the commander preferred drapes. These are establish victims associated in this case. Subject was corrected.
8	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Notice Victim became highly intractable while with Hinnich in ideas once. She and adapted went back to her moon. Subject of the back to her moon. Subject with the back to her moon. Subject with the property of the subject was provided by the subject was been as the subject of all this of the subject was been as the subject was the subject reaction when the constaint by the First Sequent was part of the subject was the subject reaction when the constaint by the First Sequent was subject was the sub
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (a single subject)	his, Petaclicin in racis: Yee, Pay Grade Reduced Yor. E-2; Estis Duty, Yee, Estis Duty (Days): E-9; Hierd Labor: No; Cerrectional Cassing (NPC Days): No; Nation: Subject have drawk and disorderly and touched, Whough the clothing, the nack, grain and inner thigh of another male America. After receiving the report of another male America. After receiving the report of mentigation and consulting with the staff judge advantals, the ceremandum visible on robustical practices.
10	Rape (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim returned to her residence from a right out with friends. Subject, Victims supervisor, said he would take her to the room. He physically carried her up to her room. When Victim carrie to, Subject was digitally penetrating her vulva.
11	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non- second assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject placed his hand on her thigh and said "I know what you've done for other goys and if you service mit." I know do you will not be served to you from the "what has been on day in a 25's placed vertical. Victim but Subjects or a 25's placed vertical. Victim but Subjects were not any in a 25's placed vertical. Victim but Subjects were provided in the placed vertical placed by the proof of liveralization and consulting with the Subf Subject Absocials, the commander preferred charges, Subject who can be subjected to the discharge in a victim subject of cond-metallal Victim supported the discharge. It was approved with an under other than bornable conditions discharge.
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Female	Air Force	04	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			under other than honorable conditions discharge. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Ner, Perit St. Bestriction: No. Reduction in raint: Not. Exito Duty. Nor; Haed Labor: Nor; Correctional Custody (ND Corly); No; Notices Subject had multiple inappropriate relationships with his patients, and inappropriately touched other patients. After exactly gibt respect of investigation and consulting with the staff judy advances, the currentative invesion direpticular.
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Securid Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfishers of Pay and Allowances: Year, Fina: No; Restriction: Pay House Committee (Fig. 1) For Grade Medication Fine 4: Ext. Edited Chip: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Castody (NP Chip): No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No;
	(At. 18)												PURMITHEE	Consect (Art. 120)		этрожо					Suspect	woke up, there was no one in room except the Subject and his hands were you'ktims shirt and much her her handbirg her her hand her hand hand hand hand hand hand hand hand
14	Abusive Sensal Cortact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offersie								Both Victim and Subject	Name: Rodge and Victim were sating as the face of a local distance. Might on series to exist to be Work's last, convenient with Victim. During the corne of their convenients, Subject on their special or distant both for Equil losers and the series of the series of the series of the series of the exclusive Wilder to list finance, Distantian Wilders 1. As ex- sended to the series of the series of the series of the series level middle that the lose in the last and and em- ances level middle. The series from series for the series of
																						ascertain that Subject's name, and find an old picture of him from a PA story on the infarmet. The picture with Subject's name was the same individual that she saw at the bar. Victim thin give a statement to the local CSI. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject an LOR.
15	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Nation. Solition and victim were larger in victims bed when happing path has had used her shorts and other bed with an analysis path has been sold to be a single path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the path of the forcides according by marring his path of the victims area claded the local paths. Another cettle may be been and claded the local paths. Another cettle may be been and claded the local paths. Another cettle may be a the path of the path of the path of the path of the three paths of the local paths. Another cettle may be the report of heresignes and consulting with the bodil Judge Aboutout, the commander decided to prefer charges. Subject was explained for the subsequently discharges.
16	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	0.5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprimared (LOS): Notice: Victim and Subject were having an adultmous effair. When it ended Subject begin staking Victim: While reviewing e-mail between Subject and Victim; Odd and an e-mail that appaised to nead as if the Victim had been raped. Victim classified when was no raped. After consideration with the staff subject accordant to exempt a raped. After consideration with the staff subject accordant to communities insued a latter of reprimand. Adverse Administration Action Type: Little of Commission.
17	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	KYRGYZSTAN	Air Force	£-4	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense									neturnal necessarians Accion i speci, cutare di classimisti Alla Nobles depositori, chiari suna disepita hi se signi i standanti, hi for la victim sude i manda si supare la se signi, altatoria, and trase i more le limi are accioni, investigatore del cine revasi sufficient evidente si indicata amplino princi del manda i supare del manda del servizioni del segoni suna del segoni del segoni del segoni suna della segoni della segoni suna della segoni della segoni suna della segoni della segoni suna della

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Syropses Report: Most Serious Sexual Assault	AIR FORCE Most Serious Othe Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Hearing, if	2 Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For Aberino Sexual Contact								Assiste	Accession?	,,,	Completed Of Clansiers	County Martial Channe	Oriense Charges	•		applicable				Subtant (a sinute	Notes: Subject, Victim and some friends were camping. Subject tried to put his hands down Victims parts and rubbed his gental area on Victims battocks through her clothes. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred chings. From to this subject requisited to be
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Subject (a single subject)	discharged in Seu of court, victim concurred, and it was approved.
													Other adverse									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim 1 and 2 allege Subject touched their buttocks suitnut their numinision at a name. Victim 3 alleges Subject
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victoris Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	recons: vectors 1 ares 2 among soupers countered water extrements without their permission at a party. Vectors 3 alleges Subject attempted to kiss her without her permission. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the Commander detainmined the member had acted disorderly and issued a letter of reprimand for misconduct.
																						The commander did not feel that the evidence supported a charge of abusive sexual contact. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Estra Duby: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only):
21	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Asseult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Duly: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NDP Only): No; Notes: On two separate occasions, Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks. After consultation with the staff judge advocable the commander initiated nonjudicial punishment.
																						commander initiated nonjudicial punishment. Notes: Subject, victim and others were hanging out in subjects down room drinking and playing a gaine that involved cards and discarding clothing. Victim at some point,
												Q4 (July-	Non-Judicial	Abusive Sexual							Both Victim and	The control of the co
22	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		September)	Punishment	Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Subject	subject rotative law leveras into alway put the native account in perits and touched her veglow. After receiving the report of several parties and consulting with the Solid Sudge Advocate, the commanding reprisend charges. Charges were dropped after the Article ST hearing based on the Charges were dropped after the Article ST hearing based on the Charges were competed that the evidence of and capport the larges. The commander that the evidence of the Charges are commanded man dropped after subject requested (Villa) and proposed after subject requested a personal appearance with the commander.
23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of						Notes: Victim got highly intoxicated at a party and was told by a friend that she hald size with two people, on being the subject. Subject was interviewed and admitted to having consensual sex withthe victim on the night of the alleged assiault. After receiving th report of investigation and
		JINILI										эцинин	Pienes.	Passal (Fit. 220)		Courts-Mertial						consuling with the staff judge abouting, the commander preferred charges. Subject submitted a request to be discharged in leave of coord-marking with whis say stated after consultation with the victim. Subject will be discharged under other than horenable conditions. Foreither or Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction:
																						No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced Yo: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No:
24	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Notes: Subject came up beliefs Victim in the workplace and made a humping action against Victims buttocks through the clubing and touched his cheef through the clothing. When questioned Subject stated that "the place" of this nature were common in his workplace and behought it was compatible. Motion when interviewed did not utilished as sexual motive or secretar to the efficience for stated that thereshed his descreate to the efficience for stated that thereshed his descreate to the difference for stated that thereshed his property is the secretary of
	, , ,												secould assignit offense									unwanted and made him uncomfortable. After receiving the
																						report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined Article 15 action was appropriate. Subject accepted and was punished under Article 15, UCH3. Courts-Martial dischange: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge;
																						Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfelbare of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced Yo: E-3; Hard Labor: No;
25	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Notes: Subject was victims first serguant. While driving her home from a physical training session he exposed his peris and touched her vapinal area through her clothes. On numerous other occasions he grabbed her buttock and forced her to hug him before she could leave his office. After
																						preferred charges. The accused was convicted.
26	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim and subject were watching movies in her dorm noom. Subject and victim foissed and partially undessed: The victim decided she clid not want to go further and told subject to stop. He continued to sexually touch the victim and raped her. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquired at trial.
27	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-4	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						States. Victor reported that Subject is a clase ferred and the met to see him between the model that place for heard cold and control to see the subsect of the cold that the point of heard cold and the subsect of the cold and
														,		to Courts-Martial						and he admitted to having sex with her knowing she was under the influence of medication. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. After preferral the Victim refused to participate, whe recommended they not proceed.
																						The commander issued an LOR to subject for adultery. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR);
28	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim and subject were drinking at a bar. Subject, victims supervisor, told her he would buy her drinks if she gave him screething in return. She originally reported he groped her but later stated he did not touch her. After
-																						consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander issued an letter of reprimand. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LCR);
29	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Subject entered victim's room and they engaged in some kissing. She stated she did not want to continue in a joking way. She never felt threatened but wanted him to stop. Alter consistation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimend and the subject was december.
																						commander issued a Letter of Reprimand and the subject was discroolled. Notes: This case synopsis is concerning subject 2. Victim was at a neighbor's home in base housing for some drinks and
200	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple	disemptified. Notes: This case synopsis is concerning subject 2. Victim was at a relightor's home in base housing for some drivis and housiah with sweed other friends. As some point the orly remaining people were the Victim and Subjects 1 and 2. Subject 2 graphed Victim and resround her clothes. He then took his citches off and penetrated her. He then took Subject 1, to get some conforcing, which he did I. They then switched
													Preferred	120)		Courts-Martial					parties to the crime)	and Sileject 1 had sex with her. This continued for a long ancied of time. Victim total to pash them off put overmally said given up, offer receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the said Silejea about, the commander preferred charges. Ultimately, after consultation with the scittin, a chapter 4 request was approved and Sobject 1 and 2 were discharged with a UOTHIC discharge.
																						Notes: This case synopsis is concerning Subject 1. Victim was
																Discharge or					All victims and	hookah with several other friends. At some point the only remaining people were the Victim and Subjects 1 and 2. Subject 2 grabbed Victim and removed the ricidose. He then took his clothes off and penetrated hir. He then told Subject
306	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	and Subject 1 had sex with her. This continued for a long
																						Jast gave up. After receiving the report of eventingation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Ultrastey, after consultation with the victim, a chapter 4 request was approved and Subject 1 and 2 were discharged with a UDTEC discharge. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowences: Yes; First: No; Restriction:
																						No; Reduction in nank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced Yo: E-3; Extra Duly: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NDP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges Subject grabbed her buttocks twice at an
31	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	office base holiday party. After the incident Victim walked away in shock and informed her husband. The husband reported the incident. The Victim formally reported the incident to OSL Subject noted that Victim was intoxicated.
																						Neckes: Victim allenges Statispict gratefuel here blicklicks below at an office takes helders party. After the incident Victim walkard inspected the incident. The Victim formally reported the incident to OSE, Subject model that Victim was intencisted. When interviewed, Subject stated the did not remember surphring after a contain point in the evening. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge passishment which he accepted.
																						Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR);
32	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-1	Female	Air Force	0-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Notice: Victim and two other individuals went to Subjects quadrement where they dark and then wort out to some beau- fugariement where they dark and then wort out to some beau- Victim obsent's enumerable anylitring until alle worker up in Subjects both them on morning. Victim and Subject if they had are but he told her they had jost issued. After returning to her room Victim was some in her veriginal area and had verying all circles and the subject of the subject is the social and to participate in the procession of the case but felt the remarker should be appropriately portained. After the subject is the subject of the subject is the subject of the case but felt the remarker should be appropriately portained. After the welfill slope and records. Become processing our about After the
													offense									weighed discharge. Victim reported the incident. She later decided not to participate in the prosecution of the case but felt the member should be appropriately punished. After receiving the export of investigation and consulting with the
F																Discharge or						and people and the second and the se
33	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Mirtial		L	L			reprinted are now law recovered by the control of t
																						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowancas: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in nank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Estra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NDP Only): No;
34	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim states she was in a club standing with a group of friends when Subject approached her, reached down under her dress and grabbed her her groin so aggressively that he clinicially mentitated her through her clinicing. The Victim
													Assessed correction									Notice: Victim fallows the was in a clast statemy with a group of frames when shopped approximation hav, reached down under of frames when shopped approximation and the statement of signally penetrated her through her clothing. The Victim declared to participate in the count remails. After reviewing the report of investigation and all available evidence, consulting with the SEED hopped Androcale, and created limited to the Victim's desire to not participate in a count-eventual, when commander with the SEED hopped Androcale, and created limited to commander the statement of the commander of the statement of the commander of the statement of the
H																						
		Incom-										01.00-										Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Coefinament: Yes; Confinament: Yspe: Less Than Life; Coefinament: (Mortals): 2; Forfattano GPay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced Yo: E-3; Hard Labor: No;
35	Rape (Art. 120)	STATES	Air Force	0-1	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	6-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Notes: Subject touched the victims breast through her clothing without her consent, trying to humilian the victim. Subject main also accoused of touching the victims back and buttocks with his cheek, tops, follows and paris without her consent with the lentent to guidy his essual delaw. After consultation with the staff pulsy advocate the commander preferred charge. The subject was consisted at court-marks.
																						consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. The subject was convicted at court-merital. Forfeiture of Parr and Allowances: Nov Finar Nov Beachings.
																						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowancas: No; Fins: No; Rastriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Entra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No;
36	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	noons: Subject occurre righty inconcaste and supplied victin Is buttocks. He also grabbed Victin 2 and 3s breast. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander imposed nonjudicial
-																						punishment. Subject was brought before a discharge board but the board voted to retain him. Notes: Subject and victim went out drinking. They spent the night at victims from with other unit members. Victims stated
37	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	0-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Both Victim and Subject	he woke up and subject was sexually assaulting him. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred changes. At the Article 32 hearing the evidence
																						showed the subject was actually the victim and charges were dismissed. Victim subsequently pled gully to numerous charges including sexual assault of this subject.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assemb?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service P Quarter Disposition Completed	fember Sexual Assar Case Disposition	alt Synopses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	: AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3. Hearing, if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
38	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim was waiting for friends at the trinisted Club when the Scieglest valked up and licked her on the ear. Victim stated subject vales very drust. Victim relayed she did not waste to go forward with any LVDA action. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the corrender issued at letter of reprimark.
39	Secual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No			Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notice: Victim reported to COS that after meeting Subject at a bar, the left the law with Subject and Winness 1. The group departed the bar and valued to Subject: readerous. Once at the residence Subject valgrainly persented VICTIP after attempting and solorsy. Mere giving the minist subsence to COS, Victim reliands to further discuss or participate in the COS, victim reliands to further discuss or participate in the continued of the continued
40	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	unered states	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offersie									Alasma Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprinsard (202); 1020; 1
41	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-2	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						some in dear or imperiodists. Any private, the resident of the sequence of the
42	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Male	Air Force	C4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									schembal or Schoper v respect from law grantes. Advance Administrative After Type: Later of Reprimeral CACO; Advance Administrative After Type: Later of Reprimeral CACO; Advance Administrative After Schoper or
43	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Assirult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Norwe			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yee, Freie Re; Beachicters - Res, Reduction 1 resist "New Pay Grade Reduction To: 5-3, Edinoculor To: 5-3, Edinoculor To: 6-3, Edinoculor To: 6-3, Edinoculor Co. 100, No; No; Hard Labor: No; Convectional Custody (OIP Only): No;
44	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-9	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoul assault offense		Assirult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Indicates of they and Sanuscust. New Years to, Destriction, When Pediatrion in view Carlor Roy, Yea, Head Lader No; Carrectional Custado (YOE Orly); Yea, Head Lader No; Carrectional Custado (YOE Orly); Yea, Yea Carresson Described Name et a Squaten Yeaking page, Affect a Corresson Described horselystem, we will exhibit page Affect and Corresson Described horselystem, who will be removed before the resignation models to be done. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the sail yolge allocuted in consulting with the affect of projected parameters. Associated the projected parameters of the control of the Affect of the Special Special Pediatrio (November 1997). The Affect of the Special Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Special Pediatrio (November 1997). The Affect of the Special Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997). The Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997). The Affect of the Pediatrio (November 1997) and Affect
45	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E4	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes with passage passages and the passage passage and the passage pa
46	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual insieut offense		Wrongful use, possission, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Inferior and Pay and Allowarcasis. Year, Pain Boy, Bendrickon, 1 and Pay and Allowarcasis. Year Pay Chair Boh Bodard To E-1, Elmo Dody, Year, Chara Dahy (Calay); 305, Panel Lakors 100, Chay; Year, Panel Lakors 100, Characteristical Cassing (Mor Holy); 810, Panel Lakors 100, Characteristical Cassing (Mor Holy); 810, Panel Lakors 100, P
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-S	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense									DOD): Steps 2 bring a trialing class, the class was instructed to go to the states of an amount, became were in their of slages and to the states of an amount, became were in their of slages and the stocked britten show with his fregir is a large amount. Slages at dated he did not brinn's for the contact to be sensal or nature. After receiving the report of messigned and an antale, where contacts, the convenience of the states of t
48	Aggrirvated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHIC)		Subject (a single subject)	No. Totacs: Victim alleges that the was aeciden by a knock on her hotel more door at approximately 0430. Subject came in and sat on the bed and put his hand on writerish stelly have a controlled her breast. Subject then pulled victim on top of him or the bed. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff fugles advocate, the commander supposed complication punishment.
49	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Softport allegardy digitally presentated the Victims postulate as a french source Subject Victims and source discount and the source of the source
50	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipen än	Female	Air Force	0-1	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			therfoliate of thy and Allouences (see, Free 18th, Bashcheter, Carecticums Classify (10° Oct)); But, Carecticums Classify (10° Oct)); But, Carecticums Classify (10° Oct)); But, Carecticums Classify (10° Oct); But, Carecticums Classified (10° Oct); But, Carecticums Carecticums and die die find edupted. During the consensual damase Alexen Carecticums and die die find edupted. During the consensual dies investigation and diese die find edupted. During the consensual diese investigation with the second discovering with a means. After consultation with the safety also doubt the commander imposed compodicall packs and consensual discovering with Local Carecticums.
51	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						botos: Pâte a faited unit compliance inspection subject callules duction into his office and told her wis council him for the faither, the bissed her neck and touched her body, they had a price assural relationship. Pater correlations with the staff judge, advocate the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted att trial. Counts-Marital discharge: Dismissai; Confinement: Yes;
52	Rape (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	i Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Unknown & Female	Air Force	os	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non- sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Convicted		Wrongful use, posession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)				Conformant Type: Lies Than Life; Conformant Pleasible; Sinchicities Pleasible;
53	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male	No	No		Q2 (lanuary- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Coeffect (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Norwe		Subject (a single subject)	Ferfalture of Poy and Allowances: Yee; Pists No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rate: Yee; Pays Caule Reduced Yee; Pist No; Restriction: No; Pist See Lador: No; Conventional Custado; (NDP Chin); No; Nosco Subject and victim if Allow Task a wedge, "then proceeded to pail subcritation variences on; After recording resport of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offwerd neighborid persistences."
54	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Aboverse Abriller sitelling Action Types (Cher): Matter Sadget institling reported that he was the Victim of reget by the Victim however Victim them reported Sudject reged the Sudject and a femal at the expectation of their and of sudject and with the Sudject and a femal at them entered to the Sudjects one Sudject and a femal at them entered to the Sudjects of Sudject on top of the After receiving the reget of enventpation and correlating with the staff Sudject advocate, the commencing reference places. Sudjects activated a request for discharged with an under other than homosales conditions discharged with an under other than homosales conditions.
55	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-1	Fernale	Air Force	0-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Inferior or fly ye and Monaccase Vice Prior Yes, Beathcrison, Inc. Rep. Deathcrison, Inc. Vice. Death Dully, Byold Lead No. 1962. Charel Charles, Byold Lead No. 1962. Charel Charles (March Charles) (MD Only), The Monaccase Charles (MD Only), The Monaccase (MD Only),
56	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						imposed nonjudicial punishment. Notes: Victim reported Subject had see with her while she was located out from richering alcohol. Victim reported she did not, could not and reculd not have consented to Subject having see with her. After receiving the region of investigation and consulting with the self-play advocate, the community preferred charges. Subject view acquired at court invarial.

	Most Serious Sexual	Incident	Virtim	Victim Day		Subject	Subject Day	Subject	Subject: Prior	Subject: Moral	Subject	FY15 Service	Hember Sexual Assa	ult Syropses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault	AIR FORCE	r Court Case or	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32	Meat Serious	Administrativo	Must Danister as		
No.	Paris Republication For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	for Sex Assault?	Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note Courts-Martial discharge; DD - Del-conneable Discharge; Conferement: Yes; Conference Type: Less Than Life; Conferement (Morth): 240; Frinklaure of Pay and Allowance: No; Finz: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank No; Hard Lakon (A); Hard Lakon (A); Hard Lakon (A); No; Hard Lakon (A); Hard Lakon (A); Restriction: No; Reduction (No; Reduction in rank) No; Hard Lakon (A); Lakon
57	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E4	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Advancesce, the Free Rep Bastictions No. Baskadown in reside for Heart Laker. For Men The State
58	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Abservan Kerkmitshidisin Action Types Latter of Reprinsed (CGC); Tables, R.P. Sheal for pump of project, in include Guiden Seates of Victor were common palarotic benegate. During this tems, Subject Laugers Wictors now the bitmakes, pushed out in tems, Subject Laugers Wictors now the bitmakes, pushed out in tems, Subject Laugers Wictors more lauge, and add come out, or woods the effect. Victors through the special victors consumel, provided a manufacture of the common palarotic scale of the common palarotic sca
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Ovilian	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									(LOR): The act was witnessed by two other includuals. Victim refused to coperate in any million patient and some control of the control of the companies of the
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 220)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sinsal Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Name: Subject is a recubile and was in Name. Subject with Years and Years an
61	Abusive Semail Confact (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-2	Female	Air Force	0-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sinosal Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	None		Both Victim and Subject	No. Reduction in rank: No. Send Later No. Marker Salpite, an International polit. Nat in inspergensials reductionally with reversors student place. National states reduction with reversors student place. National states reduction the broaders with not consent. The other two sciences stated they engaged in commenced institutionallyse. After consultations with the seal flowjug advancate for commendate unsprinted and place alloyed and consent commendate unsprinted and place and place and place and place unsprinted and place and place and place and place flow of the place of the place of the place and place and place flow flow of the place of the place of the place and place and place flow flow of the place of the
62	Sionsal Assisti (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernále	Air Force	8-5	Male	Yes			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Narial followed by Art. 15 punishment			General		Both Victim and Subject	Day, No, Free Laken: No, Comectional Gatedy (ND Only): Note: CETT, Science, and 4 other includuals returned to Voters horse after and dischool and seturned to Science Seep in the best after central profession server. Voters Science Seep in the best after central profession server. Voters that dischool and profession server in the second of displain presentating her segme with material-strain, After managing the export of mentiogen and consisting with the Salf July Ancieties, the commander preferred charge for harder, The commander offered the Salight coupling panishment for simple assault, and the Voten agreed with the disputation.
63	Second Assindt (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Male	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Nation: According to Victim, he and delighted more watching as movie and delivating in subjects come. Victim stated to get drawle and decided for inform to his room. Soligical helipoid victime to his more. Victim house last Soligical helipoid victime to his more. Victim house last Soligical helipoid victim house last soligical helipoid victim house and public Victim or get of and slegue sets Victim house and public Victim for a 12 account, Victim mode up and pumble soligical more. After receiving the report of more subjection and commenting with the safet pulse producting. Not commendating preferred charges. Charges were referred. Soligical make acquitable.
64	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January- March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Subject is an unknown foreign national. Since subject could not be identified no action was taken by police. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LCR);
65	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Subject inappropriately touched victim several times while they were out doing security checks. Victim initially left distillated to go along with the touching because she didn't know how to say no but eventually stated "http". Subject citi ent step, Victim did not want to particular in any court and touch the same state of the subject of the consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander securid shifter of reprinced. Notes: While in victim's noom watching movies subject began
66	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Fernale	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						necess: wasser in sections in colors washering mouses, assigned organisms analysing session advances. Vections total them to stop but the refusest, digitally pornectivated her and mouth her not his perior advancable the commander preferred despits; Charges were referred to brial where subject waid acquitted. Courts-Martial discharge; CD - Dishonnosable Discharge; Courtered or Courts-Martial discharge; Conference Type; Less Them Life;
67	Second Assurdt (Art. 126)	united STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	83	Male					Courts-Nartial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sensal Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assistif (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Witim and Subject	Conforment (bright); 26, Friethand of Pay and Malescare, from the Machine in the Vice Pay Code Machine in the Machine in the Machine in the Martine. The none of they Vice Pay Code Machine in the Machine in th
68	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	0-3	Female	Air Force	0-4	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notice: Victim and subject attended a pump together and both ware authernally instructured. During the right under neuroscient performing oral ser on subject and engaging in sessal referenceuse (with victim on top of subject) on multiple occasions. During the investigation a protest phone call was established and subject stated the saked for consent throughout their right and shar verbally seal "Ori. After consultation with the sall pulsage absorbs the commended preferred charges, the sall pulsage absorbs the commended preferred charges, where consultation with the victim.
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	0-4	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furdinare of Pay and Allowances: Yes Pair No; Rendricher, Yes, Bestriches Limit Fastallarios, Resisterios regis (Days)- 40; Reduction in rada: No; Eden Days No; Her Labor: No; Commission Cassing Off Only): No; Rober: Victor approached subject about onere inapprepriate reduction than in Pairs About or pairs in Pairs of the Commission of Cassing Only): A pair of the Commission of the Commission of the Inspect on paper labor of the Commission of Pay and Allowances: Yes Press Destriction: No; If Pay and Allowances: Yes Press Destriction: No; Reduction Investigate Days Code Research (Inc.): Her Laboration of the Code Pay and Allowances: Yes Press Destriction: No;
70	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	5-2	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Backerion in omic' tree; Pey Grade Mackord Tim C 5-1; Hed Lautor Yee, Verbal Leider (Popp): 30; Robes: The Victim and Gelgett men of Incohook. The Subget challed the Victim in Sorter when the Subget gave the digitally presentated ber vergos, and advantaged pendal presentation of the supple of which the Victim and Subget presentated ber vergos, and advantaged pendal presentations of the supple of which the Victim and Subget. The Victim and Subget bookly gaves on the overeity and covering, but Grade yee in the which the Victim and Subget. The Victim and Subget bookly gaves on the overeity and the severing but Grade yee in the which of two contenuous. A feat working but Grade yee in the which of two contenuous. A feat working but Grade yee in the which of two contenuous. A feat working but Grade yee in the which of two contenuous and feat working but Grade yee in the which of the working of the property of the prope
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Multiple Services	i Multiple Victime	Multiple Victims Unknown & Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	No	No		QI (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sinosal Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Observation impacts of interpretation of contracted of charges in the call shape Andreads the commenter preferred charges. The subject was connected of a notional assess of offers subject was connected of a notional assess of offers shapes of the case of the contract of the contract of the consect at the a night of heavy dividing and emoking price. After recording the proof of investigation and consulting with the Sulfi Asige Advocate, the commenter decided to prefer charges on the case. The case was referred, and taken to court-most its Sulfact was found for Cultin, Sulfact was subsequently discharged.
72a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Subject 2 was being investigated for sexual assault against Victim. During that investigation a preliminary and final USACII, testing identified Subject Is DNA on Victims chest and scrotum. Subject I was given investigated the case against him was dismissed.
72b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	04	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rapa (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	come against risks with advantages of confinements. Yes, Cardinament Type, Issan Than Life, Cardinament Meetings, Cardinament Type, Issan Than Life, Cardinament Meetings, Issandardison, Ne, Randardison nerells: Net Feder Meetings, Nation, Salighett week and drivining with consortion prior to his nation. The prior of the confinement of the consortion prior to have been supported by the consortion of the consortion of the Nation. The metallise of the night Victim sendal has salighed support from the consortion of the consortion of the mentiophore controls within was found that situated singlest support from the control of the consortion of the consortion of the control of the control of the consortion of the control of the control of the consortion of the control of the control of control of the control of control control control of control of control control control control cont
74	aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Andrews of 19 year of Monorcos in to, Time 10, Newtonion, New Monorcos in the Sea Disch (Nr. New Disch) (Days) 45; Head Laters Ney Comeditional Custody (NIP Only) 60; Head Laters Ney Comeditional Custody (NIP Only) Nation. In and 2014, vitem alleged that subject sexually assumant for which less was substatisticy insopportuned in 2016 from finess. Victim later recorated for story and their again assumed that subject is can the victim decide to perceipular assumed that subject is can the victim decide to perceipular subject subjects of the perceipular pulsars of the subject is can the victim decide to perceipular subject subjects the commander decided to talk no action on the contraction. The commander goal the member and assumed assumed assumed.
75	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Multiple Services	i Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Changes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject veet to the apartment of Victin 1 and 2. Subject tried to last Victim 1 and touch help; She pushed him of all and let the apartment. In these retrieved Victim 2's bedoom where they recipied in comercinal sharing and icome and the pushed of the subject of the subject of the wild Victim 2 and she tool file in to stop. He did not. After consultation with the staff plogs absolute the commander preferred charges. An Article 22 houring officer recommended that case not got forward to fail. Native Victim settleful. Beard on the recommendation of the hearing officer the case was per referred to that.
76	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sinnal Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Further of Pay and Albermack Pers No. Resistance For Reduction in series, Pay Further Reduction Ex-52. Earn Duly: No. Further Labor: No. Correctional Castody (NIO Cally): No. Call Call Call Call Call Call Call Cal

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition Completed	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	uit Syropses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 37 Hearing, if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
77	For Sexual Assirult (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Ovilian	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	Assault?	The second secon		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted	applicable				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, Subject and a couple friends were at a home together. Victim and Subject were friendly throughout the right and bacene very intoricized. Victim avoids the rest more feeling tools in her signal and sea. She later found out she had sessal intercourse with the Subject. After consultation with the safe jage advocate the commander preferred.
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Abusive Seould Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	charges pertaining to a second victim. Subject was expanded at trial. Notice: Victim and Subject wave drinking alcohol in subjects their while displayed Subject based Victim on the neck and when she trial to leave the hospital their in a waint way. One naturated to the base no bias where Subject continued to make naturated that the sent has where Subject continued to make naturated that the sent has where Subject continued and continued to the sent to the s
79	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Multiple Service	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	- Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject attempted having sex with Victim at a party while she was intoxicated. Victim remembers waiting up to Subject having sexwith her. Victim yelled "Stopt" and Subject stopped and said he was sorry before leaving the room. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges.
													Non-judicial									Subject submitted a request for discharge which was granted with an under One Whan horoable conditions characterization. Profestions of Poly and Allowances: Not, Fine: No; Restriction: No; Restriction in rasks: Yes; Poy Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Outy, No; Hared Labor: No; Correctional Castedy (NOP Only): No;
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	punishment for non- secoul instault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject, Victim and filends were attending a party, Subject and Victim west to find some keys together and ended up in his room. Subject bissed Victim and pulled her only for of him. She and no and moved anny. Subject these to take of his parts but she was able to stop him. After the parts but she was able to stop him. After the parts have been also been also been also been also staff judge abroads, the correnancer imposed merydicial partshipment.
81	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confirement: Yes; Confirement Sype: Less Thes: Life; Confirement: Yes; Confirement Sype: Less Thes: Life; Confirement: Of Next-Discharge: A pay and Individual Confirements; Next-Discharge: A pay and Individual Confirement One-All Petrolic Port: 10-1; Yes Fall Labor No. Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and A pay and Next-Discharge: A pay and Next-Disc
																						wais consicted at trial. Courts-Martial discharge; CID - Dishonorable Discharge; Coefinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Coefinement: (Monthly); 240; Forfaibure of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;
82	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-9	Unknown	Air Force	6-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		And the Court of Paras Subjects to believe to Book Villary to installing 1891 Subject vials before 2 or and stall feet to so for the Tigate on. Visitines took her calculate to his personal which Subject personal to the calculate to which the risks and quickly flipped her into their arms accord and her risks and quickly flipped her into their harms accord and her with her after her being he said rine. A few display laster, Subject took her to meet from at his welface cantale whose hadrone her to the said of the said and the said with the her to meet from at this visities cantale whose hadrone her to for the said of the said and the said within darks who expectedly told him no. After consultation with the SAA, the commended preferred count material charges. Subject in
83	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Urknown	was consisted. Notes: Victim in this case is a netired AF member who is neceiving services from the VA. While at the VA she reported being sexually assaulted twice while on active duty. The VA referred her to a SAVE. The base both occurred over 20 years ago and the subjects could not be identified.
84	Secual Assindt (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	£-4	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, Subject and fismids were out devising. Eventually they exceed up at the domes. Victim became sick and Subject said he would take care of her. A mitness recalls hearing them sharing sear and put on healphoness. Victim becamed not recall amphing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the said! Judge advocate, the communities and consulting with the said! Judge advocate, the communities to be discharged in lieu of court-maintal which was granted.
																						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Residuction in rails: No; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Estra Duty (Days): 3.4; Herdi Labor: No; Cerrectional Custody (RUP Cely): No; Notes: Victim alleged that she and subject drove to an undisclosed location to talk about their respective markal
85	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	8-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	problems and subject had non-consensual sexual inforcourse with the victims husband found out about the sexual inforcourse their husband has used also face. When the victims husband found out about the sexual inforcourse the victim informed founds, Albert the Article 32 the Investigating Officer did not necessarily also have been about the orderion and concluding of the victim. After consultation with this Soulf Judge Advocate their commander determined that Court extract is used not experignate and deministed the things and content content and and properties in and deministed for the humps. Subject received nouspicious justificiant for solidations.
86	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	s Multiple Victims Female	* Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Latter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Over the course of approximately six months victim and subject empaged in a friendship that included sessual intercourse. Victim liter reported it was sessed anasell. Upon investigation victim reported that she consented to the sessual intercourse victim literal post of the sessed insulance of the consent of
																						issual assault officerse. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issual a sitter of consisting for a lack of discipline that required significant self improvement. Outst-Metal dischappe; CD: Discharolable Dischappe; Confirment: Yes; Confirment if Ypan Less Than Life; Confirment: Yes; Confirment if Ypan Less Than Life; Confirment: Proferable; 20; Friefishard of Phy and Allowarces; Yas; First Ro; Restriction: No; Reduction in ranks: Yes; Pay Grade Reducted To: 51; Herd Labors Co. 51; Herd Labors Co. 51; Herd Labors Co. 52; Herd Labors Co. 52; Herd Labors Co. 53; Herd Labors Co. 53; Herd Labors Co. 54; Herd La
87	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, Skipject and a witness were in a dorn room watching lake/sion. After the witness wert to skeep lottim and Skipject engaged in consensual likeing and one ser. Subject salked Victim to engage in easi ser and he sald no. Subject salked Victim to engage in easi ser and he sald no. Subject salked limit down and forced limit to laws and ser. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the salf judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was corrected.
	Musica Found Contact	INTER		Cades/Midshion								M.O.L.	County Madrid Channel	Sharina Sanad		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of						Notice: Victim fail askeep on her bed while three others were still studying with Subject and a friand. She was shocked to wake up hows later to fail Subject starting at her. Subject began massaging her back under her altrit and them have been subject to be a subject to
58	Abusiwe Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	an	* Female	Air Force	C3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Courts-Martial						cooper rooms user out scanes, it you do not want to go comenhing, you go have to bein me. If the then left her could- time the property of the property of the commendation of the country of the country of the contracted professed charges, the country metal finaddeal as second victim noted in a separate entry. Subject negates to be discharged in less of court which uses granted after consultation with the victims. He received are under other than honorable conditions discharge. Adverse Administration Action Yours Lattle of Bascimand
89	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims 8 Male & Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No			Other Adversie Administrative Action								Urknown	ECOS): States that leadership received a report that flour victims were transfer in deprenatively by 5-50pt while attending the Machania game. Man retrained by 19-60pt (size 4 derived a
																						Forfeitner of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Pine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced Yo: E-5; Estra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Conrectional Custody (VDP Cmly): No; Notice: Subject met Victim at her vehicle and they proconded to drive to the park and ride facility. Victim stated that on the
90	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Name. Skiller may Yolim at lev registe and they proceeded to do the to the past and the facility. When which the care to the way to the pask and risk facility. When which the latter way to the pask and risk facility. Skiller placed his hand on her right upon right, Watern falls Skiller to stag and he serviced in the stag of the service of the stag of the service o
91	Rape (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	8-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Professed	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						below: Victor was emaking at a predict catalate of the down the text adjust and excitor enterses. All there were to victime down count, but the other witness and instituted, became it and ement to the down costs. While it vertices from subject, and excitor the contraction of the contraction of the underwese. Victim table limit to stop and he altopad, he again subrepted to have informed and the text memorial ter- perate and presidently deep victim test that the common and must have already and the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the manifest of the specific of investigation and consulting with the excitor of the specific of investigation and consulting with the second order of investigation and consulting with the
92	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Second Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	subject was found not guilty at court martial. Forfutture of Pay and Allowances: Yee, Frier, Kity, Beachister, Rice, Nedection in mark: New, Pay Grade Reduced for C. 2.; Edino Clay, Ney, Heart Labor: Ney, Correctional Custory (NP Gray): Roy, Ney, Heart Labor: Ney, Correctional Custory (NP Gray): Notice: Victoria and Subject were at a bar divising with friends. During the course of the evening Subject gradebed and slapped Victoria Subrados, Inschade her High, and Saesdjöt her lip. After receiving the report of investigations and consulting with
93	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				Other Adverse Administrative Action									the Stiff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject nonjudicial punishment for abusive sexual contact. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LCR);
																						Nation Subject, a TL, adjusted victime ribbon seak and grazed the header in the prossion. He also adjusted the US insignal without her permission. After consultation with the satisf judge advocate the commander issued a letter of reprintant. Courts-Martial discharge: DO - Diel nonrable Discharge; Confirement, Yair; Confirement Syper, Less Thos Life; Confirement, Yair; Confirement Syper, Less Thos Life; Confirement, Yair; Post Poly, Reduction: Nay Reduction in rank- tice, Yane Liber, Yair College, and Confirements (Notes). 2025. Feedbase 1992.
94	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	8-5	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notice Victim had a party at his evidence. Victim became ottornelly indicated Victim wort to leap and anode to studence ly indicated Victim wort to leap and anode to Subject belying directly against the width his back to the Victims Another. Subject to this with multimark progress. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, this correction previously disposits, These see multiple victims involved in this case. Subject was convicted. Advances Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprinant (LOCS):
95	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Urknown	Notice: Subject, in the course of merital courseling, reported that he had consensual see with victim. Victim, upon learning of subject-adminisory, suited side of of not have see with subject. Remain out the subject is must not seek the subject in the first of the first see see with subject is must not seek ground the subject of the subject in the subject is such that the subject is such that the subject is subject in the subject in the subject is subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is subject in the subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is subject in the subject in t

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assauft Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition Completed	Member Sexual Assa	ult Synopses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 37 Hearing, if	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For								Assault?	Accession?		Completed		Offense Charged			applicable					Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Rastriction: No; Reduction in rain: Yee, Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Estra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Castody (NDP Only):
96	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	5-2	Fernake	Air Force	52	Male	No		Meetal	Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- seoual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	One was the read of the control of t
27	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	unerted states	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courte-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Conde Michigan (Co.) Observation for South Security (Co.) Observation for South Security (Co.) Observation of the part of Mandrews (Perithri, S.) Finding of the part of Mandrews (Perithri, S.) Finding of the Security (Co.) Observation (Co.) Obser
98	Second Assett (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	5-3	Male	Air Force	0.3	Male	No	No			Non-judicial punishment for non- secoul assault offense		Frotemization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Irreposed			None		Involved but not specified	whether of the part of Relevance No. For the No. Statistics, the Relevance No. For the No. Statistics and No. Statistics in No. Statistics
99	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	6.7	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Administrative discharge for non- sional assault offense						General			More, Subject parked Visions have Develop the conth is as- thinged to get Vision to profession also with footbags did not correlated Vision statement that the occurred. During subject were discoursed, Africa countains with the safet public with officers, Africa countains and a lotter of represent public with the contractive results and a lotter of represent counts for the contractive results and a lotter of represent counts feeling delivery before Conference (Postells); Ex- Conference Type; Less Than Life, Conference (Postells); Ex- terior Sept. (Sept. 1997); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference (Postells); Exercised Conference
300	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Qt (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Name: Subject and 2 and Victim wave desiring in Victim Name: The last thing below members from the regist is sure. The last thing below members from the regist is discussed in language for a Victim sealed the next morning and processing the contraction of the register of the re
101	Aggrivated Sensal Assisult (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-2	Female	Air Force	0.3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Both Victim and Subject	action. Surples than automorphism plannings. Action Meetil dishipmed. Confirmatic Confirm
102	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Drunkerness (Art. 134-26)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	No. Reduction in rank: No. Enten Outy: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Castody (NUP Cely); No; Notes: Victim and Subject became down Aan standar to argue. Subject grabbed Victims hair and arm. During the cosses of the investigation it became close the Subject did not have any sexual infest. After consultation with the stall fudge advocate the commander proposal renysiscial purishment for drawt, and disorderly conduct.
103	Rape (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Robes: Victim reported that she was sessually assistable by Soligiet. Within halo been deleving heavily throughout the day with felroxi. Victim and subject meet up with in her room where they are and all on her bed beginner. We then make to subject having see with him. Note both the to stop because the subject having see with him. Note both the to stop because the subject having see with him. Note that the to stop because this side again. After meching the report of investigation and consulting with the staff soligies advocate, the commander preferred charges. Charges were referred. Soliject submitted a chapter four request which was granted.
104	Simual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notice: Victim relayed that is the had drovin alcohol with subjected and passed out. Victim another hand morning and subjected and passed out. Victim another hand morning and saled subject of they had so to, which subject registed "yes." Victim could not need having saw with or greating consent. commander preferred changed. Subject submitted a request to the discharge in law of out-mertal. After consistation with the victim subject was discharged from the AF Force with an Under Other than Homosale Conditions and characterization per his Chiepter 4 request.
105	Second Assirult (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Adultory (Art. 134-2)	None		Both Victim and Subject	of Pay and Allousances. Yes Fire. You Resident view, and Allousances. Yes Fire. You Resident Length (Days): 30; Baduction: Nation Installation; Polaristical Length (Days): 30; Baduction in easis: No; Hard Labor: No; Robes: Victim and Solipiet ower drivining and pilaying video garrens. Victims said he was trend and own to to the dien to sleep. She work up to Solipiet having see with her. Solipiet admirated to the sext bus said it was commanded. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferrend charges. Subject was convolved of alluthey.
106	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 126)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown							Offender is Unknown									Notes: During an on-base concert Subject walked up behind Victim and begind discribing with her. She could feel his exection against her butdoors, She reported the indiscret the following day. Despite an OSI investigation including reviewing visible from the concert and colorative inclusions of others at the concert, 3 subject was invest information. For including Principles of Pay Affiliance See See See See See See See See See S
107	Abusiwe Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	85	Femile	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- seconal assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment. Imposed			None			Nec. Marce Vedim 1 stated Subject threat her hips against Vedim's hosty. Within earliest teptime Subject happed Vedim and proceeded to "by Hugher Subject happed Vedim and proceeded to "by Hugher Han subject late registrated." With did not believe Subject was securily graffied by the air. Vitem 2 came Formed and state he has sibly you not had grown of paleing the role of a caseaby vedim during an esercise mental states of the subject of howest global and computing with the staff Judge advocate, the commander reposed monopolical purchases.
106	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-1	Female	Air Force	0-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offensie								Both Victim and Subject	Advance Action System Latter of Supermand CoCCS (Section 24 Victims were desiring with a group of of the latter Scholars and Victims were desiring with a group of scholars as at Victims and partners. After all the offer trainess left for the right, Subject remarked. Sensitive allow the actions with the scholars of supermanded scholars and consideration of their clothery. Subject also attempted to lass Victims. After scholars and scholars are supermanded scholars and scholars are supermanded scholars. Action 1 special scholars are scholars as a scholars and scholars and scholars are scholars as scholars and scholars and scholars and scholars are scholars and scholars and scholars and scholars are scholars and scholars and scholars and scholars are scholars and scholars and scholars and scholars sch
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense									Robes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim attended a football game and eventually went to a host to have seen dericks with Subject and his ferrick. During the course of the right, Victim fell askep and anoise with Subject touthoring her, while he practive went off. During the investigation, Victim declined to further participate in the proceedings. After executing the report of investigation and comuniting with the 3 bull Audie Adocasts, the commander standard countries of the commander of the commander standard countries of the standard countries of the countries of the standard countries of the standard countr
110	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimard (LOR); OLOR): Subject touched Vicinis varies in each breads severe in the ward breads to the severe in the ward of the severe in the ward of the severe in
111	Second Assault (Art. 120)		NA	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported being sexual assaulted by subject numerous times during a deliring relationship. Victim declinal to participate in milety partice process, the creambattor with the suff yadge advocate the commander discharged subject with in a rotal report than the content of the formation consistent subject with in a rotal commander for the fine formation consistent subject with an article or fine the fine formation consistent subject with an article consistent subject with a rotal participation of the content of the c
112	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Allowances Vic Fine: No, Bestinction: No; Reduction in seels: No; Hard Lakers Vic, Hard Lakers Seels and Seels Allowances and Seels APCS for sexual ansubsc correlated by the subject. After exceeding the specific of investigations and consultant with the staff pulsy advocate, the convenience preferred charges. Then are unabple occurred to the scale of the seels of the

No. Se	lost Serious Sexual Assault Allegation bject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pwy Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Completed	Hember Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Syropses Report: Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Hearing, if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	rui								Associati								аррисале					Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Edva Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only):
113 Ag	privated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Not. State: Victim 1 was always in her held hed dwa san Salgior, ead the bathroom and shut the cartain before dienting rise and the bathroom and shut the cartain before dienting rise and most how he Victim 2 yets out of her head and get rise bed with Victim 3.2 Salgior followed and critical ent held with the victim 3.2 Salgior followed and critical entire head with supplied its arms and her body. Salgior fixed the Victims den vitings and attempted to remove Victim 3.2 selfs: Victims den visit has less Salgior controllated but facility and with his sea Salgior controllated but facility and with the sea Salgior controllated but facility with the visit of visit of the visit
114	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	8-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Verfathers of they and Allowances Inc. Then 10s, Beachelotts. The Proposition of the Time Prop Grade Reduced To C-6, C first Duly: Not Produced Inc. 10st C-6, C first Duly: Not Prop Grade Reduced To C-6, C first Duly: Not Prop Grade
115 9	onaid Assaudt (Art. 120)		Air Force	£4	Male	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravited Sensal Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Both Witim and Subject	Section 150% on proceed that all after a prime process prime, where the contribution and the contribution and the contribution and the contribution and the first adjustment to the first adjustment to the first adjustment to the contribution and the first adjustment to the contribution and the contribut
116	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand ((LOR); Notes: Subject and Victim were at a social event. Subject slapped Victims buttocks and said "good game". Victim did not believe there was any sexual interest. After consideration with the staff yudge advecable the correlated issued a letter of reprimand.
117	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	£4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Secual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts Mental discharge ECD - Bad Conduct Discharges Conference Wee, Ecoloriment Spysic Least New Life Conference Wee, Ecoloriment Spysic Least New Life New Life Seek Life Least Life Least Life Seek Beader Size Least Life Least Life Size New Life Least Life Least Life Size New Life Least Life Least Life Size New Life Least Life Life Life Life New Life Least Life Life Life Life New Life Life Life Life Life New Life Life Life Life Life New Life Life Life Life New Life Life Life New Life Life Life New Life Life Life New Li
118	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (a single subject)	Variations of Thy and Allowarces Nex Time No. Nachtotics. No. Reduction in visit New Plan Galle Reduction To E-1; Earn Duly: Not Reduction in visit New Plan Galle Reduction To E-1; Earn Duly: Not R
119	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	£6	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- Siptumber)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim alleges herself, Subject and other co-ovolvers were cold ordinary logister. Victim, Subject and other co-ovolvers shared a fixed from Victim duried, sized and blockme very shared a fixed from Victim duried, sized and sold ordinary sized from the victim duried. The victim duried is the victim duried of the victim duried or the victim duried fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed and self-sized fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed fixed point and consoliding with the staff judge abnocation, the point soccurrental designing the charges, fixed point soccurrental designing the charges, fixed control sized fixed fixed for support soccurrental for servant alexand.
120	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	DIBOUTI	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Female	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General			Courts-Martial discharge. Nancy. Confinement: Yes; Confinement (Yes) Less Shan Life, Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Rashirdson, No. (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Rashirdson, No. (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Confinement (Yes) Rashirdson, Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Nays). 30; Notes: Subject grabbled Victima butdooks and made level comments on runneous occasions. After consistation with the still Judge advocate the commander preferred charges.
121	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (Jancary- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						bates: Victor, Seleptic and consolence werd on a pid and because way introduced foliage and Victor was catalial together when Seleptic beging organity Victor and said his wasted him. Victor allow product for our design of the Seleptic makes Seleptic task Victor and to the parking but Seleptic makes Seleptic task Victor and to the parking but the Design of the Seleptic S
122	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	53	Male	Air Force	5-1	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Other Adversie Administrative Action									Amount Afficient Space Linear Reportance of Programmed Space Linear Line
123	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Cruelty and matreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeither of Pay and Allouences: No: First No: Resistance No: Res
124	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	05	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courti-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Changes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					appropriate disposition for this case. Notice: Victim reported to OSS the was sayed by her hashard or multiple consisten. Victim alleges dispect analys addressed Victim several trimes over a 3 month period. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting which staff budge advocate, the commander preferred charges. The Article 32 investigating officer recommanded I/OF referring charges. Commander demissed charges and issued Subject on LOR.
125 S	ocual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E4	Female	Air Force	85	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secosal assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Furfament of Play and Allowarces New Piets. Big Macriston. Day's Not Piets I reside "New Piet Guide Relation To 6-5", Earn Day's Not Piets I allow "No Correctional Castedy (100 Only)". Makes. Salicia and Victor week in an on-gain victorial production of the Correction of the Corre
126 AG	privated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consinsual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts Merital discharges (CO - Disconneilla Discharges (Cortifermenter) Page Loss Phan Life Conferences (Page Loss Phan Life Conferences (Page Loss Phan Life Conferences (Page Loss)), 200, Ferdinates (Phan Life Loss), 100, Ferdinates (Phan Lif
127	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Represent (LORS): Stock: Victim reported that after a night of heavy division, the was sexually sead that after a night of heavy division, the was sexually assumed by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject an LOR. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LORS):
128	busive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim was seen by Subject, a medical bechnician, after complaining of abdominal pain. Subject coaminated Victims beach and abdominal pain. Subject coaminated Victims specified the search because the fall it was improper and make her easier because the fall it was improper and make her easier because the fall it was improper and make her complained the beach of the subject of the subjec
129 Ag	privated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims (multiple victims)	before: Subject was drinking with Vettern 1, Vettern 2 and Vettern 1 to Aprilland the downs. Vettern 1 and her bonfriend began having sexual inferenceurse. Vettern 2 began hasing sexual interaccurse. Vettern 2 began hasing them: Subject them laid on the gof Vettern 2. There was no evidence of any sexual act. Subject them youlhed seetch and he got on the part of Vettern 1.0 the them perenthead the subjects with his perins. We will be a subject to the perins of Vettern 1.0 them perenthead the subject with his perins. Subject was excepted all cours manufact preferred charges. Subject was excepted all cours manufact preferred charges.
130	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Fernale	Air Force	83	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Nartial Charges Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Witim and Subject	States. With med foliaged, even the a resourced with financial control to specify under when they become related. Subject valled with the first of the control triple control to specify under which the part solely into the ream. Subject them waited lanks in the resource, pulsed under beautifying, when when the first term from the control to the control triple control co

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pwy Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assemb?	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Completed	Hember Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Synopses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For	Cocaroni	2 march	Grade		Amadon	G.Z.C	Census	Assault?	Accession?	XIIII I I I I	Completed		Offense Charged	Omini Chinges	Aldde 17 Obscure	applicable	Official Constitution	Discharge 1954	JET OHERDE		Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rain: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Edra Duly: No; Hard Libor: No; Correctional Castody (NIP Only):
131	Attempts to Correnit Offersies (Art. 80)	Germany	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Not. Notes: Victim and a friend attended a party at Subjects home. Victim became very intraciated and does not remember what happened. The friend went to look for Victim and foard her is Subjects norm. Subject saw playing his parts and Victim toload emberrasand. Subject stated it was consensual. After executing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the Commander reposed monipolicial parallelement.
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	si Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances. Ver. First 18th Beschöter. Mr. Berlaction in service Pay Grade Hollander (Sr. E.), Edmo Duly, No. Hard Lahor No; Convectional Custody (DIP Cindy): No: Hard Lahor No; Convectional Custody (DIP Cindy): No: Hard Conversional In the First Serguent that subject seasonable him by probability the profiles. A horizonance ewestigation revealed four other victims. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Sulff Judge Albocatio, the commander offlowed Subject non-judicial prositionest for seasonal and battery.
133	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Male	Air Force	6-7	Female	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Play and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Langth (Days): 11; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Estna Duby: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No;
																						Notes: Subject sert sexual best, touched the groin and made inappropriate commercs to victim, After consultation with the safelf judge advocate the commander imposed nonejudicial punishment. Forfeibure of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rains; Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Estra
134	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Outy: No; Nard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NdP Only): No; Notes: Subject hit Victim on the buttocks twice. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander reposed negatical punishment. Advance. Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprimand in 1991.
135	Abusive Sexual Cortact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim alleges Subject grabbed her buttocks while she was giving him a good-lye hop as she was leaving a party. Victims, through him Special Victims for Special Victims (Sounds, did not waret to support a court-martial. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the communited referrantial administrative action was appropriate. Adverse Administration Action Types: Letter of Reprimand
136	Abusive Sexual Cortact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes	Yes		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									MANDE. Salight and Victim worked in the same building. One evering after his sit fit Salpett along on the building to spread with self-wide salpett. Salpett along with the saled why Victim did not inciprocate lets told line the was not all study- victim did not inciprocate lets told line the was not all study- tions and the salpett and the sale sale sale sale sale sale to study but memerite line, he wagged for same anound and underwards he arrophs as Victim found him, and filted the off for four. Victim preclaim of the Salpett sale was along and for four. Victim preclaim of the Salpett sale sale saport of investigation and consulting with the stall judge- enount of investigation and consulting with the stall judge- andounce, the commercial issued a latter of prepriner.
137	Rape (Art. 120)	Konsa, Rep Of	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Furtherinary of This year Allowances: Kee, Time: This, Teach Teacher, The Reduction in reside. The Part Guide Reduction of C4 L, Earth Chip's Note: Feel Lailor: This, Correctional Clauded; (100° Chip's). The Care Could have a right of Theory dischloring shall Note: Victim reported after a right of Theory disching shall will be a right of the reported of the residence of the residence of the residence to the State State of the residence of the residence of the residence of the right of the residence of the residence of the residence of the residence of the commander reliable of the residence of the residence of the residence of the residence of the residence of the residence of the residence of residence
138	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	6-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						pusitioners for adultary. Notice Victim me (Subject on him and sould him about focus Victim me (Subject on him and sould him about focus victim me (Subject on him and sould intercense. Once Victim natived him appeal with Subject on the role to have, he grathed the and forced him to have sexual intercense and beat her. After contentation with the well price advocate charges were preferred. Before this depict requested to the discharged in his ord court. The Victim, strong him Special Victims Coursel, advocated for approval. The discharge was accessed.
139	Sionail Assindt (Art. 120)		NJA	US Ovilian	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Nartial Charge Preferred	Sensal Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Siensall Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Contribution of Scholars (CO. Distribution) Exchange (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Left). The Conference Vary Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conference Vary Loss Res Left). The Conference Vary Loss Res Left (Conf
140	Rape (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	s Multiple Victime	Multiple Victims Unknown & Female	Air Force	04	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				underend Origone. Continented State
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E4	Male	No			QS (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	the commander preferred various charges Subject was connicted. Adversie Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LCR); Stocks: Charge a social event subject busched viction's buttorios and labs.: Witnesses stated the confect was command and markail. After command and markail state and contains the markail. After commission with the staff subse advocate and markail. After consultation with the staff subse advocate and the content of the content of the content of the content of the markail. After consultation with the staff subse advocate and the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of content o
142	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	commander issued a letter of reptimand. Motes: Calegiva and Viction werk to this shoot logother and then were assigned to the same base. After drivings logother in Victims dorn one Subject pushed Viction on the bed rep in Victims dorn one Subject pushed Viction on the bed and performed onal see. He then held her down while he inserted in pensis in her variety. Show was also to push him off. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charged.
143	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. The commander preferred charges. The total Subject was routinely inappropriate with Victor, making sexual comments towards her. On at least one occasion Witness area Subject grain Victims buttook and speared Subject was verbally consisted by his supervisor to no longer a since with Victoria and to expect the personal space.
344	Abusive Sexual Cortact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Furtherine of Pay and Allowances: Vera Price: The Tech Backfords on Face Pay Red Cale State Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cal
345	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	62	Fernale	Air Force	53	Male	No	No		Q3 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Both Wctim and Subject	SOME With the Work of the South and With resent a half warm from the South free land, and all the single some free land to the land and the single some free land to the land to the land to the single some free land to the
246	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	Ē4	Male	No	No		Qt (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Professed	Abusive Securi Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Search Salight and Search Sear
147	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Ovillan	Female	Air Force	E-S	Female	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Nestal (Incharge: Union; Confinement: Nos. Confinement (Nys. Last Than Life; Confinement (Nestals); 2; Forfetture of Pays and Allowances lib; First Ro; Restriction: No; Restriction in Ro; Read (Nose) (N
548	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Unknown & Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Secual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Condact Discharge; Confirement: Yes; Confirement Type: Less Thas Life; Confirement (Mexicity): 15; Forfishare of Payer and Allowances: Nor, Pracs: No: Restriction: No; Shaduction in rank: Yes; Pay Greak Reducation 15; Tyherd Labor: No: Conside Reducation 15; Tyherd Labor: No: No: Reducation: 15; Tyherd Labor: No: No: Reducation: 15; Tyherd Labor: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No:

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Ivon	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	uit Syropses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charmed	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For								Assault?	Accession?		Completed		Offense Charged			applicable					Courts-Martial dischange: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in mark: Yes; Pay Grade Reduction of To: E-1; Hand
149	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Semail Assisult (Art. 120)	General	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	or in plant in both and the plant is all the plant in the
150	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No			Subject is a Ovillain or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	abouts the commander preferred charges. Makes Salptim and Vite were at this fearer waters they become in cronicated. Subject althogody forced Victim to become increased and the sale of
151	Second Assindt (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Fernile	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non- seoual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	Verification of Play and Allocancess Next, Plan No., Beachteline 1, Next, Restanction 1, 1981, Restanction 1, 1981
152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6.7	Female	Air Force	6.7	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furfament of Pay and Allowances: New Time Nas, Beachester, New Reduction in review Pay Grade Reduction Cit. 65, East Out; New Feed Laker: No; Correctional Gastedy (NIO* Colly): No. 100 College Co
153	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	unerted STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	E3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts Metal Subshapes Reso, Confederators. Two Introduces of layer and consecutive Street Intelligence (Intelligence Street Intelligence Street Intelligence Street Intelligence Street Intelligence In
154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furtherine of Phys and Allowances: Very Tree: No. No. Restriction: Very Reduction In visit. Page Purificial Restrict Text. 42; Extend Daly: No: Reduction In visit. Page Purificial Restrict, 1000-100; Yellow Pereil Laion: No: Correctional Castedy (100'-00); Yellow Reduction Laion And Subject were at a party. Victim National Annual Pereil Restriction Casted Services (100'-100'-100'-100'-100'-100'-100'-100'
255	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E3	Female	Air Force	B-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Advance Action Types Lettler of September (2002): Tables: Victim stated the and subject twee descript at a click the subject takes with a read of the price of the subject takes with a read of the or excl., prompting with the subject takes with a read of the read, the subject span and saved of the subject span and saved of the read, the white he span public areas, and saved from to stop, to which subject span and saved of the read, to which subject span and the subje
156	Aggrivated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Absolve Sonsal Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Inclination of the past of Ministerion Very In the Usy Execution Very Restriction United Visionary Execution Control (Very Visionary Design Control (Very Visionary Visionary Control (Very Visionary Visionar
157	Athempts to Conneil Offersies (Art. 80)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts Meeting descharges CD. Distrementable Exchanges Conferences: Wesc. Onformers Topic Loss Have Life Conferences (No. Conference Topic Loss Have Life Conferences (No. Conference Topic Loss Have Life) Rep. Hard Lider. Bay. Rep. Loss Lider. Bay. Rep. Lider. Bay. Rep. Loss Lider. Bay.
158	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secosal assault of femal		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Federican of the year discourance. Year, Tea, Tea, Danishdoor, Danish Janushdoor, Year, Tea, Pap Grade Reduction 1, 1921. Exp. Pap Grade Reduction 1, 1921. Exp. Pap Grade Reduction (Exp. 2), 2021. Day, 1921. Per Li Alaire. 1922. Conventional Castedry (1920 Conject.) Paper Service of the Ser
159	Abusive Sistual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	63	Female	No			Q4 (July- Sieptember)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non- secual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Confirmment Very Confirmment Vypes Less Thes Life, Confirmment Very Confirmment Vypes Less Thes Life, Confirmment (New York, York Versilland Very And Albacoccus Very Them State (See Life Very Very Very Very Very Very Very Ver
160	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts Meeting discharges Name, Conformerect Nr. Forefathurs, of Pay and Albanesses, Nr. Fore Nr. Reshelders Nat. Reduction in mark: Not Feel's Labor 11 von 14 met all and Courty (Court). The court of the Cour
261	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	03	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secosid assault of fense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Variations of Thy year Allowances New, Tean Nay, Beachton, New, Reduction in serial, New, Edina Sulph, Yeigh Leider Ney, Cameridand Castoloy (NP Only); Niss. Members Selection Selecti
162	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Purishment	Abusive Sinsald Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furthermore of the and Allowances for the Not benefitive to a set the Declaration read of the Declaration read of the C & Cont. Declaration read of the C & Cont. Declaration read of the C & Cont. Declaration of the Declaration of the C & Cont. Decl
163	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim contacted OSI stating that her step enother's top/freed, active duty military member, provided her sloched and had see with herein the twa 65 years old. The local district atterney declined to prosecute. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff pulge subcosts, the corresponder issued a letter of reprinand and intitated reportishment derival. Adverse Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprinand (LOCE):
264	Sensal Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	6-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	NAME. There are three separate incidents first incident. Victim and femicia war out out of civilian. The non day Subject was with incident and said with one of the Med for the will be ferred by the Subject was with incident and said victim and femician from the Med for the will be subject and referred the Subject and forms. While watching belonism, at come pours, Subject parked the Victim down on the best and lessed on the Subject and forms. While watching belonism, at come pours, Subject parked the Victim down on the best and lessed on the Subject and Subject parked the Victim down on the best and lessed was discovered to the Subject parked the Victim down on the West Subject growth of the Subject parked for the Victim down on the West parked was discovered to the Subject growth of the down oncome. The first sain Subject growth outsides and subject growth or the Victim and subject subject growth or the Victim and Subject growth or the Victim

Most Serious S Assault Allega Subject is Invest	xual don Incident gated Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition Completed	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	alt Synopses Report: Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Othe Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3 Hearing, if applicable	2 Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
165 Rape (Art. 1)	() UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	02	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Amount Allerwise dates Agent Pages Chief. See The Control of the
266 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	ntact UNITED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Fernale	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notice and continue states Action 1 year Lease or experiment. Notice: Subject valided by to Yilliam and Septidized and a heart. When he but the his size, Subject appropriate and a heart. Mere had been been size, Subject and premise from the Septidized and According to Yilliam. When were not upon and reminent formula subject. When the one specified the subject to the first Septiment. Within these real experiment of the reclaim to the First Septiment. When does not septiment to design the subject to the first Septiment. When does not septiment to design the subject of investigations and counting with the satell policy advocation, the commencing in which the satell policy advocation, the commencing for a failure, and CDN for assess commentation by a failure.
267 Sexual Assault (A	120) UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	0.4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Furtherinary of they and Allowarians IVes Park Itals Bashchdorn (Carmelloud Cashidy Ped Noj); But; Carmelloud Cashidy Ped Noj); But; Carmelloud Cashidy Ped Noj); But; Cashida
168a Agginivated Sexual (Art. 120)	Contact	Air Force	0-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferried	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	About Vision reported the consumed numbers attainable of which for the resident for the confidence of the date for the resident for the confidence of the co
168a Sexual Assault (As	126)	Air Force	0-5	Fernale	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Secusal Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Contra Merchand (Strollage) Co. Indianomous Topic Lines Than Conformative Year, Yan, Yan, Yan, Yan, Yan, Yan, Yan, Yan
270 Abusive Sensal C (Art. 120)	etact	Air Force	85	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	Nio			Courts-Nartial Charge Professed	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						have been been been det spering with a Signer for method from the later of later o
271 Sexual Assault (Ar		Air Force	E-S	Fernale	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject and Victim were deployed together. One right with or disting, they were in Subjects noon watching mootes where disting, they were in Subjects noon watching mootes and another than the subjects of the subject in the s
172 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120) 273 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	STATES	N/A Air Force	US Civilian	Female Female	Air Force	E-5	Male Male	No No	No		Q1 (October- December) Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Other Adverse Administrative Action	Abusive Sinoual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Mode. The Nation were to the subjects howe after the pot of which. The host legally executing, which make the cough wealthing better than the fact that the cough wealthing that the victim tools to take, As come point the worth thicked and and has fact memorised find he account long on to pot fine. After consultation with the Sulff Judge Advances, Chargine was perferred. Subject was supplied. Advances Advances are perferred. Subject was supplied. Advances Advances and the supplied of the supplied Advances Advances and the supplied of the supplied (COC):
(Art. 139)		Air Force	US Civilian	Econolis	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Action Other Adverse								Subject Victim (single victim)	har leg and futbrocks through his recibiling. When asked to write a statement har indiced. After constitution with the saft judge advocate the commander issued an Article 15 fort later dropped and suise all writer for reprincil and Article 15 fort later dropped and suise all writer for reprincil and Adverse Admirestration Action Type: Latter of Reprinced (LOCI): Nation: Subject sexually assessed Visitin as her residence when the most severity resistance shall be most severity resistance. Subject sexually assessed to visit the processing the most severity residence to assist in proceeding the case. After recenting the case. After recenting the case. After recenting the case.
	,											Administrative Action									the/fictine haddend, Victim refused to assist in prosecuting the case. After necessing the report of investigation and consorting the report of investigation and committee of the property of the committee of the beautiful part of the safe place and coloring to declarage beautiful part of the safe place and coloring to the beautiful part of the property of the place of the coloring that the place of the part of Advances Not. Place 10 place and coloring that the place of the place of the place of the place of the place (Not). The place of the place of the place of the place of the place (Not) of the place of the place of the place of the place of the place (Not). The place of the place o
175 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	etact UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Notes: Subject and victim seens in victim's down room. Subject yard to review victime parts. She told him no several lises the real told of the parts. She told him no several lises the rand banched hie breast. A fision destand the room and saisted victim in getting subject to leave. After consultation with the staff yade achocies the commence administend morp sciclar panishment and discharged the subject. Short The finals series came formed to report subject touched their breasts without consent but did not write to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and did not want to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to provide more than a weekl statement and the new to the new to see that the new to the new to be new to the new to the new to be new to see that the new to the new to be new to the new to be new to the new to the new to be new
176 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	react	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	Yes			Q1 (October- December)	Administrative Discharge						General			provide more than a verbal statement and did not want to participate in the military justice process. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the correlation administratively discharged subject. For finiture of Pay and Allowancies: Yes; Fins: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rain: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Estra No; No; No; Hard Labor: No; Correlational Custody (VID* Poly): USA; No; Hard Labor: No; Correlational Custody (VID* Poly):
177 Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	react Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			No; Notes: Subject made sexually derogatory comments to victim and hald her down on the couch. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the
178 Sexual Assault (Ar	120) UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	communities imposed myschilding combinents. More Vettern special drugs a consisting season that while Solghet and Vettern were derwang and executing units a group of soldhet and Vettern were derwang und executing units a group of soldhet in less come a found allow with Vettern Vettern soldhy decident this institution but that a granet to go to that were the sold of the soldhet with the communities of the soldhet with the soldhet with the soldhet of the communities where the passed cut. Vettern socke up raised the next where the passed cut. Vettern socke up raised the next soldhet communities. Comparison were professed mannersher anything sold. Cheppies were professed. Solghet such related any open to be discharged in less of courst-next and any communities. The communities of the communities of the communities of the vettern the suspected.
279 Abusive Sensal C (Art. 120)	ntact UNETED STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	5-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Furthers of they and Allowances for free the Schedulers (Line) (L
180 Abusive Sensal C (Art. 120)	etact ITALY	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	Yes			Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assaudt (Art. 128)	General		Both Victim and Subject	communicate concluded that morphiscial punishment is appropried for this compression for this conformance; You'ce Contrinement (Figure 18 min Line; Conformance; Polycuti, Conformance; Polycuti, Than Line; Conformance; Polycuti, Policuti, Conformance; Polycuti, Policuti, Conformance; Polycuti, Policuti, Conformance; Policuti, Policuti, Conformance; Policuti, Policu
181 Rape (Art. 12	0	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Fonce	0.2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	ECOS): States Victim reported to the local gallow and later to APOSI that Subject had executly assauded heron two occasions. Write Reported the core of these secural assaudy was seen as the second assaudy was executed assaud; was preprinted exclusionly by Subject. Victim coprodict all food secural assaud; many proprietably exclusion by the subject was to be investigated and signed a reliance form. After executing the superior diversigation and countries with the subject was to be investigated and signed a reliance form. After executing the superior diversigation and countries with the fall hady affected by the commander determined that a subject to the commander determined that a subject to the subject to the commander determined that a subject to the commander of th
Abusive Sexual C (Art. 120)	ntact UNETED STATES	Unknown	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Nartial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject and victim proviously dated but never had a sexual relationship. After going to a movie they drove to a seclude bootion in subjects or. While them subject attempted to remove victims of deptaily persentated hav. The pushed then off and he drove he home. He lates applicated via text but derived assaulting hav. After consultation with these florigal policies he commander preferred charges. The subject was acquitted at trial.

No	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation	Incident Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Good	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation	Subject: Moral Walves	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Completed	Member Sexual Assa	uit Syropses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Syncosis Note
MU.	Subject is Investigated For	Location	Affiliation	Grade	ncem deliber	Affiliation	Grade	Gender	for Sex Assault?	Accession?	Referral Type	Completed	Case Disposicion	Offense Charged	Offense Charged	Article 15 Outcome	Hearing, if applicable	Offerse Convicted	Discharge Type	Sex Offender	Archide Gae	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No: Reduction in rank: Yes: Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4: Edva
183	Abusive Sensil Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E4	Female	Air Force	65	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Securit Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Oxly: No: Feel Laker: No: Correctional Cauldory (ND Oxly): No: Subject. With man Willness were TUY. Subject is victorian supervisor. Care plan to the Oxford prosimality databat supervisor. And the Care of th
284	Attempts to Conneil: Offersies (Art. 80)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Nartial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 125)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notices Subject and victims went derivating with friends. Victim bicurine lisk and work to a belocome as legislates residence not belgdown mislet everyone size continued derivating. She later where up with multiples (impred in the dash of legislates listed and legislates) and legislates and legislates and legislates and legislates and legislates and legislates and legislates. The subject and legislates are consisting with the still judge advocates, legislates and legislates. The subject was acquisted. Fertificate of Pip and Robuserus list (Pip Confed Pip Robused Legislates). The pip Confed Pip Robused (Pip Confed Pip Robused Legislates). The Still Robused (Pip Confed Pip Robused (Pip R
185	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Securid Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			No. Relaction in rasis: Inte, Play Grade Reduced To: 5-5; Erins Lody, No. Hard Laber: No. Correctional Custody (NDP Chily): No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.
186	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Service	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- Sigtember)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assisuit offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			No. Macros While on a "Real tolg" (riding as invariant while down a relate), Solighet blased Witten, Within whi laker spoke with APGS, Witten 1 registed with the blass are soft commenced and was just to latest in a long line of homesmost and other was just to latest in a long line of homesmost and other was just to latest in latest line in langual town. When APGS conductant latest in latest line is latest line in latest line specialists. When APGS conductant latest line is latest line in latest
187	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported that Subject pulled her orto his lap, placed his hand into her parties and souther be greated so that hand into her parties and souther be greated so that the pulled of the pulled hand of the
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Abserva Administration Action Type: Latter of Represend (CCR): Natice: Viction advant fast while section; at the pasts, Subject and Administration advantage of the pasts of the past of
189	Abusiwe Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sional assault offense		Fallure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Incline or IV by and Alberaceas, lot, for the St, Establish, Calle Mandalor 1, 1975, the Police Melbedoor 1, 1975, the Police Melbedoor 1, 1975, the Police Melbedoor 1, 1975, the Day (Duny); 30; freed Labor 10; Concentrate Calladay (Policy); 30; freed Labor 10; Concentrate Calladay (Policy); 30; freed Labor 10; Concentrate Calladay (Policy); 30; freed Labor 10; fr
190	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Second Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General	Yes	Unknown	Sobject received a little of enginemed for the inscionduct. Auctive Model discharge labor Confinement (Virtual) Confinement Typic, Less Them Life, Confinement (Months); Les Confinement Typic, Less Them Life, Confinement (Months); Les Freditation of they and Advancents Teyr. Fives, Resolutions Top, Resolution in raist: Yee; Pay Grade Reduced Tor. E-1; Herd Labor: No; White: Solighet touched numerous other units airman and made sexual comments. He graded the Virtual was and touched this generation, the graded the Virtual and touched the grades. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the comments preferred Chappe.
191	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-7	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rupe (Art. 120)		Charges distrissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	where this lawes paradomed for potential dang on the works admitted to compression and opin of the last three years to one with file obscipate, the expended by stating years to one with file obscipate for an attraction daniele. Market control of the compression of the compre
192	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Advance Administration Action Type: Letter of Repriment (COC); Nature Sulpine centered Victim does not one and, after reconsisting, of the said on the rises. Victim does all was not interested in an creative; relationship she did not set the sits both the Civilian and several countries, residually subject, went on a careging tip. On Saturday, relation to the countries of the countries of the countries, relation to the countries of the countries of the countries of the consultation with the self indice advanced to the communica- tion of the countries of the countries of the communication and a letter of engine advanced to the communication.
193	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-2	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secosal assault of femal		Assirult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Indication of Phys and Albanorans, Nac. Proc. by Destriction, the Proc. Indication is review. Por Grade Relation 26 e. 55, 25 for Obly; No; Feder Lideor; No; Correctional Castedy (NDP Obly); No; Feder Lideor; No; Correctional Castedy (NDP Obly); No; Feder Lideor; No; Correctional Castedy (NDP Obly); No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No
194	Absolve Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sinsal Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized			non-placing purpherent for a nonrescul assess of effects. Furtificate of they and Assessers See (First No., Beardstort No., B
195	Weongful Siessall Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Siexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	bases. The case cares to light when foliaging have thresholded by the control of the investigation of the threshold. The course of this investigation of the threshold by course of the investigation of data and the policy. When made the displace of the course of the policy of the policy of the course of the policy of the po
196	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secural assault offense									Advance Administration Action Type: Latter of Supermend (2002); (2002)
197	Simonal Adminuti (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	0-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Wittim and Subject	Contribution discharge Dismutic, Conformatic True; Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line) and Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line) and Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line) and Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line) and Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place Line). The Conformatic Place Line March (Conformatic Place).
198	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other Advirse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Knock Victim and subject worked together. Victim complained that subject made nurseons filterions statements to her, hopped her and shade the top of her had without consent. Subject stated that he hopped victim and kined the top of her hard had believed with consentation with the staff judge advocable and the victim's 50°C the commander distranced and LOR was appropriate.
199	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Fernale	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject and victim hung out in their dorn nooms on numerous occasions. On one occasion subject pulled down both their parts and raped her while she told him no. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander molecule disease. Outside to several programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of the programment of programment of p
200	Aggreeated Sensal Asseult (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	preferred clarges, Solget rass acquified. Next. Viters standing step in buse locating, conserved alcotol, and had consensual serv with an individual at the service of the Solget, service of the Solget, of the solget service of the solget of the solget solget solget the solget solget of the solget so

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition Completed	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	uit Synopses Report: Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charped	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For								Assault?								applicable					Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Estra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No:
201	Second Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victims husband reported she was sensually assembled by Subject. When intranseed Victim stated the affiler and sexual intercourse was consensual. After crossitation with the staff judge advocate the commander administrated nonjudicial punishment. Adverse Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprimand
202	Abusive Sensal Confact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Actions									Accesses Advanced Accesses Accesses to the Conference of Segmental Conference Accesses Vision Separated and SECS Action in the sector of 2012, which is seen of Singlest warry 1077, object security of 2012, which is seen of Singlest warry 1077, object security of the Conference Accesses Vision Section 1077, object warry 1077, object wa
203	Wrongful Sixuail Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Administrative discharge for non- sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notice Victim reported that Subject sexually harassed her in the workplace and sexually assuaded her on one occasion. Subject was Victims rather and supervisor. Prior to the compelion the Victim, through her special victims counsel, dockned to participate in further investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Sulff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a latter of reprimed for sexual hereastered. Subject was also administratively denoted and discharged for the same misconduct.
204	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Semail Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Attempt to Commit Crime (Art. 80)	General	Yes	Smoothed but not specified	Courts Mental dicharges Name, Conformente Yve, Conforment Type, Lee Than Liefe, Conformente (Postulla): Go Than Liefe, Conforment Type, Lee Hand, Lee Lee Lee Lee Lee Lee Lee Lee Lee Le
205	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		NJA	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- Harch)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Supe (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts Morth discharges (CD). But Conduct Descharges Confirmment: Yes, Confirmment Type Lisa Man Life, Confirmment (Porticle), 1: Ferfeitstern of Phys and Albouarces. Fig. Print: Rig. Beschlern: Pic Beschlern in rest. Yes, Phy Grade Mescharge Tree 5:), Need Labors. Not; Nation: Victim and elaboral catteriods a perint year ob bosome highly inesociated. E-centrally victim work to skep in bedocons at the Nation. A shibbone say subject standing variety and bosome highly inesociated. E-centrally victim work to skep in bedocons at the Nation. A shibbone say subject standing variety and bosome highly inesociated. Severally victim work to skep in bedocons at the Nation. A shibbone say subject standing victim having sea with Nat. Victim was unconscious the sente time subject wait in the National Consulting with Park Section (National Consulting with National Consulting with Park John Section (National Consulting with National C
206	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E4	Male	Air Force	03	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Abusive Sensial Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes		Courts Mortal discharge; None; Cerifinement; Yeq; Confirmment (Yeq; Less Than Lift; Corlinement (Northi); 2; Forfethers of Pay and Allowarcen: You; Yen; No; Restriction: No; Reduction in radii: You Seed Labor, No; No; No; Restriction: Notes: Subject, an officer; touched Victim's peris. Victim was changing in front of Subject in rood to get ready to go to the subject advoicable the commander preferred changes. No: and subject advoicable the commander preferred changes.
207	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 128)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoul assiruit offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furdishers of the year old Monarcos Tay (First No. Monistricutes). And the first Head Association (Section 1), the Company of the Monistry (No. Conference of Conference
208	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Subject (a single subject)	(LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks, pulled her hips into his and "girrided" on her and placed his face in her breasts. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocabe, the commander
209	Sensel Assielt (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Fernale	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges distributed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	See the second of the second o
210	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Activities Assumentation Action 1 year, citater or supminated (LOCK). Notice: Victim stated during on-the-job braining, Subject repeatedly structs, them in the arms, lay, and gental area with: a haudplore jud. Victim stated the behavior was maint to be judicing, reasonable, and that these layes of indicates were hadge, reasonable of the three layes of indicates were hadge, reasonable of the three layes of indicates were hadge, and continued the arms of indicates and indica
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim fell asleep on Subjects hed while watching tv. When she fell asleep both were receiving all their obtain. When she fell asleep both were receiving all their obtain. In wordsheep the she was a subject of discussion sheep. When their OSI to the Subject of discusses planes from the sheep sheep the sheep of the sheep their sheep of the sheep their sheep the s
212	Second Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim and Witness own attending a party at the Subjects home. Victim consumed outed and possed out face down on a couch. Victim stated that the vegetal yeards he notes and orderware bring removed. Victim sucket to Subject penetrating her vegeta and area. Case is being thred by civiliar prosicution. A delinkings board was convened. Subject was discharged with an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions characterisation.
213	Second Assist (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Nations: Subject and Victim were one for drinks with a group of femicals. Victim and bulget returned to be set tegorities and west to Subjects soon for a few more drinks. Once in the room, Victim had a beer then became legery and passed out. He vokes up to Subject performing ord sen on him. After executing the respect of investigations and consultation with the Sulff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge. Subject have applied. Advocate, the commander preferred charge. Subject have applied and subject have applied. Advocate the Commander preferred charge. (LOCI):
214	Abusive Sessal Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Nation Subject approached Victims, shack his hard under her bits frame; dropped his hard across the top of the inservice place and the same of the same transproprietal and sensal in nature. Surveillance video classify showed Subject only made contact with Victims to other lones and shoulder. Victims without her compliant however Subject necessive administrative action. After societing the trapport of weekingshipped and contacting with the safe Judge advocates, the commission issued the Subject in a CSR. Moreous Subject and Victims were in a remarket relationship.
215	Sexual Asset (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 125)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Such a figure and of the contract of the contr
216	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	6-3	Male					Courti-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						nock. A week later he pashed he registrat some bockers at work. Votter 2 alleges that on multiple occasions, Subject sexually hassesed, intendidate, manipulated, and forced Voter to bids, mealurbally and perform oal sex on him. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advance, the commander perferend charges, Subject submitted a request for discharge in fisse of cont- mental. After consultation with the victims it was greated. Notes: Victim reported to OSI that she and Subject were
217	Second Assindt (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Changes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported to CSI that the and Julipet were submitted byte the control Society stated on losses absences towards Victim. Victim stopped Society and total ten the did submitted to the control society of the control the besteleous of a walk-byte of their tumed the besteleous off a walk-byte of their tumed the besteleous off and began to biss and touch Victim agen. Subject them presented Victim with the peak. After exceeding the report of exceedings and controlling with the staff Julipe absociation, for exceedings and controlling with the staff Julipe absociation, for exceedings and controlling with the staff Julipe absociation, for exceedings and the staff of the staff purpose and exceedings and the staff of the staff purpose and produced the staff of the staff
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120) Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED KINGDOM	Air Force	E-3	Female Female	Air Force	E3	Male	No No			Q1 (October- December) Q1 (October- December)	Administrative Discharge Administrative discharge for non- sexual assault offense						General General			touched their breasts without consent. They provided a world statement but did not want to participate further in the investigative process. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the convenanced ricknessed subject. Stons: Subject happed victim and kined her forehand. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander issued a letter of reprinance.

No	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation	Incident	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Wirtim Georder	Subject	Subject Pay Grade	Subject	Subject: Prior Investigation	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	FY15 Service I	Hember Sexual Assa	ult Synopses Report: Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32	Most Serious	Administrative	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	Subject is Investigated For	Location	Affiliation	Grade	with deliber	Affiliation	Grade	Gender	for Sex Assault?	Accession?	Referral Type	Completed	Case Disposition	Offense Charged	Offense Charged	Article 15 Outcome	Hearing, if applicable	Offerse Convicted	Discharge Type	Sex Offender	Archice Can	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in sale: No: Heal Labor: Yes: Heal Labor (David:
220	Abusiwe Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Securid Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	None		Both Victim and Subject	dis- lider Victirs standed a lixuse party of Subjects bases. While home, Subject can be larved up and show Victirs body, younged for break, and model his hads bettern be freg. and you be a veryora. Victirs repeatedly his for more away from you be averages. Victirs repeatedly his for more away from his contribution of the standard of the standard of the first contribution of the standard of the standard of the first contribution of the standard of the standard of the standard of the standard of the standard of the standard seasal cortext and drunk and disorderly. Subject was controlled of virtual and disorderly.
221	Rape (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rapa (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Contra Mental discharger CO. Debrowable Debrager, Conformer Top Conformer Type Lees Base March Conformer Lees March Lees March Conformer Lees March Lees March Conformer Lees March Le
222	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- Suptamber)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Rapa (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other relation prior followed by Krt. 15 purishment.			None		Both Victim and Subject	Medical and the part of distances for the first in Contraction. A third contract may be all the part of the part
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sinsul Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfisher of Pay and Allowances: Nor Fine: No. Resolutions: No. Pediction in rain: New Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4. Edits Duly: Nor, Visid Labor: No. Convectional Custody (NDP Only): No. Pediction of the Convectional Custody (NDP Only): Notice: Victim and Subject went out-divising with financia. Out-ing the right Subject grashed her buttocks and mileted her buts after all was after him to stop runnesses times. After consultation with the Sulff Judge Advocate and review of the evidence in the case, the commander different nonjudicial
224	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			panament. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Estra Duty: Yes; Estra Duty (Dayl): 14; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Castody (UIP Only): No; Notes: Subject slapped victim on the buttocks. After
225	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Air Force	E-S	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes - Sidippet silegated victim on the hattaloss. After construitation with the self-dispel advocable to commander weignand respectation general treat of the self-dispel advocable to commander weignand respectation general respectation. The self-dispersion of the self-disp
226	Simual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Rupe (Art. 125)		Changes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					investigation and consulting with the staff pubya advocate, the commonitor printfers (whoppe, Subject was convicted). Notice: Victor alleged that Subject seemally assuabled Victim in Subjects down once, budget published Victim, and engaged subjects down once, budget published Victim, and engaged subjects down once, budget published Victim, and engaged support the Subject for small assuable and dustine sexual contact. The Art 32 Investigating Officer's report indicated contact. The Art 32 Investigating Officer's report indicated the time was not enemal security of the charges. After consultation with the Suffé Julge Advocate for charge and specifications the distinct of the charges.
227	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Army	E-7	Female	Air Force	6-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (kily- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	below. Significant war Victim sever in care in date in 2022. Victim sever in care in date in 2022. Victim sever in care in date in 2022. Victim sever in the index in the lathercone. When she inclined de docks it. Aller driving it wis first dispared was them projet and out of correctionsessings for the sever in the contract of the contract of the contract in the contract of the contract in the co
228	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Account for the design of the Contraction De
229	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernake	Air Force	E4	Male	No	ñão		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Rape (Art. 128)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Conference (Contract), is friction of they and Alexander for the Na Benderine American and Alexander for the Na Benderine American and Alexander for the Na Benderine American for the Alexander (Alexander American for the Alexander
230	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						preserved one charge or naps. Institution, the subject was convicted. Note: Victim reported subject lossed her while on post. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reorimand
231	Abusive Semail Contact (Art. 120)	Japan .	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	Yes			Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	(LOR); Nobes: Subject and Victim were in Victim's down room drinking. Victim said she was tired and wanted to go to bed. Subject said he needed to use the bathroom before he left. Victim field salesy and analosis to Subject bearing her. She bold him to stop and he left. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander issuade altered reprehandle.
232	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (Jansary- March)	Courts Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts Metal discharger, thouse, Conference The, Ferbilland of Pay and Albanason, Fire The De Brackhort See, Marker See, Marke
233	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Female	Air Force	cs	Male	No			QI (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense									Allowers Administration Action Type: Latter of Baprimand (LOB); Notice: Victim and subject were in a prior relationship and had sexual infercusine. After finding on the was with institution source, victim called adaptict has reconstructive to talk. Subject laid counted, have was and adaptic to his room to talk. Subject laid could have was and the said no., but them relied on top of her and started to bis the Fice and nock. How consultation with the Self Adaptic Adopted the commander issued a Latter of Represented and subject was dissembled.
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	-	Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject touching her breasts, buttocks and vagaria multiple times over the coxes of the evening. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted.
235	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force		Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Answer An Environmentation Action Types Clare of Reprintmed (CACS). (2014) (
236	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Female	Air Force	C4	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Typer: Cade(Midshipman Disciplinary System; Kinder Victim reported that she was anoken by an unknown female (blar identified as subject) lissing her on the neck and see there to five time. Subject stated she had not slept in four days and his medical problems that resulted in her conduct. After scrining the report of investigation and corrustions with the staff judge advocate the commander sewed administrative action.
237	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Typis: Letter of Reprimend (LOR); Robes: Subject pulled victim toward car and seposed his peris. After consultation with the staff judge advocate and a review of externating circumstances the commander issued a letter of reprimend.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service Quarter Disposition	Member Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Synopses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For	COCATION	ACTION AND ADDRESS OF THE ACTION AND ADDRESS	UI JUL		Annacion	GI ZZE	CEIDE	Assault?	Accession?	Maria Type	Completed		Offense Charged	Oriente Charges	Andre 13 Obligation	applicable	Offende Constitut	Discharge 1994	JET OHERSE		Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No;
238	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	None			Backcriss in one's 'es; Per Grade Backcris Tic E-4; 'India Lation' Tic; Tables Victim and her approvincy, Sulpet, were inapporting annealmous. While letting on a cride, Sulpet touched Victims, opinion and the strip on a cride, Sulpet touched Victims, opinion and the strip one of the strip one of the strip opinion and the strip of the strip one of the strip of the Sulpet of the Strip one of the strip one of the strip of Sulpet of the Strip one of the strip of the strip of the part in arm between the lega and strip of the rise. The Bern part is arm between the lega and strip one is the Bern part in a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip of the strip of the part of the strip of the strip of the strip of the strip of the strip of the strip of t
239	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-S	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assirult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Furfailties of Day and Allowances: Yes, Piese, No., Restrictions, No.; Reduction is near Yes; Pay Condended To E. Folds Clay, Nor, Hard Labor: Nor, Correctional Custody (NdP Only): Nor. Nor. Piese Labor: Nor, Correctional Custody (NdP Only): No. No. Constitution of the Condended Custody (NdP Only): No. No. Constitution of the Condended Condended Constitution of the No.
240	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									investigation and consisting with the staff judge advocate, the commander investigation droppicating prostrationent. Adverse Administration Action Types: Letter of Counseling (LOC); (LOC). Notice: Subject allegically slapped Victim on the buttocks. After OSI Conducted their investigation and consultation with the Sauff Judge Advocate, the commander delermined that she would administratively counsel Subject.
241	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	model administratively convent displace. Advancer Administrative Action Specia Letter of Bapermand (LOCK). Advancer Administrative Action Specia Letter of Bapermand (LOCK). Associated the Action Special Letter of Bapermand actions and the Action Victims, Subject and others were out at local there divides the Lock of the Special Letter of the Madel and the Action Special Letter of the Madel and Letter Lock of the Madel and Letter Lock of the Action Special Letter of the Action Special Letter of the Madel Letter of Lock of
																						meetbagkon and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued at letter of registral and an account of Courts-Martial dischange: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confirement: Yes, Confirement Type: Less Than Life; Confirement (Worldmi): 6; Fortistra of Play and Allowances: Yes, Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Play Grade Reducted To: 5; 1] Herd Labor: E-1; He
242	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Nation: After an investigation and constitution with the SAN and Communistry preferred dusprays against the subject. Subject exceptibly commits indexent contract, by recording the humbinos of the victime, whethout her commers. Subject salor and substancies of the victime, while when an authorized the production of the victime, while when an authorized the victime of discribing profusion in the sexual contract. After communities of discribing profusion in the sexual contract. After communities with the sall public contract of the victime of victime of the
243	Second Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges distrissed subsequent to in recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Acids beare highly encuented Victor inventments using the Ministran and ent and instructional bearing. During a Ministran and ent and instructional bearing. Acid Subject has been for Subject becoming inclusived when a design of the size of Subject becoming inclusived with an entire of the size of the size of the size of the size of the war made for wagins. Set then foliated not pagin, and the war in the fire wagins. Set then foliated not pagin, and the size of the size of the size of the size of the size of the Size of Subject Montals. As the commission preferred from some multifactor evidence of with the Victoria. Set of the size of size of the size of size of
244	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	5-3	Fernide	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courti-Martial						expropriate deposition for the case and took no action. Name Victor an installant was washing in a friend house from a local fam. As they were washing, the first house from a local fam. As they were washing, the first most the said of a simple and of basic labored with critic into the table of the companion of the contract of the contract of the said of a simple and of basic laboration of the said surrounding said of the contract of the contract of the contract of the parties. She regard and parties. Subject was pulse parties said of the contract of the contract of the parties and the contract of the contract of the said of the contract of the contract of said and a said of the contract of said and a said of the contract of said as said of the said of said as said of the said of said said as said of the said of said said said of the said of said
245	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim and Subject were at a party with other Airmen. Victim and Subject engaged in consensual lossing. When Subject begin having sexual intercourse with Victim, victim's friend told him to stop and leave. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges.
246	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, subject and two otherswere at the subjects house playing drinking games. Victim ended up in subjects baddoom and work up to subject having sax with her. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. After preferral the victim declined to periologist and the charges were dismissed.
247	Rape (Art. 126)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Nation Soliginal cases were to Michini John rooms. Soliginal task on Virtich Indu. One to cause of the nate I Tomas, Soliginal tools to personals Michini to have see with Nam. Eventually, tool to the personals Michini to have see with Nam. Eventually, the post of the I must be the personal of the I must be a three participate. After the other or must be a three participate, which were the other to be a must be extracted by the green in and had sensual exercision with the native state participate. After exercising the extractions that the person to the other participate of the person of the other participate of the person of by the common discussion of the person of the other participate of the person of the other participate of the person of the pe
248	Second Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	n Female	Air Force	C2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim alleges that Subject began having anal sex with her while site was sleeping, even through the Victim prodough off object with was not inferended in and sex. After evolving the production of the production of the production of the electric state of the production of the production of enforced. However, after charges were referred the Victim stated she did not want to see the Subject court maritaled. Charges were desired and the Subject court maritaled.
249	Securit Assindt (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	Multiple Victime	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	0.2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferried	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts Mestal discharger Stone; Conferenser Year, Conferenser Year, Conferenser Year, Danish Jak, Conferenser Year, Danish Jak, Conferenser Year, Danish Jak, Conferenser Year, Danish Jak, Conferenser Year, Danish Garris, R. S. Redickstein neuk S. Hesel Later 186; See Hesel Later 186; See Hesel Later 186; Valoris Selection of the See Hesel Later 186; Valoris Selection of the See Hesel Later 186; See Hesel Later 186; See Hesel Later 186; See Hesel 186; S
250	Attempts to Conneil Offersies (Art. 80)		Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adversie Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Types: Letter of Reprimand (LORS): Notes: Victim worst to Subject's room after receiving a best that he waited to talk to her. Once she amined, Subject and probled Victim and pushed her does. Victim repaintedly did prise of the state of place state, Subject and place and the state of t
251	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Securit Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject textucked her chest under her skrift, rübbed her rüben, jüssed his hade down her underwaser, rübbed her tilbiğ and but chesis and glissed his skrift der skrift de
252	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		NO	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Hartial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Contra Merital discharges CD - Distransiable Discharges CD - Distransiable Conformation Year Conformation Page Loss Rham Life Conformation (Posterbi). 20th Feedings of Page and Conformation (Posterbi). 20th Feedings of Page and Conformation Feedings (Feedings of Page 2004). The Research Conformation Feedings of Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Feedings of Page 2004). The State Conformation Research Seedings of Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Feedings of Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Feedings of Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Accordings on the State Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation According to Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State Page 2004 (Posterbin Research Conformation Page 2004). The State P
253	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Service	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	0.5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Securit Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			multiple victors involved in this case. Subject was consistent. Furthers of they and Subsecses Keep First is, illustrations for part of the production in rank: Not, Enter Not, Post Head Labor: Not, Centralized Actable (NOF Hely): Not): Not Head Labor: Not, Centralized Actable (NOF Hely): Not Head Labor: Not Centralized Actable (NOF Hely): Not Head Labor: Not Head
254	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Hartisl Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courtie-Merbal					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crima)	and addition as an enround from community, and addition that was extendy assumed in an on-load form of the seal of
255	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Finst: No; Reshriction: No; Reduction in raist: Nex Pay Cade Reduced To: E-4; Entre DAD; Yes; Esta Sel, Osquis; 30; Red Lados Care. E-4; Entre DAD; Yes; Esta Sel, Osquis; 30; Red Lados Causalos (No Conf.); No; Commissional Causalos (No Poris); No; Commissional Causalos (No Poris); No; Commissional Causalos (No Poris); No; Commissional Causalos (No Poris); No; Commissional Causalos (No Poris); No Poris (No Poris

	Most Serious Sexual								Subject: Prior			FY15 Service	Hember Sexual Assa	ult Synopses Report	AIR FORCE		Reason Charges					
No.	Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
256	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim, Subject and a couple founds were at a home project. They are were to be der Victim and Subject excepted in consensual founding that let to sound interconse excepted in consensual founding that let to sound interconse. The foreing below projections bits the day either beneders! Victim told then she and Subject told sea and that she excepted did vice, but never or westerconselve becomes cooperate in the investigation. However, during the exception of the consensual project with the subject to the consensual preferred with the subject to the consensual preferred couplings are serviced to be secret fulfilled by our securities.
257	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimend (LOR); Notes: While subject was hugging victim he touched her buttocks. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander gives subject a letter of reprimend.
258	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOB); Notes: A witness reported that 3 years ago Subject offered to gree Victim andie horns. Subject insisted on receiving a hug and biss price to favring he horns and Victim seemed unconfroitable. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the salt flugg almostic, the communitie lessed a letter of reprimaril and Subject was discharged for micro disciplinary.
259	oggrivated Sexual Contacts (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- second assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Andreas or Pay and Albanesco Veg. Pers. No. Bardericks: No. Machadian visual Veg. Pay Grade Mechadian Veg. Deckade Modera Veg. Cred Mechadian Veg. Deckade Veg. Pers.
260	Abusiwe Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	- Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Hartial charge preferred for non- second assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Yes		Courts Metal discharger IXC Bad Contact Discharge Conformment Vice Contented Vipor Least Bus Life, Conformment Vipor Least Bus Life, Conformment Vipor Least Bus Life, Conformment (Particle), Vir Freithand et al. 190, Vipor and Roberous Least Life, Vipor Least Life, Vipor Least Life, Vipor Least Life, Vipor Least Life, Vipor Least Life, Vipor L
261	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female								Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: SUBECT is Foreign National. No further details available at this time.
262	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E-9	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assault offense		Disrespect toward a superior commissioned officer(Art. 89)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Furthern or 19th and Albourcests, NE, Then Nat, Bestelliton, No., Reduction in seria, No., Eliza Ouch, Ny, Son, K. Eliza Ouch, Ny, Son, K. Eliza Ouch, Ny, Son, K. Eliza Ouch, Ny, Son, Son, Son, Son, Son, Son, Son, Son
263	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Air Force	62	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Addition, the second and the determine the part of the p. the many deplayed. Suffer-the levels in supply prepared control of the Mother, such as a numerical busching of the laws or subsiding of Worker, such as summerical busching of the laws or subsiding of Worker, such as summerical busching of the laws or subsidies of the laws of the laws of Months or part of the laws of the laws of the laws of the laws of which the laws of the laws of the laws of laws of laws of the laws of
264	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	6.7	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Secual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Court Mortal discharge libers Confesioners. You Confesioners Type In Shim Life Confesioner (Special), 44 Forfinbers of Pay and Alborances (Son Pine No. Resistation For Resistant (Son Pay No. Pay Grade Resistant Size Let), 1941 Labor No. Notes Subject weet home of a convoter to width the Super Book. The Section very intrinsicated and it was determined be secondary discipled upon the second resistant conscient for super control of the secondary of public the huttorick and bissed for After consultation with and Paylag absorbs the convenience designed charges.
265	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Court-Merital discharge: CD - Dishrovaskia Discharge; Confirmance: Noc Confirmance: Top Loss Data Life; Confirmance: Noc Confirmance: Top Loss Data Life; Confirmance: Noc Confirmance: Top Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life; Noc Loss Data Life;
266	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Secual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Control Metal delandage IKC. Bed Control Diskupper, Conformerer Vog Control Tipe Use The Life Conformere Vog Local Bush Life Conformere Vog Local Bush Life Conformere Vog Local Bush Life Conformerer Vog Local Bush Life Co
267	Siosaid Assindt (Art. 120)		Air Force	63	Fernale	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (lansary- March)	Other Adversie Administrative Action									Adverse Adversished Advers Type: Letter of Beprimer (LOCE): Total Control and designed cares to be down more with a best of voids. Without a single designed cares and the state of voids. Without needs to recurrent more, the size of voids. Without needs to recurrent more, the size of voids and the size of the down more. The size of the size
268	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secould assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment. Imposed			None			Andreas or five and Securious. You Five the Use Securious. The Probability is made to Probability in any long found induced to E.S.; Ether Duly, You Feed Labors. Proj. Conventional Castedy (NDP Only): No; Notes: Soliged seef inappropriate toots and made inappropriate comments to numerous security. It sells employed in commental sexual infectionals with Victors, a potential or commental sexual infectionals with Victors, a potential or commental sexual infectionals with Victors, a potential consistency with the Securious
269	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	i Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	6-1	Female	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fins: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Intel: Installation; Restriction Length; (Dayly: 60; Reduction in serial: No; Esten Dayle; Ne; Intel: Laten: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Orb): No; Nobes: During basic training Subject, a traines, touched Victims, also brainess, on their buttocks and thighs through their coloning. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander sead of Article 15.
270	Sinosaid Assaindt (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Mettal discharge: CO: Dishovariable Discharge: Conformant: Two, Conformant Eyps: Lass Than Life, Conformant: Two, Conformant Eyps: Lass Than Life, United Street, Conformant Eyps: Lass Than Life, Street, Prize: Nig. Radiotion: Nig. Backsolan new Levi Year Payr Grade Nickows of Lot, 21 intel slabor: Nig. Nation: Visit and Soliple stolls became intendicated at a party. Micro work to slaep in a spine belocine and anosks to Solitan visit and Soliple stolly processing for Conformation and Conformation and Conformation and Solitan visit selection. Soligies Inappropriately founded for Bright, Micro consultation with the said Judge advocable the commonation preferred charges.
271	Second Assindt (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notice: Victim anoise to Subject assaulting her with his hand. Dicklert occurred at Victims on-base residence while Subject was over droig laurilary Victims hashand was on a remote tour in Korna. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. Subject scherithed a request to be discharged in less of court-martiel. It was approved after Victim reducted she supported the request and did not want to participate in a court-martiel.
272	Aggrivated Simual Assinit (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-S	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim	that he had the movie and invited Victim and another individuals back in his home. Crost them, all three continued to drink alcoted and watch the movie. Victim fell askep on the couch and avoise to subject on the of him engaging in a sexual act. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the salf fluidge abouting, the commander preferred charges. These were multiple victims who came forwards. Subject was connoted.
273	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	6.7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Purishment	Abusive Sincal Confact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Indigitate of Pay and Allemanian Van Pain. Str. Particular, Manchiston van van Ver, Professi Rebuscher (2000 Augus) to Chan Spr. Spr. Spr. Spr. Spr. Spr. Spr. Spr.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation	Incident Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	FY15 Service Quarter Disposition	Hember Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Syropses Report Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing if	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For	Location	Activation	Grade		Amulion	Grade	ounder	for Sex Assault?	Accession?	occurral Type	Completed		Offense Charged	Charged Charged	Article 15 Outcome	Hearing, if applicable	On armie Cohvicted	Oracrange Type	Jex Unrender		Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LCR);
274	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The Victim weet out for drinks in town, and then to the Prilisted Club on base. As some point in the night, the Victim encountered the Subject and earther male includual. The Victim snearney is faded for portions of the night and The Victim snearney is faded for portions of the night and One-week, when Victim returned home and used the ballmoon seems began to come out of her veginal) but the Victim vessed to desirely the Subject, and some details she was able able to desirely the Subject, and some details she was able
													for non-sexual assault offense								Subject	recal matched with details of the Subject's dormstory room. Soweral witnesses from Sumerule for the right, to michal a tried justy witnesses collision due not maken the singual witnesses of the similar transport of the similar similar services and the similarity to consent. Note to the completion of the region of micrographic provised a written statement of the unrelingeness to participate forther in the investigation or presentation. After envisioning the region of micrographic region with the Victims considerable in the commander determined there was not auditicated existing proceeds with previous action. The commander issued as LOLD proceeds with previous action. The commander issued as LOLD proceeds with previous action. The commander issued as LOLD and the significant contents of the commander issued as LOLD proceds with previous action. The commander issued as LOLD and the significant contents of the commander issued as LOLD and the commander issued as LOLD to the commander issued as L
275	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Changes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					to Subject and established an Unfavorable Information File. Marker Victim and subject engaged in a long team relationship from 2007-2000. Victim stated she was repaid on multiple locations, entablish tense over multiple years. After consideration with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. Charges were not referred based on the accommendation of the Art 31 investigating officers are second or the second charges. Charges contained to the Art 31 investigating officers restarted and one containing the second containing the seco
276	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	No; Refuction in rask: No; Estra Duly; No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No; Notes: Subject put his hand on Victims lap and asked "Does this make your feel unconfortable?" After notewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered nosphatical parallement.
277	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	6.5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, subject and three other Armen were in a hotel corn together. Victim stated subject had for down and reped har while the other has Armen seen in the room. The did not substituted the department of the recommendation with a constituted and the ellegation. After consultation with the related that the consultation with the related three thre
278	Seconal Assindt (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Nartial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Motors. Victor 8 I regretated from Sighter ensurably restanded to the Sighter's or beaution common. Singlest restandary restanded Victors 9 2 silenged in Singlest's on Seale other more. Netter of a proposited the Side-Sighter seaward parametable from the one of the Sighter's sealer of the Sighter's on the Sighter's suppliest of the Sighter's sealer of the Sighter's suppliest of commental completed changes. First the solid test of the section disclosed not to participate, Singlest responsed as a section disclosed not to participate, Singlest responsed as suppliested commental common section of the Singlest sealer of sections adjust composite one approved and Singlest was separated entitle and the Singlest sealer section of the Singlest sealer sealers of the Singlest sealers
279	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Court-Martin deshwaps: CD - Determetable Checkwaps: CD - Observatels And Confirmance Type: Loss Them Life; Confirmance Type: Loss Them Life; Confirmance Type Loss Them Life; Confirmance Type Loss Them Life; Confirmance Type Loss Them Life; Th
280	Seconal Assesset (Art. 120)	UNETED	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-	Non-judicial punishment for non-		Soliciting an offense	Article 15 Punishment			None		All victims and subjects (multiple	enta vien nia pelini. Inni vicini reportice trac ne replatelory dali skulpet in sola during the assaulta. Plant consultation with the Salff Judge Absociate the commander determined to reflect change. Salff Judge Absociate the commander determined to peline change. Salff Judge Absociate the commander determined to peline change in the commander determined to Forefinetes of Pay and Allowances top, Fines this; Insistitions Nos, Insistition in residence in Salff Judge Castley (IND Circly): Nos. (Herd Labors Telly Commissional Castley) (IND Circly): Notice: Kirchin and Salffect 1,2 and 3 all consumed laborate Salffect Insistition of Associated and Salffections of a distin in shallow.
280	SIOCHE PRIMITE (APL 120)	STATES	AFFORD	53	reman	AFTOO	153	Nate	NO.	NO.		December)	punanteer or nor- sexual assault offense		(Art. 134-48)	Imposed			none		suspects (murtiple parties to the crime	recoils: Vector and surjects 1,2, and 3 and contradented accessed long-time. Vectors presented with allaqueful of a victor in which present the vector of
281	Second Assindt (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Contrainment type: Lask I Intal Lask Contrainment (Porticulars CP) are Princibuse of Pay and Allowances: New, Part Sto, Restriction, No. Beackston in rank: New, Pay Grasie Reduced To: E-4, Hard Labor: No.; Nobes: Subject was a recruiter. He inappropriately hugged and kissed manerous nervals. One victim stated subject has sessal inferences with the rin an office and whole her consent. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges.
282	Abusive Simual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assirult (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Restriction in rain: No; Pay Grade Restrict To: Extent Out; No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custor (OIP Only): No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No;
283	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	03	Female	Air Force	0.3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	judge abroate the commander imposed nonjudicial punishment. Adverse Administration Action Types: Letter of Repriment (LOR): Notes: Subject digitally penetrated uction and indecently exposed himself to her. Victim declined to participate. After consultation with the stell' judge advocate, the commander issued a fatter of highermant. Subject make also discharged.
284	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Admirestration - Support was also discription. Adverse Admirestration Action Typic: Latter of Reprimard (LOR): Note: Victim subject and friends were all at an off biase night clot. Victim vass discricing when subject went up behind him and began prinding his publis on her hottocks. After receiving the rapport of investigation and crossibility with the still bydos advocate, the commander determined a latter of experiment was the best correspond action in this case.
285a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	QATAR	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			was the best course of action in this case. Forfeithure of Pay and Allowancies: Yes; Finis: No; Restriction: No; Relaticion in maric: Yes; Pay Craic Reducted To: E-5; Edma Duly: No; Head Labor: No; Correctional Custody (DID Ciny): No; Notes: Subject Linear description: Control Reduced To: E-5; Edma Custody (DID Ciny): Notes: Subject Linear Visit Hoto. The consent. Archite science in Subject Linear Subject Linear Visit Subject Linear Subject Linear Linear Subject Linear Reduced Subject Linear Reduce
285a	Abusive Simual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	6-6	Make	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeibure of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Etra: Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No; Notes: Subject touched Victim's Face without her consent. Another Victim was identified. Subject kissed Victim 2 without
286	Sexual Assindt (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	her connext. After consultation with the staff judge advocable for commender reprinted prompting from the Courts Metter discharge (CO - Disconsistate Discharge), the Court of the Court of the Court of the Court of the September (CO - Disconsistate Discharge), the Court of the Court of the Court of the Radword (CO - E.2) feet Labors (No. 1992, Per Court of the September (CO - Court of the Court of the Court of the three Subject and Victims to the Subject of the Subject of the Court of the Court of the Victims to the Subject of the Court of the said Silve Round (September 1992) and and Silve Round (September 1992) and and Silve Round (September 1992) are and Silve Round (September 1992) are and Silve Round (September 1992) are and Silve Round (September 1992).
																						ofference. These are party at Subjects house, the Subject encourage Victim to consume large quantiles of alsohol. Literather right (or early morning), the Victim stated that she was tired. Subject showed Victim to a room downstales. Victim climber into the bod and got under the covers. Subject asked Victim at our popular of the bod with her.
287	Second Assindt (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non- sexual assault offense						General		Both Victim and Subject	vacion begins to difficulty of the size of the Stage of general forces did not received to solitated be less. Notice about 1 cells of these did not received to solitated be less. Notice midsed best the less solitated to the solitated best of the solitated to the less solitated to the solitated best of the solitated best conductated best of the solitated to solitate the understanding of consent, Victim before content as tabling understanding of consent, Victim before content as tabling which are solitated to the solitated best of the solitated best best best of the solitated best best best does not expend to facility best best best does not solitate the solitated best best solitated best best and the consent of the dot not could be solitated that the wind the count of the solitated best facility to the solitated best solitated best solitated best solitated best best solitated best so
																						origens, vocam miscises on at we went acting with this secular contract teacous Singler Vision Bigger in Mattator than a this was contract teacous Singler Vision Bigger in Mattator than a thin with the Salff Adapt Africation, the contracted referenced the salf miscission of the Contract of the Contract of the Contract administrative action with repeals to the sexual assault. However, the Salfforward insulation of the Contract of the contract (order horizotale conditions) service characterisation, for miscond displaying indication, to include providing activable as misror, the Victim.
288	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									(LCR); Notes: Subject touched Victims buttocks and kissed her After- consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a letter of reprimarie.
289	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfisher of Pay and Allowareas. No. Fine: No. Resolution: No. Pedeckins in voice: No. Pay Devide Reduced To: E.S., Editor, Chip; Reduction in voice: No. Pay Devide Reduced To: E.S., Editor, Chip; No; Hard Labor: No; Convectional Cradedy (NDP Celly): No. No. No. Pedeckins of Pay Devide Reduced To: No. Pedeckins No. P
290	Second Assirult (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notice: While watching a move in subject's deployed dorm room, subject digitally previousled and had see with Victim designic her taking in mir" and trying to pash from anny. After receiving the report of investigation and comulting with the 20th Julya Ankarosis, incurrentating presented one change grant Julya and provided the second of the try a panel of officer numbers. Alteress Administration Action Types: Latter of Reprintend (LOR);
291	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	6-3	Female	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: While at victims apartment, subject laid on the bed with the victim. Victim told subject it was time to pg. Subject instead, put he imm on his shoulder, and kissed victim on the nick. Victim decided not to participate in the investigation. After consultation with the still fluid parkooks, the commander issued a letter of reprimant. Contributed discharge; CO - Dichonosable Discharge; Contributed in the contributed in the still of the contributed of the
292	Aggravated Secusil Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts Medical discharge; CD. Dischwardels Discharge; Confirmenter: Noc. Officement Psys. Loss Bhase Life; Confirmenter (Norther); 200; Friedhims of Bry and Allowancess De (Psir Discharges); Nog. Loss Lindon, 1984; Allowson, 1984; Nov. Discharges (Nov. Discharges); Nov. Loss Lindon, 1984; Allowson, 1984; Nov. Loss Lindon, 1984; Allowson, 1984; Allo

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated	Incident Location	Victim Affliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assemb?	Subject: Moral Waiver	Subject Referral Type	PY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Completed	Hember Sexual Assa Case Disposition	ult Synopses Report Most Serious Sezuel Assault	AIR FORCE Most Serious Other	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
	For								Assault?	Accession?		Completed		Offense Charged			applicable					Courts-Martial dischange: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life;
293	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	6-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Confirement (Meethy): 240; Freinhams of Pay and Allowancesis: Ref. Peris Relativistics: No; Realudion in rank: No; Heat Labor: No; Nobes: Victim was 1 of 15 potential victims identified by APCSS for sessual assauchs committed by the subject. After necessing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander periment changes. These are multiple victims involved in this case. Subject was convicted.
294	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Simual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Furtherine of Filey and Allouences: Next, First: No. Destrictions: No. Destriction in visit. No. Exit Destrict, No. Exit Destrict, No. Destric
																						discharge board. Forfelture of Pay and Allowancias: No; Fine: No: Restrictorc. No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duby: Yes; Extra Dury (Dayl): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No;
295	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-S	Male	No	No		QI (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Oruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Tactor. Victor allayer that Sulpher took advantage of his landership position when his leader how not helder ship proteins when his leader how not helder ship presentation at the went of her shift. Subject copialmed that he permission at the went of her shift. Subject copialmed that contracts the ship of the saids with her down be a creak. Subject took Victoris's heads and land fir he could be her or the lag. In said leaves the shift bear for his his cheek. Her to this data, Subject, on shift has ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the with the ship ship advanced, the commander imposed may place the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of the ship of ship of the ship of the ship of ship of sh
296	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Finiture to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Merital dicharge Blasse; Carolinement: Yee; Confirmment Type; Lee Than Link; Confirmment Type; Lee (Postrally); Ly Furfations of Day and Allouences: New Year. No; Basin-botte: 12–15; Indi- Lator: No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No; No;
297	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	s Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	Yes	No		Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfisher of Pay and Albonances. You, Pine: No.; Bestriction: No; Reduction in reals: Yac, Pay Good Reduced To: 5-5; Error Chry, No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NdP Only): No; Northern censulty, houseand and passabled three formine sensitives with all a displayed Southon. Subject sensity, houses the property of the sensitive with a displayed southorn. Subject harmond and squeezed Victim 1% thigh, slapped Victim 4 no the butteds and blooched Victim 5 or the butteds. After the butteds and blooched Victim 5 or the butteds. After the butteds and souther Victim 5 or the butteds. After the butteds and souther Victim 5 or the butteds. After the butteds and souther Victim 5 or the butteds. After the butteds and souther Victim 5 or the butteds. After the souther Victim 5 or the butteds. After the Victim 5 or the butteds. After the Victim 5 or the Victim 5 or the Subject where Victim 5 or the Vict
298	Second Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						nearing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge absolute, the commander invessed meripdical parabilities. As the commander invessed meripdical better. Victim and Subject were on the badd sissing when Subject begain to deptadly personate Victim. She tall him to the back to did not After consultation with the staff judge advocate charges were preferred. After referred of Cargos the Victim determinate her no longer visited to participate in the court-martial process. Court-martial charges were withdrawn and dismissed.
299	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	6-5	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						botos: Victim was invibad to subjects home by his giffriend or engage in a threason. During the treasone witch mixed to staye but was not allowed to do so by subject who engaged is sexual intercons with her. The case was investigated by local police and the local presencator duckine jurisdiction. One thand over to the AF Force the commander preferred chick- gister and the subject was acquited and of thompse.
300	Abusive Simual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	6-3	Male	No	No	Mental	Q1 (October- December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Second Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeithure of Pay and Allowances Not. Pince No.; Restriction: No.; Reduction: not. No.; Reduction: not. No.; Reduction: not. No.; Reduction: Not.; No.; Reduction: No.; Reduc
301	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-S	Male	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim, subject and some friends were drinking at subjects home. Victim became highly introducted. She does not remember all the event that right but does remember subject having sex with her on concrete. After consultation with the staff sight advocate the corresponder preferred charges. After referred of charges the subject requested charges. After referred in court-metal. The victim supported this request and it was granted.
302	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)	unerteb states	Air Force	8-5	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Solicita, a collens, opposituated Victim in the Basel technique and assist Victim in worsteet and, how take view technique and assist Victim in worsteet and, how take view pack him so, Victim said yes. Solicita mapped his erras around solicitate. Solicitate language his process less his para a cricular molicita- siantica. Solicitate language his process les his para in a cricular molicita- siantica. Solicitate language his process les his para in a cricular molicita- te language la company and part in the Solicitate view distribution on aurellation video. Coll interviewed solicitate video distribution on aurellation video. Coll interviewed solicitate video distribution of the solicitate video
303	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	65	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offensie									GOR): States AFOSI Initiation on investigation into an alterption that Subject committed an act of mappoprosite sensal contact. Subject committed in act of mappoprosite sensal contact insensal contact and act of the sensal contact insensal contact insensation in the cont
304	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	0-3	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeither of Pay and Allowances: Yee, Fine: No.) Restriction: Yee, Restriction Limit Enablation, Restriction Length (1964); 60; Restriction in the Allowance Section Chapte, No.; Hard Labor: No.; Correctional Custoding (1902 Poly)): 100; No.; Hard Labor: No.; Correctional Custoding (1902 Poly): 100; No.; Hard Labor: No.; No.; No.; No.; No.; No.; No.; No.;
305	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	5-8	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeithure of Pay and Allowances: Yee, Freis: Re; Reactions: Resp. Reduction is resist. Res (Reduction Feeting: Reg. Padecided for E-F; Jest Day, Nor, Hard Labor: Nor; Cornectional Custody (NIP Only): Nor. Note: Subject and victim worked topether. Subject lugged victim, tooched her nock and may comments with sexual externation. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander served nonjudicial purishment.
306	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	unered states	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charpes dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 purishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None			verification of they are of Mouseauch rest, Frest Roy, Emberdsom, Des. Policy Robertson Frest Frest Poly Carlie Relation Till 4 Co. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp. Cp
307	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Bulgaria	Air Force	0-1	Female	Air Force	0-6	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction No; Restriction in raise: No; Estat Duch: No; Head Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No; No; Subject, a colonel, put his hands inside the shirt of a tower ranking finale and rubbled her back without consent. It was witnessed by two other members of the unit. After remaindren with the stelf intro advocate the remainder.
308	Abusive Sexual Confact (Art. 120)		Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courbi-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Cruelty and malmaters (Art. 93)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Imposed morphistic parkievest. Construent No. Terfeliture Statute Statut Statu
309	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-S	Female	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	staff jeldy advocate the communitier preferred charges. Anderse Administration Action Types Latest depresend (ACO); Action Action Types Latest depresend (ACO); Action Staff Latest L
310	Second Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Fernale	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secual assault offense		Wirengful use, possision, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Furtherina of Fay and Allowances (Ev. The: No.; Restriction; here, herefore in rest. Fav. For Guelle Medical To E. 12, Eur. here, herefore in rest. Fav. For Guelle Medical To E. 12, Eur. No.; The Committee of the Committee Control (No. Committee) No.; The Committee Commit
311	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	8-5	Female	Air Force	6-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									sweet complicate juvalentest. Askern Affects Affects and Colonia A

	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pwy Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation	Subject: Moral	Subject Referral Type	FY15 Service I Quarter Disposition Completed	Hember Sexual Assa	ult Synopses Report Most Serious Sexuel Asseult Offense Charged	: AIR FORCE Most Serious Other Offense Charged	r Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 3: Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offerse Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
но.	Subject is Investigated For	Location	Affiliation	Grade	victili Galdii	Affiliation	Grade	Gender	for Sex Assault?	Waiver Accession?	Referral Type	Completed	Casa Daponicon	Offense Charged	Offense Charged	Article 15 Outcome	Hearing, if applicable	Offerse Convicted	Discharge Type	Sex Offender	ALCHIE CH	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Dutr: No: Hard Labor: No: Correctional Custody (NDP Only):
312	Aggravabed Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	unerted STATES	Air Force	63	Female	Air Force	63	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Non-judicial punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 126)	Article 15 Panishment. Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Only his prevailable. The Convenience Control of the Ching of the Chin
313	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	0.3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	and disorderly, and unland entry. Mobis: Victim and Sulpict lower at a party and both became elebaticade. Victim does not remembe being overly social with Sulpict both pitches where floated from together at the party. Uctim remembers waking up to find Sulpice to bushing the breasts. After consistation with the safety and the present of the consistation with the safety and sulpice to such the consenancier preferred charges. The subject was acquitted at trial.
314	Rape (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Unknown	Notes: Victim in this case is a netired AF member who is neceiving services from the VA. While at the VA she reported being sensally assaulted twice while on active duy. The VA referred ther to a SARC. The base both occurred over 20 years ago and the subjects could not be identified.
																						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 15; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Estra Duty: Yes; Estra Duty (Days): 15; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No;
315	Abusive Sessal Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	6-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		QI (October- December)	Non-judicial punishment for non- seconal assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment. Imposed			None			Motors. Victor reported subject invanish here go no to the mail to them. One smaller to which all the desire all proper interrupted to go ft is a time a count of her and to the foregoin reader within undersome about 20 times. Victors told subject not for make writers undersome about 20 times. Victors told subject of the stand up to the subject of times to the subject does writerly wells "regist" to subject. Victor told a cost back to base. After meaning the report of revestigation and supposed respectical preliments or subject for assent, consummated by a bulbery.
		UNITED										Q4 (July- Sentember)	Non-Judicial	Sexual Assault (Art.		Article 15 Punishment			Under Other than			No; Reduction in nank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NOP Only): No;
316	Seconal Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Imposed			Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Victim (single victim)	Note: Viction reported that do not introducted in the dome of minded Signed the record. Viction passing of an electron that who do instructed the hadron paster on and Subject was the whole the instructed the hadron paster on and Subject was qualified particularly were warper. After consciping the report of investigation and consulting with the Subf Julge Advocate, the commender of their Subject Inspection particular commender of their Subject Inspection particular particular particular particular particular particular particular particular particular particular of the year (Advocated Na, 1978, Na, Bandelston, Na, Bandelston, year (Advocated Na, 1978, Na, Bandelston, Na, Bandelston, Na
317	Aggravated Sexual Contacting (Art. 120)		Air Force	53	Female	Air Force	E2	Male					Non-judicial publishment for non- secoul assault of lines		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment .			General			New York met Salpet I handly dated. Following their electricity. Which model and Sulpet consistently of the selectricity. Which model and Sulpet consistently of the selectricity. Which model are selectricity of the selectricity. Which was also selectricity of the them handle are selectricity of the selectricity. All the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity. All the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity. Which selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of the selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity of selectricity. Which selectricity of selectricity o
318	Abosive Sensal Coreact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victoria Unknown B Female	Air Force	63	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art 120)		Convicted		Abusive Secual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Contribution discharge ICO. Determents Contributes (Confirment No. Confirment No.
319	Abusive Sexual Cortact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E4	Female	Air Force	0-1	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Indefinition of Pay and Allowances (see, Pines No.; Reshvictors, Pines, Rechardson in seria, No. Extra Burk, Pines, Rechardson in seria, No. Extra Burk, Pines (see and the states) (Pine Poly); No. Rechardson in serial face of the serial buttons. In his incident was wheneves by runnwords individual buttons. This incident was wheneves by runnwords individual or the serial parties was instituted based upon information and the serial parties was instituted based upon information exceeding the specific of investigations and consulting with the second transport of the serial parties and consulting with the second context and consulting with the second context and consulting context and
320	Abusive Sensail Cortact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	63	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	85	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Advance Administration Action. Types, Letter of Reprimeral (LOTS): Notice: Three femals Victors alleged that Subject touches (LOTS): Notice: Three femals Victors alleged that Subject touches (LOTS): Two Victors alleged that Subjects touches (LOTS): Two Victors alleged that during a lot subject touches (LOTS): Two Victors alleged that during a lot subject touches (LOTS): Two Victors alleged that during a lot subject touches (LOTS): Two Victors and Consulting with the Sulff Julips Advacult, the commander determined them was probable cause only for a non-vessual animal forfers. The commander cause of the Consulting Advanced to Two Victors (LOTS): Two Victors and Consulting Advanced to Two Victors (LOTS): Two Victors and Victors (LOTS): Two Vi
321	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	6-1	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LORS); (LORS); Object touched victim 1's neck and flicked her arm, the piched victim 2's battocks. Victim 2 stated there was no sexual intent. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commanded issued a letter of reprimand.
322	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	8-5	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Subject and Victims were in same unit. Subject touched Victims buttocks and breasts through her ABUs and made sexual remarks over a 1 year period. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander issued nonjudicial punishment. After Subject provided evidence in his response, the commander dropped the Article 15.
323	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Corrected		General Article Offense (Art. 134)			Urknown	Contri Merchal Gebruger (SC). Blad Credit Desbruger, Conformente Re, Ferderice de Payral Albanousce Re, Print Re, Beachter, Re, Beachter de Payral Albanousce Re, Print Re, Beachter, Re, Beachter de Payral Re, Print Re, Beachter, Re, Beachter, Re, Print Re, Print Roses, Victora : Specified the de his Beachter of by walpert sessally assubside. When consultation will be said print sessally assubside. After comunitation with the said print sessally sessalled. After comunitation with set set of researched and the sessal sessal sessal sessally sessalled. After comunitation sessalled and sessalled as a sessal sessal sessalled as a sessal sessal sessal sessalled as a sessal
324	Second Asseult (Art. 120)		Actty	US Ovilian	Fernile	Air Force	6-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Wctim and Subject	Nation. With me of failigent enhanced are of these party, Soldan Victorians as and their relations to the other throughout the Victorians and the American Soldan S
325	Second Assirult (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	n Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	C1	Male	No			Q2 (January- March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Advance Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprintment (CCC); State: Victor 1 reported that flighted presented her to have been administrated by the control of the flighted presented her to have used inferencement within 50, the size maked to described within the connect and foots demonstrated action or commental. Victor 1, enforce order, engoted with haid assessal interconsense with Subject while on the haid assessal interconsense with Subject while on the present of the fact that commental. After administration of the control of the c
326	Abusive Semail Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	0-2	Female	Air Force		Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-secual assault offense								Unknown	Alternia Administration Action Type: Latter of Reprimend (LOR); Notice: Subject and Victim were at a restaurant/filer mith fellow co-workers and squadron members. Subject slapped Victim or the behinds of the side Subject to tool, Ahm-ensuing mit export of investigation and consulting with the staff Subject absolute, the concentrate destinated them was not enrough absolute, the concentrate destinated them was not enrough absolute, the concentrated relationsed them was not enrough and a latter for reprimend massal assalts. Subject exceeded a latter for reprimend relationsed feedball Enrichment.
327	Aggrivolated Sersual Assaush (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Mestal discharge; CO - Disconsible Discharge; Conference: Yes, Conference: Pyte; Loss Ham Life; Conference: Pyte; Conference: Pyte; Loss Ham Life; Conference: Pyter Pyte; Jabb; Ferdisture of Pay and Allowances. No; Ferdisco, Pite; Patients of Pay and No. Yes and Loss Tex; Note: Victim was of 15 Spatiental victims identified by AFGS for issual assessits committed by the subject. After concluding the regret of investigation and counting with The are multiple victims involved in Yila Cases. Subject was convicted.
328	Abusive Sensal Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	6-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January- March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	consided. Molecular description of the control of the Subject their to heart in pers into Victims segals but was encounted to the heart of effects and control of the contr

												FV15 Service	Member Sexual Asse	ult Symonsus Benort	AIR FORCE							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
																						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Estra Duby: Yes; Estra Duby (Days): 15; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No;
329	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non- secoal assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Notes: Subject sent Victim inappropriate sexual boot messages and guided the buttocks. Other individuals stated subject did the same to them but did not ware assistance from the SARC or to participate in the investigation. After necessing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander imposed nonjudicial punishment.
																						Courts-Martial dischange: DD - Dishononable Discharge; Coefinement: Yes; Confinement Yype: Less Than Life; Coefinement (Months): 240; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Raduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No;
330	Aggravated Simual Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Proferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notice Victim dated he was delicing alcohol with an unboom the property of the property of th
331	Abusive Semail Contact (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Female	Air Force	C3	Male	No			Q4 (July- September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						up to Subject touching her breasts. She told him to stop and he did. A second Victim with similar facts came flowward too. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges. After the Article 32 hearing the subject submitted a request to be discharged in few of frial. The victim supported the request and it was approved.
													Non-tudicial									Forfeiture of Play and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hand Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NLP Only): No;
332	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-1	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	5-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	punishment for non- sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Notice: Victim enter the bathroom wearing his PT gear and headed to his wall locker. When victim was bending down to get something out of his locker, subject poked his thumb in between victims but chesis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander imposed nonjudicial punishment.
333	Sebuari Assaudt (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Air Force	B-5	Fernale	Air Force	8-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October- December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Name Sulpin and Victor were brook, Victor weet to March form mont, to ever make the property of the property
334	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		NGA	US Civilian	Fernale	Air Force	0-2	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January- March)	Courte-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 125)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts Meridin Gallewiger, Brown, Conference Two, Conference Two, Conference Two, Conference Two, Conference Meridin, 21, and 12, Conference Meridin, 21, and 12, Conference Two Conference Conference Two Conference Conference Conference Two Conference Conference Two Conference
335	Seconal Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	с3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July- September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Amount Action Types Littler of Reprinted (2002). Those State I reported that shipes I will be or are applied to the shipes I will be or any applied using a limit of the shipes I will be a limit or a limit of the shipes I will be a limit or a limit of the shipes I will be a limit or a limit of the ship is surfaced and in the limit or allow to be a limit or the source was a limit to the limit or and which the limit of the ship is surfaced and limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of limi
336	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	Cadet/Midshipm an	Female	Air Force	0-1	Male	No			Q1 (October- December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Advance Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimend (COC): Nation: 1986 at a party victim beloads from interestation and seek up to said great many for the haring result inferences. She reported severe bleedings she the incident, but this was controlled severe bleedings she the incident, but this was controlled and the SMA trains. The other coaled in the result of the matter in settled and the second controlled and the SMA trains. The other coaled in the coaled in the second controlled and the second controlled and the second coaled in the coaled in the second coal
337	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	64	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; First: No; Restrictor: No. Advanction in rask: Ne; Pay Grade Reduced To: E4; Estri- Duby, No; Fatel Cabor: No; Currentional Custody (RED Chay): No. Robots: Subject grabbed victims buttocks while on the dance floor and tried to kins her. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander imposed morpidicals:
338	Seconal Assault (Art. 120)	UNETED STATES	Air Force	0-3	Male	Air Force		Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									anathement in these Victim and Subject (vinilian employees) had drinks with two other children at law. Victim and Subject were sealed supplier as a law of this other parties. Subject began to supplier a law of the law of the parties of the law of subject began to be subject to the law of the law of Subject began to law of law of law of law of law of subject by smallers by law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law of law o